

The Obama/Clinton Department of Energy Political Payola Slush-Fund

- The DNC created EPA, DOT, DOE slush-funds to illegally pay off campaign financiers
- The biggest slush-fund operated out of the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Steven Chu and was covered up by AG Eric Holder
- When you “follow-the-money” the facts prove that is was payola crime
- “Climate Change” data was manipulated to put cash in Al Gore’s, Eric Schmidt’s and Elon Musk’s companies to pay them back for election “resources”
- Clinton and Obama staff ordered “hit-jobs” on competitors using government resources at DOE, DOJ and their fake news outlets

Why Did A Small Group of Politicians Lie To The World About Climate Change Data?

By E&E Investigations

The answer: *For Profiteering At The Expense of The Public!*

There is no doubt that the climate changes. No sane person can argue that. You can’t argue that the sky is not blue or the grass is not green either. You don’t care either way.

If a group of people told you that climate change will kill you, or that if they sky turns too blue it will deform your children or that if the grass gets too long it will eat your dog, then you will join your neighbors to proclaim that “*something must be done!*”

What if those assertions, by famous politicians, were outright lies that were being told to you so that those politicians and their Silicon Valley financiers could rape the U.S. Treasury?

A new study found adjustments made to global surface temperature readings by scientists in recent years “are totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data.” The data was rigged.

“Thus, it is impossible to conclude from the three published GAST data sets that recent years have been the warmest ever – despite current claims of record setting warming,” [according to a study](#) published June 27 by two scientists and a veteran statistician.

The peer-reviewed study tried to validate current surface temperature datasets managed by NASA, NOAA and the UK's Met Office, all of which make adjustments to raw thermometer readings. Skeptics of man-made global warming have criticized the adjustments.

Why would a specific group of politicians lie about a thing if the lying about that thing does not put profits in their bank accounts? In all of human history, has it not always been the case that big political lies are created for big corrupt profiteering schemes?

Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama fabricated the entire Climate Change issue in order to put payola cash in the private bank accounts of their financiers: Elon Musk, John Doerr, Eric Schmidt, Vinod Khosla, Larry Page, Mark Zuckerberg, Greylock Partners, Kleiner Perkins, Goldman Sachs, Vantage Point, Draper Fisher, Khosla Ventures, Firelake Capital, CBRE, Westley Group and Wilson Sonsini. These are the very same people who engaged in the epic Silicon Valley sexual abuses, anti-trust abuses, public privacy rights abuses and who were the primary financiers and crony beneficiaries of Obama and Clinton. They are, obviously, a pack of organized crime operators with no moral code and an absolute willingness to break the law.

Any player who was not on the payola list and who had better competing technology: ie: Bright Automotive, XP Vehicles, Zap Motors, Brammo and over 100 other American companies, was sabotaged by the U.S. Department of Energy to protect the campaign financiers market share of the fake Climate Change Repair technology providers. The entire thing was a scam to finance the Obama/Clinton campaigns and get taxpayers to pay for it while scraping illicit profits off the scheme. These companies lost billions of dollars exclusively because of Department of Energy managed attacks on them!

Did political contributors snag stimulus funds for cleantech companies?

April 2, 2011 | Matthew Lynley
2 Comments



Political contributors might have steered clean energy loans from the U.S. Department of Energy to companies in their investment portfolios, according to a report by the Center for Public Integrity and ABC News.

The report suggested that four companies that Steve Westley, a managing partner at clean technology investment firm The Westley Group, has invested in received more than \$500 million in loans, grants and stimulus money from the Department of Energy after Westley contributed \$500,000 to the Obama campaign. The companies in Westley's portfolio that received federal funding are Tesla Motors, RecycleBank, EdeniQ and Amyris Biotechnologies.


Both Amyris Biotechnologies and Tesla Motors have since gone public. Amyris is valued at \$1.2 billion and Tesla Motors is valued at \$2.5 billion. Westley said that the company in his portfolio went through a strict screening process and was awarded each loan based on merit, and that each received the loan before he was an advisor to U.S. Department of Energy secretary Steven Chu, according to the report.

The Department of Energy also made several loans to companies that Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers has invested. Managing partner John Doerr and other executives from the storied venture capital firm have donated more than \$1 million over the past two decades to federal political causes — mostly to Democrats.


