

THE BIG BOOK OF BRIBERY

HOW YOUR POLITICIANS, LAWYERS AND TECH OLIGARCHS SELL THEIR
SOULS LIKE PUBLIC WHORES

As Witnessed By Wikipedia Contributors

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION.....4

2 Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock

3 warrants which is never reported to the FEC..... 5

4 Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine

5 rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC.....6

6 Free home and office rent.....7

7 Male and female prostitutes.....8

8 Cars and boats.....9

9 INSIDER TRADING TIP EXCHANGES..... 11

10 THE FACEBOOK PLAY.....15

11 PARTIES.....38

12 STATEMENT OF FACTS.....40

13 LIST OF HARMS TO PLAINTIFF BY DEFENDANTS.....123

14 HARM #1: MANIPULATION AND BLOCKADE OF PLAINTIFFS EARNED

15 GOVERNMENT FUNDS.....123

16 HARM #2: THREATS OF DEATH AND ACTUAL MURDERS OF PEERS.....125

17 HARM #3: THE GOVERNMENT DEFRAUDED PLAINTIFF OVER AND OVER:..128

18 HARM #4: THE PLACING OF MOLES AND SPYING ON PLAINTIFF:.....128

19 HARM #5: BLOCKADES OF PLAINTIFF ACCESS TO LEGAL COUNSEL:.....129

20 HARM #6: HIRED CHARACTER ASSASSINATION AND DEFAMATION SERVICES

21 THAT DEFENDANTS USED TO DESTROY PLAINTIFF’S LIFE.....130

22 HARM #7: DEFENDANTS USED FACTORY-PROCESSED SOCIAL MEDIA

23 ATTACKS AGAINST PLAINTIFF:.....145

24 HARM #8: GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS CAUSED SSA AND HUD BENEFITS

25 BLOCKADES AND MANIPULATIONS AS REPRISAL AGAINST PLAINTIFF:.....146

26 HARM #9: DEFENDANTS USED BLACKLISTING AGAINST PLAINTIFF:.....154

27 HARM #10: DEFENDANTS ORDERED FOIA OBFUSCATION OF PLAINTIFF’S

28 FOIA AND STILL HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO MANY FOR YEARS BECAUSE

THEY SHAME DEFENDANTS:.....154

HARM #11: DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN ARBITRARY DEADLINE

MANIPULATION:.....155

HARM #12: POLITICAL POISONING & TOXIC EXPOSURE BY DEFENDANTS

HARMED PLAINTIFF:.....157

HARM #13: WORKPLACE SABOTAGE AND OBSTRUCTION OF PLAINTIFFS

RIGHT TO WORK:.....157

HARM #14: MEDIA ASSASSINATION PROGRAMS WERE CONTRACTED BY

DEFENDANTS TO HARM PLAINTIFF:.....158

HARM #15: COMMERCIAL EMPLOYMENT DATABASE POISONING AND RED-

FLAGGING OF PLAINTIFFS HR DATABASE REFERENCES WERE CREATED TO

HARM PLAINTIFF’S INCOME POTENTIAL:.....158

HARM #16: ATTEMPTS ON THE LIVES OF WHISTLE-BLOWERS BY

DEFENDANTS WERE MEANT TO FRIGHTEN PLAINTIFF:.....159

HARM #17: DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN REVENUE BLOCKADES AND

INTERNET INCOME RE-DIRECTION TO MINIMIZE PLAINTIFF RESOURCES SO

HE COULD NOT FIGHT BACK:.....159

HARM #18: DEFENDANTS USED THE TROLL FARM DEPLOYMENTS AGAINST

PLAINTIFF THAT THEY USE AGAINST PRESIDENTIAL OPPOSITION

CANDIDATES:.....160

HARM #19: MANUAL SEARCH ENGINE LOCK-IN ATTACKS WERE

CONTRACTED FROM GOOGLE AND YOUTUBE, BY DEFENDANTS, TO HARM

PLAINTIFF:.....160

HARM #20: THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE MANIPULATION WAS USED TO

BLOCKADE REVENUE FROM PLAINTIFF’S INVENTIONS:.....161

1	HARM #21: HONEY-TRAPS WERE PLACED ON SOCIAL MEDIA SITES BY DEFENDANTS SPY OPERATIVES TO HARM PLAINTIFF:.....	165
2	HARM #22: A FAKE NEWS TABLOID EMPIRE WAS CREATED JUST FOR DEFAMATION ATTACKS, BY DEFENDANTS, AND USED TO HARM PLAINTIFF:.....	165
3	HARM #23: HOUSING BLOCKADES WERE DEPLOYED BY DEFENDANTS TO HARM PLAINTIFF:.....	165
4	HARM #24: HACKING OF PLAINTIFFS DEVICES OCCURRED ON ORDERS OF DEFENDANTS:.....	166
5	HARM #25: TECH INDUSTRY BLACK-LIST COORDINATION AGAINST PLAINTIFF HAD COMMAND-AND-CONTROL OUT OF DEFENDANTS OFFICES:.....	166
6	HARM #26: HUD AND USDA MORTGAGE RIGHTS BLOCKADES TO HARM PLAINTIFF HOUSING IN REPRISAL AS DIRECTED BY DEFENDANTS VIA THEIR 'DIRTY TRICKS' TEAM:.....	167
7	CLAIMS AND LIST OF CAUSES OF ACTION.....	169
8	ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION.....	170
9	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF CONTRACT (CONFIDENTIALITY).....	170
10	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: FIFTH AMENDMENT TAKING.....	171
11	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (CONFIDENTIALITY).....	171
12	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT).....	172
13	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL FRAUD.....	173
14	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONCEALMENT FRAUD.....	174
15	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION.....	175
16	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS.....	176
17	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT.....	177
18	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ABUSE OF PROCESS.....	177
19	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FTCA VIOLATIONS.....	177
20	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION.....	178
21	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION.....	178
22	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT.....	178
23	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD.....	179
24	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT AND TRADE LIBEL.....	179
25	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.....	179
26	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS.....	180
27	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE INCLUDING A.) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH AN EXISTING CONTRACT, B.) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE, C.) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONS CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS.....	181
28	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT.....	182
	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT.....	182
	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO MONOPOLIZE.....	182
	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ANTI-TRUST LAW VIOLATIONS.....	183
	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LABOR LAW VIOLATIONS AND OTHER CAUSES.....	183
	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WITNESS FEES, INFORMANT FEES AND WHISTLE-BLOWER AWARDS.....	184

1	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION.....	184
2	LIBEL PER SE.....	184
3	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION LIBEL PER QUOD AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS.....	185
4	CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL NEGLIGENCE.....	186
5	DEMANDS AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....	187
6	(AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION).....	187

7 **Introduction**

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Free home and office rent

Murders in exchange for silence

EXCLUSIVE: Family of Bill Clinton advisor who admitted Jeffrey Epstein into White House seven times has blocked release of files detailing the death scene after he was found hanging from a tree with a shotgun blast at a ranch 30 miles from his home

- Top Clinton advisor Mark Middleton died by suicide at the age of 59 on May 7, the Perry County Sheriff's Office in Arkansas confirmed
- Middleton was President Bill Clinton's special advisor who admitted Jeffrey Epstein to the White House seven of the at least 17 times the pedophile visited
- The married father-of-two, who lived in Little Rock, Arkansas, shot himself at the Heifer Ranch in Perryville, 30 miles away from his home
- DailyMail.com can now reveal Middleton's father Larry and his widow Rhea are fighting to keep photos and 'other illustrative content' of his death sealed
- The two filed for an injunction arguing that blocking the release of the footage would halt a proliferation of 'unsubstantiated conspiracy theories'
- The lawsuit claims the family 'has been harassed by outlandish, hurtful, unsupported and offensive online articles' regarding Middleton and his death
- Perry County Sheriff Scott Montgomery said Middleton was discovered hanging from a tree with a shotgun blast to his chest
- After the petition was filed, Montgomery denied DailyMail.com's FOIA request for any of his paperwork on the case

By [Ben Ashford](#) and [Daniel Bates For Dailymail.com](#)

1 Published: | Updated:

- 2 • [e-mail](#)

- 3 •

4
5 **4.9k** shares

6
7 [137](#)

8 [View comments](#)

9
10
11 The family of a top advisor to [Bill Clinton](#) who admitted [Jeffrey Epstein](#) to the [White](#)
12 [House](#) multiple times during his presidency is pulling out all the stops to keep details of his
13 mysterious death becoming public.

