

NATIONAL LABOUR BOARD CONTAMINATED BY TOXIC LEFTISM DAMORE MEMO REVEALS (alt-right-news.blogspot.com)

by [sand_mann](#) to **news** (+2|-0)

1 comment

NATIONAL LABOUR BOARD CONTAMINATED BY TOXIC LEFTISM DAMORE MEMO REVEALS

Google , James Damore , Leftist Insanity , NLRB



There is a very good reason why James Damore, the former engineer fired by Google last year, is pursuing his case against employers through a class action lawsuit accusing them of against white, male, and conservative employees.

It is because the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) which employees who have been unfairly fired should appear dysfunctional and contaminated by toxic leftist attitudes. T Thursday (15th Feb.) after the release of a memo dealing case that was drafted by NLRB lawyer Jayme Sophir.



According to the memo, the NLRB thought that Google was justified in firing Damore for internally circulating a 10-page memo that tried to explain the "gender imbalance" in female tech engineering using scientific references.

Immediately after his firing in August, 2017, Damore filed a complaint with the NLRB, which argued that Google had violated his right to engage in an activity protected by US labour law, namely addressing workplace issues. He has since dropped the complaint and is part of the **action against Google**. Sophir's memo shows us why.

In her memo Sophir advised the NLRB to reject Damore's complaints on the grounds that his Google memo contained statements not protected by Federal law, namely "perpetuating stereotypes." Sophir wrote that workplaces should have the ability to "nip in the bud" all kinds of employee conduct that could lead to a hostile workplace.

Writing memos, remember, was part of Damore's job.

She also claimed that Damore's statements about women:

"...were discriminatory and constitute harassment, notwithstanding efforts to clothe them with scientific references and notwithstanding 'not all women' disclaimers, those statements were likely to cause serious and disruption in the workplace."

This kind of hysterical language immediately suggests that we are dealing with a kind of extreme feminist who should not be in a position to make judgements on cases like this.





NLRB priorities.

Let's be very clear about this. Damore was actually attending to Google find ways to equalise the number of male and female employees in certain positions by looking into the reasons why women were underrepresented in these fields. In effect, he was looking at ways that women now and in the future could be deprived of certain jobs in order to pointlessly boast about a more gender balanced workforce.

Regardless of the science that Damore's memo cited, his memo is a feminist document that explains the reasons why there were more male engineers than female, it is

Also, note the use of the meme "creating a hostile environment" to justify his firing of Sophie, as if one employee at this company could actually create his own "environment," when it is clear that it is the company and its management that do this.

Furthermore, having different opinions or having an someone else just has subjective fuzzy feels is now "hostile." *Ridiculous!*

If such "hostility" is the reason why people should be fired, why be on one side? Google, with its leftist groupthink, hysterically feminist ex-colleagues seem much more inclined to hostility than the mild-mannered Damore.

The more you look into this case, the stronger Damore's case becomes. It is hard to escape the conclusion that this is going to really cost Google. I predict Google's shares are going to take a dive when the final decision comes. I hope Damore sticks to his guns and refuses out-of-court settlements that Google's high powered, overpaid lawyers will inevitably make him to head off this crisis.