The report doesn't make any direct connections and doesn't offer substantial proof that the companies received special interest because of Westley and the other executives' contributions. So, as usual, correlation does not imply causation. But it's worth keeping an eye on — particularly because Westley is a member of Chu's 12-person advisory board, and Doerr is on the Economic Recovery Advisory Board.

Previous Story: [Entrepreneur Corner: Succession planning and napkin entrepreneurs](#)

Elon Musk, John Doerr, Vinod Khosla, Larry Page, Mark Zuckerberg, Greylock Partners, Kleiner Perkins, Goldman Sachs, Vantage Point, Draper Fisher, Khosla Ventures, Firelake Capital, CBRE, Westley Group and Wilson Sonsini owned and controlled the solar and battery markets to “solve” climate change. If any other party tried to “solve” the problem, they were considered competitors and outsiders and “taken-out” with coordinated “hit-jobs” including character assassinations, black-lists, DOE freeze-outs, permanent DOE stone-walling and “bottom-drawing” and other, more sinister and lethal, dirty tricks.



Senators Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, Kamala Harris, and Dianne Feinstein personally manipulated Climate Scam payola and personally received millions of dollars in cash and stock market kick-backs in compensation for their efforts.



[printable version](#) - [fixed-width version](#)

[Afghanistan](#) | [U.S.](#)

"DIRTY" CRIMES FOR "CLEAN" ENERGY: THE AFGHANISTAN LITHIUM SCAM

by Lucreta Munoz
Sunday Jun 21st, 2015 5:35 PM

"DIRTY" CRIMES FOR "CLEAN" ENERGY: THE AFGHANISTAN LITHIUM SCAM

Latest case assessment summary:

At this point, enough evidence has accumulated to clearly prove that a mining scam was underway involving trillions of dollars of lithium, which Tesla, John Doerr and the Silicon Valley Cartel, 1.) clearly acquired the monopoly ownership of, 2.) at exactly the right moment to time it to the Afghan War, 3.) and place their associate: Steven Chu in office, 4.) and arrange the Dept. of Energy exclusive cash give-aways and 5.) tie in with Goldman Sachs commodity rigging which every suspect has now been shown to have had an operational hand in, and financial & political benefit from.

Afghanistan: The Saudi Arabia of Lithium?

Lithium, which is from mobile phone nation's economy.

By JAMES RISEN
Published: June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in Afghanistan, far beyond any previously known reserves and enough to fundamentally alter the

As of late February, held Ener1 Group. loan to Think Global, which is trying to emerge from bankruptcy — is held by Bzinfin, a British Virgin Islands company whose "indirect beneficial owner" is Boris Zingarevich, a Russian businessman. Zingarevich has close ties to Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Prime Minister Vladimir Putin.

Investing in Lithium Mining Stocks

Green power corrupts

How To Profit from the Lithium Boom

By Brian Hicks
Friday, October 16th, 2009

Editor's Note:

While Western Lithium remains trades — and possibly a third — opportunity to double if not trip

Goldman Sachs culture 'toxic'? Letter confirms suspicions about Wall Street.

A123 lithium-ion battery maker bankruptcy fuels criticism of President Barack Obama's alternativ

Energy & Genius

The Saudi Arabia of Lithium

Brendan I. Koerner, 10.30.08, 06:00 PM EST
Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

The gas engine made petroleum the world's biggest commodity. The electric car could do the same for the third element on the

Ener1 Wants to Win Lithium Ion Battery Race



U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan

The problem with lithium

David Booth, National Post

RUSSIAN OWNED

Goldman Sachs discloses ownership in Talison Lithium Corp.

N chloride ring SCM creating the illucid the ultra-arid sala

Image: CarSpace

Talison Lithium Ltd's

Lithium ion battery manufacturer Ener1 (HEV) could become the country's first lithium ion bat mass producer—if it wins a \$480M Department of Energy loan. [CNNMoney](#) has more:

At this point, enough evidence has accumulated to clearly prove that a mining scam was underway involving trillions of dollars of lithium, which Tesla, John Doerr and the Silicon Valley Cartel, 1.) clearly acquired the monopoly ownership of, 2.) at exactly the right moment to time it to the Afghan War, 3.) and place their associate: Steven Chu in office, 4.) and arrange the Dept. of Energy exclusive cash give-aways and 5.) tie in with Goldman Sachs commodity rigging which every suspect has now been shown to have had an operational hand in, and financial & political benefit from.