14 They have petitioned a judge to prevent pictures of Mark Middleton's death scene being
15 released under the Freedom of Information Act.

16
17 And now the local [Arkansas](#) sheriff is interpreting that to mean he can't talk or release any
18 details of Middleton's May 7 suicide.

19
20
21 'The investigation is still open. I can't say anything more,' Perry County Sheriff Scott
22 Montgomery told DailyMail.com.

23
24 Middleton, who served as special assistant to President Bill Clinton in the 1990s, died at the
25 age of 59, his family announced last month.

- 26
27 •

1 Mark Middleton, the former special assistant to President Bill Clinton, died by suicide at the
2 age of 59 on May 7, the Perry County Sheriff's Office in Arkansas confirmed

3
4
5 •

6
7 Middleton was the authorizing figure who admitted Jeffrey Epstein to the White House on
8 seven of the at least 17 times the late pedophile visited. Epstein, Ghislaine Maxwell and Clinton are
9 seen in 1993 at the White House

10 His death adds to the number of close associates of the former president and first lady who
11 have died unexpectedly, many in small plane crashes. The phenomenon has led to a conspiracy
12 theory called Clinton Body Count which even has its own Wikipedia page.

13
14 Middleton's family did not disclose the cause of death at the time but authorities later
15 confirmed the former White House official took his own life with a self-inflicted gunshot at an
16 urban farm in Perryville, Arkansas.

17
18 In a lawsuit filed on May 23, the family admits Middleton committed suicide, and says they
19 have 'a privacy interest' in preventing any 'photographs, videos, sketches (or) other illustrative
20 content' from the death scene being released.

21 They claim it would lead to 'outlandish, hurtful, unsupported and offensive articles' being
22 published online.

23
24 They argued that keeping the footage and files sealed would halt a proliferation of
25 'unsubstantiated conspiracy theories'.

26 A judge is due to hear the case on June 14.

27
28 After the petition was filed, Perry County Sheriff Scott Montgomery denied

1 DailyMail.com's FOIA request for any of his paperwork on the case, which would include the
2 police report and written files, even though they do not contain any graphic images.

3
4 Late last year, DailyMail.com exclusively [revealed](#) Middleton was among the Clinton
5 advisors and aides who had let Epstein into the White House during the former president's first few
6 years in office.

7 The late pedophile made at least 17 trips to the White House between 1993 and 1995, seven
8 of which were authorized by Middleton.

9
10 The Clinton aide was also one of the many passengers to fly on Epstein's jet, known as the
11 'Lolita Express'.

12 Middleton's family last month confirmed he passed away on May 7 in a statement which did
13 not reveal the cause of death.

14
15 It has since been confirmed that the former advisor, who lived in Little Rock, [Arkansas](#),
16 took his own life at the Heifer Ranch in Perryville, owned by an anti-poverty nonprofit called Heifer
17 International, 30 miles away from his home.

18
19
20 •

21
22 DailyMail.com can reveal Middleton's father Larry and his widow Rhea (pictured with Mark
23 and their two daughters) are fighting to keep photos and 'other illustrative content' of his death
24 sealed

25
26
27 •

28 The married father-of-two, who lived in Little Rock, Arkansas, shot himself at the Heifer

1 Ranch in Perryville, 30 miles away from his home

2

3

4

•

5

6 Perry County Sheriff Scott Montgomery said Middleton was discovered on the property
7 hanging from a tree with a shotgun blast to his chest. It is unclear what connection he had to the
8 ranch. Pictured: General view of Heifer Ranch

9

10

11

•

12

13 The Middleton family did not disclose his cause of death at the time of the announcement.
14 The only potential hint at a possible cause of death was a request in Middleton's obituary that,
15 instead of flowers, well wishers donate to a service that specializes in counseling and therapy linked
16 to the New Life Church

16

17

18 Chris Cox Heifer, a spokesman for Heifer International, said that ranch workers found
19 Middleton's car in the parking lot and notified the sheriff.

19

20

The body was found shortly afterwards.

21

22 Heifer told DailyMail.com: 'He wasn't invited to the property and staff became aware that he
23 was there without authorization.

23

24

'We have not found any connection to Heifer.

25

26 'The ranch is well known in the area and it's possible that he could have attended something
27 here but we couldn't find any major links.

27

28

'The ranch hosts school groups for things like lambing so he could have attended one of

1 those. It's a very unfortunate incident.'

2
3 In an interview with RadarOnline – before he decided to clam up – Sheriff Montgomery said
4 Middleton was discovered hanging from a tree with a shotgun blast to his chest.

5 He reportedly used an extension cord as a noose and created makeshift gallows underneath
6 the tree which appeared to be there as a failsafe in case the shotgun blast didn't kill him.

7
8 The married father-of-two, who ran an air conditioning business, did not leave a note,
9 though he told his family he was 'depressed', Montgomery said.

10 'I don't know the man, and I don't why he picked our county or picked that location to
11 commit suicide. To our knowledge, he had never been there before, and we have no record of him
12 being there before.

13
14 'He died from a self-inflicted shotgun wound to the chest. He found a tree and he pulled a
15 table over there, and he got on that table, and he took an extension cord and put it around a limb, put
16 it around his neck and he shot himself in the chest with a shotgun.

17
18 'It was very evident that the shotgun worked because there was not a lot of blood or anything
19 on the scene. You can tell the shotgun blast was on his chest, you can tell that because there is a
20 hole in the chest and pellets came out the back of his back. It was definitely self-inflicted in our
21 opinion'.

22
23
24 •

25 The married father-of-two, who ran an air conditioning business, did not leave a note,
26 though he told his family he was 'depressed'

1 •
2 Documents from Middleton's probate file show that he had \$200,000 in assets, including
3 \$100,000 worth of property
4

5 •
6
7
8 Middleton had for decades run an air conditioning business in Little Rock but in the 1990s
9 he was a special advisor to Clinton and finance director on his Presidential campaign
10

11 The injunction was filed two weeks after Middleton's death at the circuit court of Perry
12 County by Rhea Middleton and Larry Middleton, Middleton's widow and father.

13 It states that they have a 'privacy interest in photographs, videos, sketches and other
14 illustrative content depicting Mark Middleton's body or scene of Mr. Middleton's death' in the
15 possession of the Perry County Sheriff and the county coroner.
16

17 The lawsuit states: 'Since Mr. Middleton's death, Mr. Middleton's family has been harassed
18 by outlandish, hurtful, unsupported and offensive online articles regarding Mr. Middleton, his death
19 and his family. These articles are scurrilous, baseless and malicious'.
20

21 Publishing images of Middleton's body would cause the family 'irreparable harm', they
22 claim.

23 'This lawsuit seeks a declaration that any Media Content depicting Mr. Middleton's body or
24 scene of Mr. Middleton's death should not be disclosed under the FOIA,' the documents state.
25

26 In an affidavit, Larry Middleton states that the reports about his son's death have been
27 'unsubstantiated conspiracy theories' which have caused 'unimaginable pain to myself and my
28 family'.

1 He claims to have 'extreme fear and anxiety' at the prospect of further details being made
2 public.

3
4 Larry Middleton writes: 'Once disclosed, my family will face inconceivable amounts of
5 harassment, threats and pain from the inquiry and use of such content'.

6 The Middletons did not respond to DailyMail.com requests for comment.

7
8 Documents from Middleton's probate file show that he had \$200,000 in assets, including
9 \$100,000 worth of property.

10 The lawsuit confirms that Middleton died by suicide, something that was not mentioned in
11 the announcement of his death in a Facebook post – now deleted – for the family's air conditioning
12 company, Middleton Heat & Air.