Solyndra was staged to exploit indium and other related minerals from the same mining deal. Alas, the Afghan War failed, costing U.S. taxpayers (According to multiple news reports) over six trillion dollars, the Republicans found out about the "Cleantech Scam" and dissected it, almost all of the Cartel's pool of exploitation companies went out of business, the Russian portions went into cold war mode with their U.S. counter-parts and leaks from Dept. of Energy staff broke the cover-up. Senior Federal employees participated in, coordinated and benefitted from the crime.

How many Afghan farmers, soldiers and workers had to die to buy John Doerr his new mansion?

Why did federal employees get the profits from helping do this crime?

Climate scientists often apply adjustments to surface temperature thermometers to account for “biases” in the data. The new study doesn’t question the adjustments themselves but notes nearly all of them increase the warming trend.

Basically, “cyclical pattern in the earlier reported data has very nearly been ‘adjusted’ out” of temperature readings taken from weather stations, buoys, ships and other sources.

In fact, almost all the surface temperature warming adjustments cool past temperatures and warm more current records, increasing the warming trend, according to the study’s authors.

“Nearly all of the warming they are now showing are in the adjustments,” Meteorologist Joe D’Aleo, a study co-author, told The Daily Caller News Foundation in an interview. “Each dataset pushed down the 1940s warming and pushed up the current warming.”

“You would think that when you make adjustments you’d sometimes get warming and sometimes get cooling. That’s almost never happened,” said D’Aleo, who co-authored the study with statistician James Wallace and Cato Institute climate scientist Craig Idso.

Their study found measurements “nearly always exhibited a steeper warming linear trend over its entire history,” which was “nearly always accomplished by systematically removing the previously existing cyclical temperature pattern.”

“The conclusive findings of this research are that the three [global average surface temperature] data sets are not a valid representation of reality,” the study found. “In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments, that removed their cyclical temperature patterns, are totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data.”

Based on these results, the study’s authors claim the science underpinning the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) authority to regulate greenhouse gases “is invalidated.”

The new study will be included in petitions by conservative groups to the EPA to reconsider the 2009 endangerment finding, which gave the agency its legal authority to regulate carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases.

Sam Kazman, an attorney with the Competitive Enterprise Institute (CEI), said the study added an “important new piece of evidence to this debate” over whether to reopen the endangerment finding. CEI [petitioned](#) EPA to reopen the endangerment finding in February.

“I think this adds a very strong new element to it,” Kazman told TheDCNF. “It’s enough reason to open things formally and open public comment on the charges we make.”

Since President Donald Trump ordered EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt to review the Clean Power Plan, there’s been speculation the administration would reopen the endangerment finding to new scrutiny.

The Obama-era document used three lines of evidence to claim such emissions from vehicles “endanger both the public health and the public welfare of current and future generations.”

D’Aleo and Wallace filed a petition with EPA on behalf of their group, the Concerned Household Electricity Consumers Council (CHECC). They relied on past their past research, which found [one of EPA’s lines of evidence](#) “simply does not exist in the real world.”

Their 2016 study “failed to find that the steadily rising atmospheric CO2 concentrations have had a statistically significant impact on any of the 13 critically important temperature time series data analyzed.”

“In sum, all three of the lines of evidence relied upon by EPA to attribute warming to human GHG emissions are invalid,” reads CHCC’s petition. “The Endangerment Finding itself is therefore invalid and should be reconsidered”.

Pruitt’s largely been silent on whether or not he would reopen the endangerment finding, but the administrator did say he was [spearheading a red team exercise](#) to tackle climate science.

Secretary of Energy Rick Perry also came out in favor of red-blue team exercises, which are used by the military and intelligence agencies to expose any vulnerabilities to systems or strategies.

Environmental activists and climate scientists largely panned the idea, with some even arguing it would be “dangerous” to elevate minority scientific opinions.

“Such calls for special teams of investigators are not about honest scientific debate,” [wrote](#) climate scientist Ben Santer and Kerry Emanuel and historian and activist Naomi Oreskes.

“They are dangerous attempts to elevate the status of minority opinions, and to undercut the legitimacy, objectivity and transparency of existing climate science,” the three wrote in a recent Washington Post op-ed.

“Frankly, I think you could do a red-blue team exercise as part of reviewing the endangerment finding,” Kazman said.

Though Kazman did warn a red team exercise could be a double-edged sword if not done correctly. He worries some scientists not supportive of the idea could undermine the process from the inside and use it to grandstand.

The conclusions that can be drawn is either that climate ‘scientists’ including those at NOAA and NASA are fundamentally ignorant of the effects of atmospheric enthalpy and the correct metrics for energy content -or- there is significant malfeasance in these government agencies and academia.


Both conclusions support the immediate defunding of every climate ‘science’ department in NOAA, NASA and academia. The facts prove, beyond a doubt that: **Much of recent global warming has been fabricated by climate scientists to make it look more frightening in order to give Silicon Valley campaign billionaires free hand-outs of payola, a [study](#) has found.**

The peer-reviewed study by scientists and veteran statisticians looked at the global average temperature datasets (GAST) which are used by climate alarmists to argue that recent years have been “the hottest evah” and that the warming of the last 120 years has been dramatic and unprecedented.

What they found is that these readings are “totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data.”

That is, the adjusted data used by alarmist organizations like NASA, NOAA, and the UK Met Office differs so markedly from the original raw data that it cannot be trusted.

This chart gives you a good idea of the direction of the adjustments.



The blue bars show where the raw temperature data has been adjusted downwards to make it cooler; the red bars show where the raw temperature data has been adjusted upwards to make it warmer.

Note how most of the downward adjustments take place in the early twentieth century and most of the upward take place in the late twentieth century.

According to meteorologist Joe D'Aleo, who co-authored the study with statistician James Wallace and Cato Institute climate scientist Craig Idso, this has the effect of exaggerating the warming trend:

“Nearly all of the warming they are now showing are in the adjustments.”

“Each dataset pushed down the 1940s warming and pushed up the current warming.”


“You would think that when you make adjustments you’d sometimes get warming and sometimes get cooling. That’s almost never happened.”

What this means, the report concludes, is that claims by DOE, EPA, NASA, NOAA, and the UK Met Office that the world is experiencing unprecedented and dramatic warming should be taken with a huge pinch of salt: they all use the same corrupted global average temperature (GAST) data.

The conclusive findings of this research are that the three GAST data sets are not a valid representation of reality. In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments, that removed their cyclical temperature patterns, are totally inconsistent with published and credible published claims of

the three current

Pitch Document
Uranium mining
billionaires by
profits to Silicon



by America's
lley
erial mining

The entire Climate Change thing was a criminal profiteering scam.

Google’s scheme of paying professors to influence public opinion is also how the “global warming” scam works.

“Google operates a little-known program to harness the brain power of university researchers to help sway opinion and public policy, cultivating financial relationships with professors at campuses from Harvard University to the University of California, Berkeley,” the WSJ [reported](#).

In a similar fashion, politicians, foundations and corporate magnates also fund professors to perform “research” into “man-made climate change” which almost always reaches the existing consensus that it’s a threat only global government can handle.

The arguments claiming “the science is settled” and “97% of scientists believe in global warming” are *appealing to authority* fallacies that are easily debunked given the Google revelations.

And those were nearly the same arguments tobacco companies were making decades ago when they were funding scientists to downplay health risks associated with smoking.

“Research and other professional activities are professionally rewarded only if they are channeled in certain directions approved by a politicized academic establishment — funding, ease of getting your papers published, getting hired in prestigious positions, appointments to prestigious committees and boards, professional recognition, etc.,” [revealed](#) climatologist Dr. Judith Curry, who once held a tenured position at Georgia Tech before resigning in disgust.

Simply put, academia is not independent but is rather just one tentacle of a vast network of politicians and conformists in finance, media and entertainment who all work in unison to push agendas that originated from the private meetings of the world’s power players.

They’re motivated by the feelings of prestige that comes with joining the upper echelons of society that, unknown to them, only leads to decline of civilization thanks to the heavy burden this predatory class places on productive people who are the real sources of human innovation.

It's an inherent maxim of social climbers to abandon independent thought out of fear of public disapproval, and that's why the elites use them to push "global warming" and other propaganda meant to empower the state despite leading to an empty shell of civilization. Google's executives and investors are some of the largest financial beneficiaries of the political payola from Obama and Clinton's climate change/global warming scam. That is why Google hates Trump.