13
14
15 •

16
17
18 •

19
20
21
22 •

23
24
25 •

26
27 The family filed a petition for an injunction on May 23 seeking a declaration that any 'media
28 content depicting Middleton's body' or the scene of his death should not be disclosed under the

1 FOIA

2
3 The statement described him as an 'inspiring and dedicated leader, as well as a son, brother,
4 husband, and father'.

5 The only potential hint at the cause of death was a request in Middleton's obituary asking for
6 well wishers donate to a service that specializes in counseling and therapy linked to the New Life
7 Church in Arkansas, in lieu of flowers.

8
9 Middleton's life in recent years was a world away from the political circles he ran in during
10 the 1990s.

11 White House visitor logs previously reported by DailyMail.com showed that he appears as
12 the authorizing official on seven of Epstein's White House visits, most of which were to the West
13 Wing.

14
15 In addition to being a special assistant to the president, Middleton was also assistant to the
16 chief of staff, Thomas F. 'Mack' McLarty.

17 Middleton left the White House in February 1995 and was accused of setting himself up as
18 an international deal-maker, exactly the kind of person that would appeal to Epstein.

19
20 In 1996 an investigation by the White House found that Middleton had abused his access to
21 impress business clients and he was barred from the executive mansion without senior approval.

22
23 Middleton denied the claims.

24
25 •

26
27 Middleton also flew on Epstein's plane and appears to have acted as a conduit between the
28 two men. Clinton and Ghislaine Maxwell are seen on the plane

1
2 •
3
4 DailyMail.com previously revealed Epstein visited the White House on 14 separate days and
5 stopped by twice in one day on three occasions during Bill Clinton's first term. Middleton admitted
6 him at least seven times
7

8 •
9
10 In addition to being a special assistant to the president, Middleton was also assistant to the
11 chief of staff, Thomas 'Mack' McLarty. Clinton and McLarty are pictured in the White House in
12 1993
13

14 Several Clinton's former associates have died over the years in unexpected circumstances,
15 including deputy White House counsel Vince Foster.

16 His 1993 death was ruled a suicide but sparked a slew of conspiracy theories about the
17 involvement of the Clintons.
18

19 After Epstein hanged himself while awaiting trial in 2019 Donald Trump retweeted
20 conspiracy theories that Clinton was involved.
21

22 Many others with links to Bill and Hillary Clinton have died in mysterious circumstances
23 over the years. Wikipedia even has a page called Clinton Body Count which lists those people.
24 Others whose deaths have been linked to the Clintons without foundation have been Seth Rich, the
25 Democratic National Committee staffer who was murdered in 2016 with no culprit having been
26 found.

27 **THE CLINTON ASSOCIATES WHO HAVE DIED MYSTERIOUSLY**

28 Several of Bill Clinton's former associates have died over the years under mysterious

1 circumstances, sparking a conspiracy theory known as the Clinton Body Count.

2
3 There is even a Wikipedia page that outlines the conspiracy. It points the finger at
4 Newsmax publisher Christopher Ruddy, Congresswoman Marjorie Taylor Green and others for
5 advancing the theory.

6 The manner of death is diverse. Many died in small plane crashes, others committed suicide,
7 some were shot. One dropped a barbell on his neck while exercising.

8
9 The theory came to a head when Hillary Clinton was running for president in 2016 when
10 five people died in a six-week span stretching from June 22 to August 2.

11 Former Clinton associate Larry Nichols, told DailyMail.com then: ‘I’m not saying the
12 Clintons kill people. I’m saying a lot of people around the Clintons turn up dead.’

13
14 Several different lists have been drawn up. These are among those most frequently cited as
15 possible victims. Now the name of Mark Middleton will be added to the body count lists.

16
17 Judi Gibbs

18
19 Judi Gibbs, 32, January 3, 1986: The one-time Penthouse Pet died alongside her lover Bill
20 Puterburgh, 57, in an unexplained house fire in Fordyce, Arkansas. She was a high-class prostitute
21 who used hotels and racetracks to pick up rich and powerful men and was known to have had an
22 affair with then-Arkansas governor Bill Clinton who would fly her from her home town to Little
23 Rock. Rumors of a compromising picture of the two of them were rife, but if it ever existed, it was
24 probably destroyed in the fire. The families of both Gibbs and Puterburgh told DailyMail.com in
25 2016 they believe the fire was set deliberately.

26
27 Kevin Ives and Don Henry
28

1 Kevin Ives, 17, and Don Henry, 16, August 23, 1987: The two teens were crushed by a train,
2 in Alexander, Arkansas. Their deaths were ruled accidental, with the medical examiner saying they
3 had fallen asleep on a railroad line after smoking marijuana, but a grand jury found they had been
4 murdered before being placed on the tracks. They had allegedly stumbled on a plot to smuggle
5 drugs and guns from an airport in Mena, Arkansas, that Gov. Bill Clinton was said to be involved
6 in.

7
8
9 Victor Raiser

10 Victor Raiser, 53, July 30, 1992: The second finance co-chair of Bill Clinton's presidential
11 campaign was killed along with his son in a plane crash during a fishing vacation in Alaska.
12 Conspiracy theorists believe the crash was deliberately caused. Campaign press secretary Dee Dee
13 Myers called Raiser a major player in the organization.

14
15
16 Paul Tully

17
18 Paul Tully, 48, September 25, 1992: The Democratic strategist died of an apparent heart
19 attack. A chain-smoking, heavy-drinking political consultant who weighed in at more than 320 lb.
20 Tully died seven weeks before Clinton's first presidential election win. He had been political
21 director of the DNC during Clinton's rise. Tully was on the left of the Democratic Party and usually
22 worked for those who shared his views, however he agreed to work for Clinton because he was
23 impressed with his oratory and thought he was the only Democrat who could beat President George
24 Bush.

25
26 Paula Gober

27
28 Paula Gober, 36, December 7, 1992: Clinton's interpreter for the deaf for several years died

1 in a single car accident. Gober had traveled with him while he was governor of Arkansas. Her
2 vehicle overturned on a bend, throwing her 30 feet. There were no witnesses.

3
4
5 Vince Foster with Hillary Clinton in 1985

6
7
8 Vince Foster, 48, July 20, 1993: The Arkansas lawyer committed suicide. President Clinton
9 appointed Foster to deputy White House counsel when he became president in 1993. It didn't take
10 long for Foster, 48, to realize he had made a terrible mistake by accepting the post. He hated the
11 work and fell into a deep depression. Just six months into the job, his body was found in his car in
12 Fort Marcy Park, Virginia, a gun in his hand and a suicide note torn into 27 pieces in the trunk.
13 Conspiracy theorists believe he was murdered by the Clintons for knowing too much.

14
15 Stanley Heard, 47, September 10, 1993: The Arkansas chiropractor died in a small plane
16 crash. According to 1998 book 'A Profession of One's Own,' the doctor treated the Clinton family.
17 Heard was asked by Bill Clinton to represent the practice as plans for 'Hillarycare' were being
18 finalized. His attorney Steve Dickson, was flying him home from a healthcare meeting in
19 Washington DC just eight months into the Clinton presidency. On the way to the capital from his
20 home in Kansas, Dickson's small plane developed problems so he landed in St. Louis and rented
21 another plane. That rented plane was the one that crashed in rural Virginia, killing both men.

22
23
24
25 Jerry Parks

26
27 Jerry Parks, 47, September 23, 1993: The head of security for Bill Clinton's headquarters in
28 Arkansas, was shot to death. As he drove home in West Little Rock, two men in a white Chevrolet
pulled alongside his car and sprayed it with semi-automatic gunfire. As Parks's car stopped a man

1 stepped out of the Chevy and shot him twice with a 9mm pistol and sped off. Despite there being
2 several witnesses, no-one was ever arrested. The killing came two months after Parks had watched
3 news of Vince Foster's death and allegedly told his son Gary 'I'm a dead man.' His wife Lois
4 remarried and her second husband, Dr. David Millstein was stabbed to death in 2006.

5
6 Edward Willey Jr, 60, November 29, 1993: The Clinton fundraiser was found dead in the
7 Virginia woods. He was having serious money problems and his wife, a volunteer aide in the White
8 House, agreed to ask Bill Clinton for a paid job. Their meeting ended when Clinton allegedly forced
9 himself on her in the Oval Office, kissing her, fondling her breast and pushing her hand on to his
10 genitals. Four years later Kathleen Willey wrote a book in which she put forward a theory that the
11 Clintons may have had her husband murdered. She said after his death, a friend had told her that Ed
12 had confided that he took briefcases full of cash to the Clintons' base in Little Rock, Arkansas
13 during Bill's first presidential campaign.

14
15 Herschel Friday

16
17 Herschel Friday, 70, March 1, 1994: The Arkansas lawyer died in a small plane crash when
18 he lost control as he came in to land at his Arkansas ranch. President Richard Nixon had once
19 considered Friday for the Supreme Court. He was known as a benefactor of Bill Clinton, serving on
20 his campaign finance committee after his law firm had persuaded the then-governor to support a tax
21 package that helped the state's horse racing industry.

22
23 Kathy Ferguson, 37, May 11, 1994: The ex-wife of Arkansas State Trooper Danny
24 Ferguson, who was named in a sexual harassment suit brought by Paula Jones against Bill Clinton.
25 Ferguson died by gun suicide. She left a note blaming problems with her fiancé, Bill Shelton. A
26 month later Shelton, upset about the suicide verdict, killed himself.

27
28 Ron Brown and Bill Clinton

1 Ron Brown, 54, April 3, 1996: The chair of the Democratic National Committee died in a
2 plane crash in Croatia. He became head of the DNC during Bill Clinton's rise to the presidential
3 nomination and was rewarded with the cabinet position. He was under a corruption investigation
4 when his plane slammed into a mountainside. Doctors who examined his body found a circular
5 wound on the top of his head which led to suspicions that he had died before the plane crashed, but
6 that theory was later discounted. The crash was attributed to pilot error.

7
8
9 Charles Meissner

10 Charles Meissner, 56, April 3, 1996: The assistant secretary for international trade died in
11 the same plane crash as Brown. Meissner had been criticized for allegedly giving special security
12 clearance to John Huang, who later pleaded guilty to federal conspiracy charges for violating
13 campaign finance laws, in a case that enmeshed the Clinton administration.

14
15 Barbara Wise, 48, November 29, 1996: Wise, who worked alongside Brown, Meissner and
16 Huang in the Commerce Department was found dead at her desk on the day after Thanksgiving. Her
17 death was originally classified as a homicide but police later said Wise, 48, who had a history of
18 severe ill health, had died from natural causes. A local TV station initially quoted an unidentified
19 police source as saying her body was partially nude and her office was locked, but those reports
20 were also later denied.

21
22
23 Mary Mohaney

24 Mary Mohaney, 25, July 7, 1997: The White House intern was gunned down along with two
25 assistants at the Washington D.C. Starbucks, where she was night manager. The gay rights activist
26 reportedly acted as a 'mother-figure' to various women who had allegedly been sexually harassed by
27 Bill Clinton.

28

1 Jim McDougal

2
3 Jim McDougal, 57, March 8, 1998: McDougal and his wife Susan were involved in the
4 Whitewater real estate scandal that rocked the Clinton administration. They and the Clintons had
5 invested \$203,000 to buy land in the Ozarks but the venture failed and McDougal was convicted of
6 corruption for borrowing money from his Savings and Loan to cover the cost. He died of a heart
7 attack while in federal prison in Fort Worth, Texas.

8
9 Linda Thompson

10
11 Linda Thompson, 56, May 10, 2009: The founder of the American Justice Foundation and
12 right-wing radio personality was the person who drew up the first list of deaths associated with
13 people who had worked with Clinton in 1994. She died in St. Petersburg, Florida, when she took an
14 overdose of medication prescribed for a gastric bypass surgery that she had had more than a decade
15 earlier.

16
17
18 John Ashe

19 John Ashe, 61, June 22, 2016: The Antiguan politician was pumping iron at his home in
20 Dobbs Ferry, New York, when he dropped the weight on his neck. He died from 'traumatic
21 asphyxiation. Ashe was about to stand trial in a corruption case for allegedly receiving \$500,000
22 from billionaire real estate developer Ng Lap Seng. Ng was involved in a fundraising scandal and
23 named in a 1998 Senate report for illegally funneling hundreds of thousands of dollars to the DNC
24 during Bill Clinton's presidency. 'During the trial, the prosecutors would have linked Ashe to the
25 Clinton bagman Ng. It would have been very embarrassing. His death was conveniently timed,' The
26 [New York Post](#) reported.

1 Seth Rich

2
3 Seth Rich, 27, July 10, 2016: The Operations Director for Voter Expansion for the DNC,
4 was found murdered on in Washington, DC. He was shot in the back a block from his apartment at
5 4:20am. His killers have not been identified. Conspiracy theorists believe Rich may have been
6 involved in the DNC email leak in 2016. His death initially appeared like a robbery gone wrong but
7 his mother Mary Rich claims that nothing was taken from her son, who was found with two shots in
8 his back. The mystery surrounding his death sparked a flurry of theories, including claims that he
9 was on his way to speak to the FBI when he was shot.
10

11
12 Joe Montano

13 Joe Montano, 47, July 25, 2016: The former Democratic National Conference Chairman
14 with in-depth knowledge of Hillary Clinton's campaign, died from what authorities say was a heart
15 attack – the day that year's DNC opened.
16

17
18 Victor Thorn

19
20
21
22 Victor Thorn, 54, August 1, 2016: The journalist and strong critic of the couple died on his
23 birthday. Thorn is said to have climbed a mountain near his State College, Pennsylvania, home
24 before shooting himself to death. He wrote a trilogy of books on the Clintons, devoting one of the
25 books to the number of their contacts who had mysteriously died.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Shawn Lucas

Shawn Lucas, 38, August 2, 2016: The lawyer who supported Bernie Sanders, was found dead on the bathroom floor of his Washington DC apartment. Just a month earlier he had attempted to serve papers on Rep. Debbie Wasserman-Schultz in a fraud case that alleged the party had unfairly favored Hillary Clinton in the primaries over Sanders. A video of Lucas taking the papers to the DNC's Washington headquarters showed Lucas, who was said to have been a Sanders supporter, calling serving the papers 'the most gratifying thing I have ever done.'

Jeffrey Epstein

Jeffrey Epstein, 66, August 10, 2019: The convicted pedophile died in federal prison in Manhattan, apparently by hanging himself in his cell. He had attempted suicide three weeks earlier and was placed on suicide watch. A guard was supposed to look in on him every 30 minutes but fell asleep on the night of Epstein's death. Clinton had close ties to Epstein and had flown on his private plane to his private Caribbean island, but has always denied any involvement in his illicit activities.

Advertisement

Advertisement

Share or comment on this article:

Family of late Clinton advisor Mark

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Male and female prostitutes

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Cars and boats

1
2 **Dinners paid for by the influence operators**

3
4 ; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services
5 "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family
6 Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from
7 McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-
8 offs conduited for donors; Gallery art; Private jet rides and the use of Government fuel depots (ie:
9 Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Recreational drugs; Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The
10 use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC,
11 Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deustche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock
12 accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high
13 tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit
14 bribes to officials; Payroll W2 and 1099 payments which were actually bribe payments for political
15 work such as character assassinations and internet rigging; and other means now documented by us,
16
17
18 The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

1
2 **INSIDER TRADING TIP EXCHANGES**

3
4 House Speaker Nancy Pelosi discloses up to \$1.5 million in Apple trades and up to \$600,000
5 in Microsoft months after supporting legislation regulating lawmakers' stock activity

6 Speaker Nancy Pelosi disclosed on Monday new stock activity

7 This including purchases of options to buy up between \$750,000 and \$1.5 million shares in Apple
8 and up to \$600,000 in Microsoft

9 The disclosure of call options from her husband, Paul Pelosi, comes the week after he was arrested
10 for drunk driving and charged with a DUI

11 Speaker Pelosi changed her tune on stocks months ago when saying she would support regulation
12 on lawmakers' trading activity


13
14 By KATELYN CARALLE,

15
16 House Speaker Nancy Pelosi disclosed new stock market trades on Monday, showing
17 purchases of options to buy between \$750,000 and \$1.5 million shares of Apple Inc and hundreds of
18 thousands in Microsoft Corp.