<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2017-07-15/research-team-slams-global-warming-data-new-report-not-reality-totally-inconsistent->

Research Team Slams Global Warming Data In New Report: "Not Reality... Totally Inconsistent With Credible Temperature Data"



by [Tyler Durden](#)

[Authored by Mac Slavo via SHTFplan.com.](#)



As world leaders, namely in the European Union, attack President Trump for pulling out of the Paris Climate Agreement which would have saddled Americans with billions upon billions of dollars in debt and economic losses, a new bombshell report that analyzed Global Average Surface Temperature

(GAST) data produced by NASA, the NOAA and HADLEY proves the President was right on target with his refusal to be a part of the new initiative.

According to [the report](#), which has been peer reviewed by administrators, scientists and researchers from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (M.I.T.), and several of America's leading universities, the data is completely bunk:

In this research report, the most important surface data adjustment issues are identified and past changes in the previously reported historical data are quantified. **It was found that each new version of GAST has nearly always exhibited a steeper warming linear trend over its entire history. And, it was nearly always accomplished by systematically removing the previously existing cyclical temperature pattern.** This was true for all three entities providing GAST data measurement, NOAA, NASA and Hadley CRU.

As a result, this research sought to validate the current estimates of GAST using the best available relevant data. This included the best documented and understood data sets from the U.S. and elsewhere as well as global data from satellites that provide far more extensive global coverage and are not contaminated by bad siting and urbanization impacts. Satellite data integrity also benefits from having cross checks with Balloon data.

The conclusive findings of this research are that the three GAST data sets are not a valid representation of reality. In fact, the magnitude of their historical data adjustments, that removed their cyclical temperature patterns, are **totally inconsistent with published and credible U.S. and other temperature data.** Thus, it is impossible to conclude from the three published GAST data sets that recent years have been the warmest ever –despite current claims of record setting warming.

Finally, since GAST data set validity is a necessary condition for EPA's GHG/CO2 Endangerment Finding, it too is invalidated by these research findings. ([Full Abstract Report](#))

Of course, this won't stop global climate normalcy deniers from saying it's all one big conspiracy to destroy the earth. They'll naturally argue that data adjustments to the temperatures need to be made for a variety of reasons, which is something the report doesn't dispute. What it does show, however, is that these "adjustments" always prove to be to the upside. Always warmer, never cooler:

While the notion that some "adjustments" to historical data might need to be made is not challenged, logically it would be expected that such historical temperature data adjustments would sometimes raise these temperatures, and sometimes lower them. This situation would mean that the impact of such adjustments on the temperature trend line slope is uncertain. However, each new version of GAST has nearly always exhibited a steeper warming linear trend over its entire history.

In short: The evidence has been falsified.


[Karl Denninger sums it up succinctly:](#)

It is therefore quite-clear that the data has been *intentionally* tampered with.

Since this has formed the basis for **plans to steal literal trillions of dollars** and has **already** resulted in the forced extraction of **hundreds of billions in aggregate for motorists and industry** this quite-clearly constitutes **the largest economic fraud ever perpetrated in the world.**

I call for the indictment and prosecution of every person and organization involved, asset-stripping all of them to their literal underwear.

The real data looks something like this:



(Via ZeroHedge.com)

And the establishment, along with their fanatical global warming myrmidons, continue to push the need for massive, costly initiatives to reduce green house gases and global temperatures to “normal” levels.

The problem, of course, is that there is no global warming according to the above referenced report.

Moreover, none of those supporting the Paris Climate Agreement and other initiatives have any idea what these behemoth regulations will actually do to curb climate change, as evidenced by the following video of Miami Beach Mayor Philip Levine, who despite his best efforts, can't seem to figure out exactly how these agreements actually lower temperatures and help Americans.

<http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/rendezview/whos-afraid-of-the-big-bad-climate-monster/news-story/5079c031c43e3de67572402640cc6fc0>

Who's afraid of the big bad climate monster? Al Gore's fear-mongering is all about making him rich!

•



Al Gore returns to the silver screen

IN Al Gore's latest cinematic dose of climate scaremongering, a young Asian man is crying.

"I feel so scared" he wails, before vision of solicitous uncle Al patting his hand in an attempt to soothe away his fears of the apocalypse.