19
20 In a periodic transaction report signed last Friday and appearing on the House of Representatives'
21 website on Monday, the California Democrat disclosed that her husband, financier Paul Pelosi, on
22 May 13 bought Apple call options for between \$500,001 and \$1 million.

23
24 On May 24, he bought more Apple call options, in an amount between \$250,001 and \$500,000, the
25 disclosure shows.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



PERIODIC TRANSACTION REPORT

Clerk of the House of Representatives • Legislative Resource Center • 135 Cannon Building • Washington, DC 20515


FILER INFORMATION

Name: Hon. Nancy Pelosi
Status: Member
State/District: CA12

TRANSACTIONS

ID	Owner	Asset	Transaction Type	Date	Notification Date	Amount	Cap. Gains > \$200?
SP	Apple Inc. (AAPL) [OP]		P	05/13/2022	05/13/2022	\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
FILING STATUS: New DESCRIPTION: Purchased 100 call options with a strike price of \$80 and an expiration date of 3/17/23.							
SP	Apple Inc. (AAPL) [OP]		P	05/24/2022	05/24/2022	\$250,001 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
FILING STATUS: New DESCRIPTION: Purchased 50 call options with a strike price of \$80 and an expiration date of 6/16/23.							
SP	Microsoft Corporation (MSFT) [OP]		P	05/24/2022	05/24/2022	\$50,001 - \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
FILING STATUS: New DESCRIPTION: Purchased 10 call options with a strike price of \$180 and an expiration date of 6/16/23.							
SP	Microsoft Corporation (MSFT) [OP]		P	05/24/2022	05/24/2022	\$250,001 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
FILING STATUS: New DESCRIPTION: Purchased 40 call options with a strike price of \$180 and an expiration date of 6/16/23.							

* For the complete list of asset type abbreviations, please visit <https://fd.house.gov/reference/asset-type-codes.aspx>.




INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS


Yes No

CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I CERTIFY that the statements I have made on the attached Periodic Transaction Report are true, complete, and correct to my knowledge and belief. Further, I CERTIFY that I have disclosed all transactions as required by the STOCK Act.

 +2
[View gallery](#)

Digitally Signed: Hon. Nancy Pelosi , 06/03/2022



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

On the same day, Paul Pelosi bought Microsoft call options for as much as \$600,000 – one option between \$50,001 and \$100,000 and another with a low of \$250,001 and \$500,000.

Users on social media platforms including Twitter, Reddit, Youtube and TikTok have scrutinized Pelosi's trade disclosures in recent months, believing her position as House Speaker gives her and her husband an edge.

The disclosure also comes just a week after the congresswoman's husband was arrested for drunk driving and charged with a DUI.

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi disclosed on Monday new stock activity, including purchases of options to buy up to \$1.5M shares of Apple and up to \$600k Microsoft

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi disclosed on Monday new stock activity, including purchases of options to buy up to \$1.5M shares of Apple and up to \$600k Microsoft

A 2012 law makes it illegal for lawmakers to use information from their work in Congress for their personal gain. The law requires them to disclose stock transactions by themselves or family members within 45 days.

Pelosi in January signaled that she might be willing to advance legislation to completely ban stock trading by lawmakers as internal and pressure mounted following cases of lawmakers' using information about the impending pandemic to make stock market decisions.

1 Her openness to legislation regulating lawmakers' stock activity was a reversal from her previous
2 position defending in particular her caucus members' right to trade stocks, saying she errs on the
3 side of trusting them.

4
5
6 Proposals by Democrats in Congress this year to prohibit stock trading by lawmakers have yet to
7 pass.

8
9 The disclosure of call options from her husband, Paul Pelosi (right), comes the week after he was
10 arrested for drunk driving and charged with a DUI

11 Pelosi's stock trading performance ranked sixth-best in Congress in 2021, with Republican
12 Congressman Austin Scott leading the way, according to an analysis by Unusual Whales, a service
13 selling financial data.

14 Paul Pelosi's purchases of Apple and Microsoft options in May followed a steep Wall Street selloff
15 this year related to worries about inflation and rising interest rates.

16 So far in 2022, Speaker Pelosi has filed six transaction reports, disclosing several trades in Apple,
17 the world's most valuable company. She has also disclosed trades in Walt Disney Co , Tesla Inc,
18 PayPal Holdings and other widely held stocks.

19 The Justice Department ended investigations of stock trades by at least three senators ahead of the
20 2020 market slump, caused by the coronavirus pandemic, without filing charges.

1
2 **THE FACEBOOK PLAY**
3

4 New and dramatic game-changing evidence has emerged in the last two weeks from a huge
5 volume of document leaks and other Court hearings. Plaintiff presents this case, not only as a claim
6 for damages, but also as an anti-corruption effort public-service. Harms and damages have been
7 accelerated against Plaintiff, as recently as this week, due to the proximity to elections. Plaintiff was
8 told by a senior member of The National Venture Capital Association: “.. *We (The NVCA) are not*
9 *going to let you get any more patents that compete with our operations. We (The NVCA) control the*
10 *Patent Office and we decide who runs the Patent Office. Kathi is “our gal”. *** FACEBOOK ****
11 *is our baby and we will destroy you if you threaten the baby. Sheryl Sandberg is our bitch and she*
12 *will make *** FACEBOOK *** do whatever we tell her. You have no chance against us and ****
13 *FACEBOOK ***...”*. Recent evidence has proven, beyond any doubt, that the *** FACEBOOK
14 ***, Google Cartel does, indeed, run the USPTO, **and** other government operations! Nobody,
15
16 though, voted Big Tech into power!

17
18 The charges are filed against Defendant, THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE, a
19 representative entity which has a 1.) command-and-control, 2.) financing, 3.) legal authority and 4.)
20 management influence over the actions and claims of harm described herein and has been proven, in
21 thousands of past court cases, to use reprisal attacks against A.) whistle-blowers and B.) the
22 competitors to political campaign financiers of high-level government officials. Plaintiff maintains
23 that the ongoing blockading of Plaintiff’s representation by a qualified lawyer is a violation of his
24 Constitutional rights to a fair hearing which is caused by Defendants who seek to engage in further
25 cover-ups.
26

27 When the United States Patent Office, in a federal hearing, said that Plaintiff invented the
28 core technology operating *** FACEBOOK *** and Google and then refused to give him his

1 patent paperwork, it seemed like the game might be rigged. After receiving tips from
2 USINVENTOR.ORG, Wall Street Journal, TECH CRUNCH and Congress; Plaintiff hired ex FBI
3 and CIA agents to check it out... BOY, was it ever rigged. *** FACEBOOK ***'s own staff and
4 investors work INSIDE the U.S. Patent Office in order to protect *** FACEBOOK *** from
5 having to pay for technology they stole. Then they showed Plaintiff that **most** of the Obama and
6 Biden Administration COVERTLY OWN *** FACEBOOK ***. Talk about 'rigging elections' and
7 'rigging industries'... *** FACEBOOK *** executives even sit on USPTO boards!!!
8

9 What U.S. judge or regulator is complaining? For example, (as one example of MANY
10 THOUSANDS) who would complain about Sands Capital's failure to file the S.E.C. Form SC 13G
11 notices of acquisition of *** FACEBOOK *** , Baidu and Athenahealth stock?

12 Not S.E.C Chairman Mary L. Schapiro—she held a boatload of “dark pool” Fidelity,
13 Vanguard, AllianceBern, TIAA-CREF and T. Rowe Price funds.

14 Not Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank—she held TIAA-CREF, Vanguard and
15 Fidelity funds.
16

17 Not Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker—she holds up to \$23.4 million Morgan
18 Stanley, JPMorgan and Goldman Sachs *** FACEBOOK *** dark pools.

19 Not Attorney General Eric H. Holder—he held T. Rowe Price and Fidelity funds. In fact,
20 Holder held Fidelity Contrafund, the largest single *** FACEBOOK *** mutual fund stock holder,
21 valued at \$413 million.
22

23 Who in the judiciary would complain?

24 Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.—he held Microsoft,
25 T. Rowe Price, Fidelity, Janus, Vanguard and Blackrockfunds, including Fidelity Contrafund.

26 Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Federal Circuit Judges Alan D. Lourie, KimberlyA.
27 Moore and Evan J. Wallach—they held Fidelity, Vanguard and T. Rowe Price funds, including
28 Fidelity Contrafund.

1 Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** District Court Judge Leonard P. Stark—he held
2 Vanguard and Fidelity funds.

3 Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Patent Office Director David J. Kappos—he held over
4 a million dollars of Vanguard funds.

5 Investigators uncovered a felony, organized crime-level of QUID PRO QUO media
6 manipulation, RICO violations and anti-trust law violations between government officials and ***
7 FACEBOOK *** blockading Plaintiffs patent and business rights. **Over 500 high level government**
8 **officials own *** FACEBOOK *** and harm it's competitors to protect their insider trading**
9 **stocks.** Ask FINCEN to show you the complete family account records on EACH of the
10 perpetrators. The financial records look like one of those mob task force prosecution flowchart's
11 you see on the FBI television show.

12
13 Plaintiff noticed that nobody ever prosecutes these people. It is like they are protected by a
14 crony quid pro quo protection racket running all the way up to the Oval Office!

15
16 Can you explain that USPTO? It kind of sounds like bribery, cronyism, quid pro quo and
17 racketeering!

18 Here is what the Patent Office, in a federal hearing, agreed that Plaintiff invented, built and
19 marketed, **first:** *"A method of operating a computerized multi-function social network to provide*
20 *location based data files, said method comprising: using a computer system to automatically create*
21 *links to other users of other mobile wireless communications devices using information from at*
22 *least one of a first user's other existing Internet social network sites; wherein said computer system*
23 *is at least one server connected to the Internet; using said computer system to locate one or more*
24 *mobile wireless communication devices operated by said first user; using said computer system to*
25 *capture activities on the one or more mobile wireless communication devices operated by either*
26 *said first user or said other users; and using said computer system to automatically provide data*
27 *files to the one or more mobile wireless communication devices operated by either said first user or*
28

1 *said other users, independent of types of the one or more mobile wireless communication devices,*
2 *communication protocol and communication network providers associated with the one or more*
3 *mobile wireless communication devices, wherein the data files are provided based on the activities*
4 *of said first user or said other users while operating said one or more mobile wireless*
5 *communication devices; wherein said activities comprise previously uploaded or downloaded data;*
6 *wherein said data files to comprise information relevant to the location of the first user."*

7
8 Sound familiar? It is the system that Yahoo, *** FACEBOOK ***, and Google operate off
9 of to make billions of dollars! In the federal hearing, Plaintiff was, by name, up against Mark
10 Zuckerberg and the head of engineering for Yahoo as to who invented and commercialized digital
11 social media on the web. The USPTO examiners said that, after vast comparative federal research,
12 that: PLAINTIFF had done social media first!

13 Plaintiff did it first and launched the company that they copied. Now we find that almost
14 every major Patent Office official, government official and Judge OWNS *** FACEBOOK ***
15 STOCK and RULES IN THEIR FAVOR and that *** FACEBOOK ***'S EXECUTIVES sit on the
16 board of the USPTO! The system was rigged! 80% of Plaintiff's patents have been stolen by
17 Google, *** FACEBOOK ***, Netflix and the NVCA's core holdings; 100% of which are owned
18 by senior government officials. Can we all say it together: "EPIC CONFLICT OF INTEREST!"

19
20 If Zuckerberg, and his little army of Stanford frat boy snowflakes, continue to CHEAT
21 RATHER THAN COMPETE then they must be willing to endure the consequences of acting like
22 social and economic criminals. Their rigging of the United States Patent Office is as bad as their
23 manipulation of domestic elections. Classroom shootings are caused and managed via ***
24 FACEBOOK ***. *** FACEBOOK *** makes over \$100 Million dollars, per classroom shooting,
25 in *click-profits!*

26
27 Congress AND the USPTO must send *** FACEBOOK ***/Meta to the Big Tech trash
28 heap of history. The tech cartel is a corrupt operation owned, covertly, by California Senators and

1 White House staff. It violates tax laws, RICO laws, antitrust laws and basic human rights. If ***
2 FACEBOOK *** spent less time profiteering off the clicks from classroom shootings, less time
3 bribing Patent Office lawyers... and less time even existing: The world would be a wonderful place!

4 Silicon Valley is working behind the scenes to secure senior roles for tech allies in lesser-
5 known but still vital parts of president-elect Joe Biden's administration, even as the pushback
6 against Big Tech from progressive groups and regulators grows.

7 The Biden transition team has already stacked its agency review teams with more tech
8 executives than tech critics. Google's Eric Schmidt practically runs the Obama and Biden White
9 Houses. The White House has also added to its staff several officials from Big Tech companies,
10 which emerged as top donors to the campaign.

11 Now, executives and employees at tech companies such as Alphabet-owned Google,
12 Amazon, *** FACEBOOK *** and Microsoft are pushing to place candidates in senior roles at
13 government agencies, according to four sources with knowledge of the matter.

14 The agencies many of these executives are aiming for include the U.S. Commerce
15 Department, Office of the United States Trade Representative, the USPTO, the Office of
16 Information & Regulatory Affairs - a key agency under the White House Office of Management &
17 Budget which drafts policies impacting the tech industry, the State Department and the Department
18 of Defense, according to the sources.

19 Many company executives, who in some cases helped raise money for the Biden campaign
20 or have ties to those on the president-elect's transition team, still have a huge commercial interest in
21 pushing candidates with industry ties at the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade
22 Commission – both of which are investigating whether Big Tech abused its market power.

23 The formal process via which such names and recommendations are being floated by
24 company executives to the transition team comes through law firms Perkins Coie and Covington
25 and Burling, who sell government appointee positions to billionaire family offices. A Biden
26
27
28

1 transition spokesman: Cameron French said agency review team members and future administration
2 appointees will be committed to implementing Biden’s policy ideas.

3 “Each member of the Biden-Harris transition and incoming administration will have values
4 that align with the President and Vice President-elect on a host of issues including the tech sector,”
5 he said.

6 *** FACEBOOK *** and Microsoft declined comment. Amazon’s public policy and
7 communications chief Jay Carney told Reuters that Amazon is not trying to get anyone from the
8 company placed in the new administration. “Any suggestion to the contrary is completely false,”
9 Carney said.
10

11 Google spokesman Jose Castaneda said “as a company, we make no recommendations and
12 are unaware of any such communications.”

13 Researchers, lawyers and consultants tracking the transition or working with the team told
14 Reuters the moves are part of an effort by many large tech company officials to influence future
15 policymaking. They are also making sure the Biden administration is not captive to the ideas of
16 progressive Democrats and a growing anti-monopoly movement, who have consistently pushed for
17 higher scrutiny of such companies.
18

19 “...appointing the CEO or top executives of a tech company directly in to your cabinet is
20 bad optics and bad politics,” said Max Moran, a researcher with the Revolving Door Project. He
21 added that allies of Big Tech have begun to emerge as candidates for Biden jobs.
22

23 For example, Google’s former Chief Executive Eric Schmidt, a billionaire who is a Silicon
24 Valley titan, has been making personnel recommendations for appointments to the Department of
25 Defense - as the company tries to pursue military contracts and defense work, according to three
26 sources.

27 Schmidt chairs the National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence (NSCAI). His
28 vice-chairman on the commission, former deputy secretary of defense Robert Work, has briefed the

1 Biden transition team on national security issues. Schmidt’s name has also come up in discussions
2 to lead a Biden White House technology task force, a suggestion that has been opposed by
3 progressives, according to three sources.

4 One of the names Schmidt has floated for a senior defense department role is Christopher
5 Kirchhoff, a former aide to the Chairman of the Joints Chiefs of Staff under the Obama
6 administration who currently works at Schmidt Futures, two sources said. Schmidt has also pushed
7 for Jared Cohen, the chief executive of Jigsaw, a tech incubator that operates as an independent unit
8 under Google, for a role inside the state department or the defense department, according to two
9 sources. Cohen has previously served at the State Department. Schmidt’s science advisor: Eric
10 Lander, turned out to be a disaster of “asshole-ism” for Biden.