Scaremongering is what Gore does best, and fear is the business model that has made him rich, though his every apocalyptic scenario has failed to materialise.

In Australia last week to spruik his upcoming movie An Inconvenient Sequel, the former US vice president tried it on again, claiming Mother Nature was "screaming" and the world would descend into "political disruption and chaos and diseases, stronger storms and more destructive floods" unless we buy his snake oil.

Silly Labor premiers bought that snake oil last week, pledging alongside the grinning Gore that Victoria, Queensland, the ACT and South Australia would embrace renewables to produce zero net emissions by 2050.

They haven't learned the lesson from SA's extreme green experiment with renewable energy that has produced nothing but crippling blackouts and the highest electricity prices in the world.

Any normal person with such a woeful record of accuracy as Gore would be ashamed to show his face. Eleven years after his Inconvenient Truth movie scared little kids witless, his warnings of [climate armageddon](#) have come to nothing.



Former US vice president

Al Gore was recently in Sydney to promote his documentary *An Inconvenient Sequel: Truth to Power*. (Pic: AAP/Keri Megelus)

“Unless we take drastic measures the world would reach a point of no return within 10 years,” he told us then. Wrong. In fact the world has just been through almost 20 years in which there has been a hiatus in global warming, even as carbon dioxide has increased: an “inconvenient pause” as some wags put it.

Around the world people are waking up to the fact that their leaders have been crying wolf, while their electricity bills go through the roof.

Australia’s prosperity is built on the reams of cheap, abundant fossil fuel under our feet, and yet green zealots have forced us into an energy crisis.

But when Liberal backbencher Craig Kelly last week pointed out the logical fact that Australians will die because of high power bills, he was slammed as a “scaremonger” by the very people who worship at Al Gore’s feet.

Yes, cold kills, and electricity prices have doubled in the past decade, as uncertainty plagues the energy sector, and cheap coal-fired power is priced out of the market by government subsidies for unreliable renewable energy production.

The states, which bear much of the blame, continue with the fantasy that you can replace coal with wind and solar while simultaneously banning the development of onshore gas fields.

The iron-clad law of energy supply is that more renewables force out baseload power, which you need when the sun doesn’t shine and the wind doesn’t blow.

Yet SA is pretending that the world’s biggest battery built at huge taxpayer expense by another global green huckster, Elon Musk, is going to save the day.

The diabolic task facing federal Energy Minister Josh Frydenberg is to wrangle agreement on something approaching a rational energy policy out of the recently released Finkel Review.



South Australian premier Jay

Weatherill with Tesla's Elon Musk. (Pic: Facebook)

Unlike Donald Trump, this government doesn't have an electoral mandate for pulling out of the Paris treaty.

Tony Abbott was a climate sceptic yet he signed us up to the Paris renewable energy target of slashing emissions by 26-28 per cent by 2050.

That was all he could get through Senate where even mining millionaire Clive Palmer had been got at by Al Gore. So this is where we are.

Appointing Alan Finkel as chief scientist was one of Malcolm Turnbull's first tasks after he deposed Abbott. Like Turnbull, Finkel is a climate true believer who drives an electric car and powers his South Yarra home on renewables.

He's also an accomplished scientist and entrepreneur with a PhD in electrical engineering.

He's smart but he has produced a report bullish on renewables and bearish on coal.

Finkel is right that wimpish investors have deserted coal in Australia and that electricity prices have soared because of the uncertainty that ensued since Labor's vandalism from 2007.

But coal is nowhere near obsolete. As the Australian Minerals Council points out, coal is the world's leading source of electricity and will be till at least 2040.

In our region countries are busy building new clean coal plants. In East Asia alone 1250 new plants are under construction or planned.

Yet in the past eight years in Australia not a single new baseload coal or gas generation unit has been built.

That has to change.

Turnbull has now come around to that realisation, telling the Liberal National Party state convention in Brisbane yesterday: "Those people who say coal and other fossil fuels have no future are delusional."

Fossil fuels are here to stay, despite Al Gore.

“Climate Change” issues were entirely designed for Big Change in Silicon Valley Campaign Financiers Pockets.

The only thing “green” about the Clinton and Obama “climate” scam was the color of the dollar bills that went into Silicon Valley billionaire’s private off-shore tax evasion accounts!