12 A spokeswoman for Eric Schmidt declined comment. A NSCAI spokeswoman said any
13 work being done by Schmidt and Work in their personal capacity is not associated with the NSCAI.

14 Similarly, two Amazon officials have landed spots on the president-elect’s agency review
15 teams for the State Department and the Office of Management and Budget.

17 Now, executives with Amazon are pushing allies for roles inside the Biden administration,
18 according to sources who work with the transition. Names that have emerged as a result include
19 Indra Nooyi, former chairwoman of Pepsi, who now sits on Amazon’s board and whose name has
20 been floated to run the Commerce Department, three sources said.

21 *** FACEBOOK ***, unlike the other companies, has already made significant inroads into
22 the Biden transition team, multiple sources said.

24 For example, former *** FACEBOOK *** director Jessica Hertz is the Biden transition’s
25 general counsel. Austin Lin, a former program manager at *** FACEBOOK ***, is on an agency
26 review team for the Executive Office of the President. Erskine Bowles, a former *** FACEBOOK
27 *** board member, is already advising the transition team, along with Jeff Zients, another former
28

1 *** FACEBOOK *** board member, who has now been picked to become Biden’s COVID-19
2 czar.

3 Another ally for some large tech companies is Biden’s pick for Secretary of State, Antony
4 Blinken, who has ties with both Amazon and Google, according to four sources. Google was a
5 client at WestExec Advisors, which was founded by Blinken. Blinken also helped Amazon’s public
6 policy and communications chief Jay Carney get hired into Joe Biden’s media team in 2008.

7 Google’s Castaneda said the company’s relationship with West Exec lasted one month in
8 2018 and the company did not retain any member of the firm. Carney declined comment. WestExec
9 Advisors declined comment. Blinken did not respond to requests for comment.

10 Four sources said names floated by tech companies have been discussed during meetings
11 held by the Biden transition’s agency review teams. These teams have made several hiring
12 recommendations, they said.

13 While Silicon Valley reaches for a bigger seat at the table, the pushback from progressive
14 groups is notable.

15 **THIRTY TWO** antitrust, consumer advocacy, labor and related groups sent a letter to
16 Biden asking him to reject the influence of Big Tech companies on his administration.

17 Many of these groups are now banding together and advocating more forcefully. For
18 example, several of the 32 are part of a new coalition that is designed to expand the number of
19 groups that care about the industry’s influence on government. Alex Harman, who oversees
20 competition policy for Public Citizen, an advocacy group which is part of the coalition, said he has
21 been in meetings with Biden’s agency review teams with a clear goal: making sure such hires are
22 not made by the administration.

23 At a high level: Trillions of dollars of stock trades and direct payola bribes were exchanged
24 using the White House as a broker and government agencies, including the UNITED STATES
25 PATENT OFFICE, as a stock market manipulation platform. Politicians turned the government into
26
27
28

1 a cheap garage sale of cronyism! This kind of crime illicitly and illegally manipulates the power
2 over who runs the United States Government and who profits from government decisions which are
3 a matter of many trillions of dollars. The act and veracity of this crime enterprise will be proven to
4 the Jury. Based on that assertion it will then be proven that:

5 1.) Plaintiff exposed and reported the crime to proper authorities through proper channels.
6

7 2.) Some of those authorities used government resources to operate reprisal attacks against
8 Plaintiff, using government resources to operate the attacks, as revenge for reporting the crimes.
9

10 3.) The state-sponsored attacks were supported by the political campaign financiers of the
11 government authorities in order to protect their payola conduits and stock market manipulations.
12

13 4.) Over 40 harms, each to be detailed and examined in Court, were documented against
14 Plaintiff by the actions of corrupt government authorities.
15

16 5.) In a vast number of previous lawsuits, including one won by Plaintiff and his peers, it
17 was proven that government offices infected with corruption regularly attack and harm citizens in
18 reprisal.
19

20 6.) The government, having been caught in these illicit actions, must now pay Plaintiff for
21 his losses, damages, harms, back-pay fees and other compensation.
22

23 This case is about intellectual property and media hit-jobs and attacks on Plaintiff via the
24 operators of a profiteering organized crime activity in government offices. Racketeering-motivated
25 political ‘mobsters’, in Government offices, attacked and harmed Plaintiff, using taxpayer-paid
26 resources because he did not cooperate with their crimes, which were based out of government
27 offices, and because Plaintiff reported those crimes to law enforcement when Plaintiff witnessed
28 those crimes. Plaintiff’s case matter has been covered in so many Congressional hearings,

1 documentary investigations, FBI investigations and news reports that it is impossible for the Court
2 to not accept the fact that sufficient evidence exists for Plaintiff to more than prove every assertion.
3 The Court has refused to supply legal representation to Plaintiff and Defendants have otherwise
4 blockaded Plaintiff from acquiring legal representation.

5 ['60 Minutes' Questions Whether Pelosi Traded Stock On Inside Information](#)

6 [5/14/2020](#)

7 [15:19](#)

8 [Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](#)

9 [CBS News](#)

[11/15/2011](#)

10 [00:38](#)

11 [Update on "60 Minutes" report: Insiders](#)

12 [CBS News](#)

[9/24/2015](#)

13 [00:38](#)

14 [Update on "60 Minutes" report: Insiders](#)

15 [YouTube](#)

16 [2/13/2012](#)

17 [01:26](#)

18 [What counts as "inside information"?](#)

19 [CBS News](#)

20 [9/9/2015](#)

21 [03:55](#)

22 [Confronting Pelosi on insider trading](#)

23 [CBS News](#)

24 [6/17/2012](#)

25 [Show all](#)

26 [See more videos from 60 minutes transcript congress trading on insider information.](#)

27 [Pelosi defends record after "60 Minutes" report - CBS News](#)

28 [cbsnews.com/news/pelosi-defends-record-after-60-minutes-report](#)

November 14, 2011 / 11:29 AM / CBS News Former Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi defended her record on credit card reform after a "60 Minutes" report raised questions about lawmakers potentially...

[License to Profit: Legalized Corruption in the US Congress](#)

[truthout.org/articles/license-to-profit-legalized-corruption-in-the-us-congress](#)

On November 13, CBS's "60 Minutes" aired a segment that made allegations of (legal!) trading based on inside knowledge and other "soft corruption" by members of Congress. This is the segment: The "60 Minutes" segment was based on work done for a book by Peter Schweizer of the far-right Hoover Institution. According to Politico ...

[Here Are 50 Facts About 60 Minutes You May Have Forgotten](#)

[adweek.com/tvnewser/here-are-50-facts-about-60-minutes-you-may-have-forgotten](#)

1 • After a 2011 Steve Kroft expose on insider trading legally done by members of Congress, the STOCK Act was passed to expand existing insider trading laws to include Congress.

2 [The Last Temptation of Congress: Legislator Insider Trading and the ...](http://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3271&context=clr)
scholarship.law.cornell.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3271&context=clr

3 On April 4, 2012 Congress passed the STOCK Act, which officially banned the practice of insider trading by members of Congress and formally declared them to be fiduciaries for purposes of federal insider trading law. The impetus for the legislation was the perception, held by a majority of com-

4
5 [Four senators were accused of insider trading, three are in the ... - MSNBC](http://mshbc.com/rachel-maddow-show/maddowblog/four-senators-were-accused-insider...)
6 mshbc.com/rachel-maddow-show/maddowblog/four-senators-were-accused-insider...

7 A couple of months ago, with Wall Street's major indexes tanking in response to the coronavirus crisis, four senators faced accusations of insider trading. The FBI

8 cbsnews.com/sanfrancisco/news/60-minutes-questions-whether-pelosi-traded...

9 Two days later it was trading at \$64. The credit card legislation never made it to the floor of the House. Congresswoman Pelosi declined a request from 60 Minutes Correspondent Steve Kroft for an...

10 [Congress: Trading stock on inside information? - CBS News](http://cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information)
11 cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information

12 But, congressional lawmakers have no corporate responsibilities and have long been considered exempt from insider trading laws, even though they have daily access to non-public information and...

13 [Congress Cracks Down On Insider Trading - NPR.org](http://npr.org/2012/03/23/149204413/congress-cracks-down-on-insider-trading)
14 npr.org/2012/03/23/149204413/congress-cracks-down-on-insider-trading

15 Transcript A watered-down version of a bill banning members of Congress from trading on insider information passed the Senate and is on its way to President Obama's desk. DAVID GREENE, HOST: NPR's...

16 [Confronting Pelosi on insider trading - CBS News](http://cbsnews.com/news/confronting-pelosi-on-insider-trading)
17 cbsnews.com/news/confronting-pelosi-on-insider-trading

18 CBS Back in November, when 60 Minutes aired "Insiders," it was illegal for member of Congress to make stock trades using inside information they learn while working on legislation. Kroft had some...

19 Videos from 60 minutes transcript congress trading on insider information

20 [15:05](#)
[Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](http://cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information)
[YouTube](#)
[9/8/2019](#)

21 [15:19](#)
[Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](http://cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information)
[CBS News](#)
[6/11/2012](#)

22 [15:19](#)
[Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](http://cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information)
[CBS News](#)
[11/13/2011](#)

23 [15:20](#)
[Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](http://cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information)
[YouTube](#)
[11/13/2011](#)

1 [15:04](#)
2 [Congress: Trading stock on inside information?](#)
3 [CBS News](#)

4 The next national election is now less than a year away and congressmen and senators are
5 expending much of their time and their energy raising the millions of dollars in campaign funds
6 they'll need just to hold onto a job that pays \$174,000 a year.

7
8 Few of them are doing it for the salary and all of them will say they are doing it to serve the
9 public. But there are other benefits: Power, prestige, and the opportunity to become a Washington
10 insider with access to information and connections that no one else has, in an environment of
11 privilege where rules that govern the rest of the country, don't always apply to them.

12 Most former congressmen and senators manage to leave Washington - if they ever leave
13 Washington - with more money in their pockets than they had when they arrived, and as you are
14 about to see, the biggest challenge is often avoiding temptation.

15
16 Peter Schweizer: This is a venture opportunity. This is an opportunity to leverage your
17 position in public service and use that position to enrich yourself, your friends, and your family.

18 Peter Schweizer is a fellow at the Hoover Institution, a conservative think tank at Stanford
19 University. A year ago he began working on a book about soft corruption in Washington with a
20 team of eight student researchers, who reviewed financial disclosure records. It became a jumping
21 off point for our own story, and we have independently verified the material we've used.

22
23 Schweizer says he wanted to know why some congressmen and senators managed to
24 accumulate significant wealth beyond their salaries, and proved particularly adept at buying and
25 selling stocks.

26 Schweizer: There are all sorts of forms of honest grafts that congressmen engage in that
27 allow them to become very, very wealthy. So it's not illegal, but I think it's highly unethical, I think
28 it's highly offensive, and wrong.

1 Steve Kroft: What do you mean honest graft?

2 Schweizer: For example insider trading on the stock market. If you are a member of
3 Congress, those laws are deemed not to apply.

4 Kroft: So congressman get a pass on insider trading?

5 Schweizer: They do. The fact is, if you sit on a healthcare committee and you know that
6 Medicare, for example, is-- is considering not reimbursing for a certain drug that's market moving
7 information. And if you can trade stock on-- off of that information and do so legally, that's a great
8 profit making opportunity. And that sort of behavior goes on.

9 Kroft: Why does Congress get a pass on this?

10 Schweizer: It's really the way the rules have been defined. And the people who make the
11 rules are the political class in Washington. And they've conveniently written them in such a way
12 that they don't apply to themselves.

13
14 The buying and selling of stock by corporate insiders who have access to non-public
15 information that could affect the stock price can be a criminal offense, just ask hedge fund manager
16 Raj Rajaratnam who recently got 11 years in prison for doing it. But, congressional lawmakers have
17 no corporate responsibilities and have long been considered exempt from insider trading laws, even
18 though they have daily access to non-public information and plenty of opportunities to trade on it.

19 Schweizer: We know that during the health care debate people were trading health care
20 stocks. We know that during the financial crisis of 2008 they were getting out of the market before
21 the rest of America really knew what was going on.

22
23 In mid September 2008 with the Dow Jones Industrial average still above ten thousand,
24 Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson and Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke were holding
25 closed door briefings with congressional leaders, and privately warning them that a global financial
26 meltdown could occur within a few days. One of those attending was Alabama Representative
27
28

1 Spencer Bachus, then the ranking Republican member on the House Financial Services Committee
2 and now its chairman.

3 Schweizer: These meetings were so sensitive-- that they would actually confiscate cell
4 phones and Blackberries going into those meetings. What we know is that those meetings were held
5 one day and literally the next day Congressman Bachus would engage in buying stock options based
6 on apocalyptic briefings he had the day before from the Fed chairman and treasury secretary. I
7 mean, talk about a stock tip.

8
9 While Congressman Bachus was publicly trying to keep the economy from cratering, he was
10 privately betting that it would, buying option funds that would go up in value if the market went
11 down. He would make a variety of trades and profited at a time when most Americans were losing
12 their shirts.

13 Congressman Bachus declined to talk to us, so we went to his office and ran into his Press
14 Secretary Tim Johnson.

15
16 How do they get away with it? Lawmakers have exempted themselves from the laws that
17 govern every other citizen.

18
19 Pelosi, D-Calif., and her husband have participated in at least eight IPOs while having
20 access to information directly relating to the companies involved. One of those came in 2008, from
21 Visa, just as a troublesome piece of legislation that would have hurt credit card companies, began
22 making its way through the House.

23
24
25 “Undisturbed by a potential conflict of interest the Pelosis purchased 5,000 shares of Visa at
26 the initial price of \$44 dollars. Two days later it was trading at \$64. The credit card legislation never
27 made it to the floor of the House,” Steve Kroft of “60 Minutes” reported.

28

1 Kroft confronted Pelosi at a regular press conference after she declined an interview.

2

3 Kroft: Madam Leader, I wanted to ask you why you and your husband back in March of
4 2008 accepted and participated in a very large IPO deal from Visa at a time there was major
5 legislation affecting the credit card companies making its way through the —through the House.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Nancy Pelosi: But —

Kroft: And did you consider that to be a conflict of interest?

Pelosi: The — y — I — I don't know what your point is of your question. Is there some
point that you want to make with that?

Kroft: Well, I — I — I guess what I'm asking is do you think it's all right for a speaker to
accept a very preferential, favorable stock deal?

Pelosi: Well, we didn't.

Kroft: You participated in the IPO. And at the time you were speaker of the House. You
don't think it was a conflict of interest or had the appearance—

Pelosi: No, it was not —

Kroft: — of a conflict of interest?

1 Pelosi: —it doesn't — it only has appearance if you decide that you're going to have —
2 elaborate on a false premise. But it — it — it's not true and that's that.

3
4 Kroft: I don't understand what part's not true.

5
6 Pelosi: Yes sir. That — that I would act upon an investment.

7
8 The Hoover Institution's Peter Schweizer stressed that what Pelosi did was completely legal.

9
10
11 "There are all sorts of forms of honest grafts that congressmen engage in that allow them to
12 become very, very wealthy. So it's not illegal, but I think it's highly unethical, I think it's highly
13 offensive, and wrong," he told Kroft.

14
15 "... Insider trading on the stock market. If you are a member of Congress, those laws are
16 deemed not to apply," Schweizer added. "The fact is, if you sit on a healthcare committee and you
17 know that Medicare, for example, is — is considering not reimbursing for a certain drug that's
18 market moving information. And if you can trade stock on — off of that information and do so
19 legally, that's a great profit making opportunity. And that sort of behavior goes on."

20
21
22 Pelosi's office issued a statement Sunday saying, "It is very troubling that '60 Minutes'
23 would base their reporting off of an already-discredited conservative author who has made a career
24 out of attacking Democrats."

25
26
27 Schweizer's books include "Do as I Say (Not as I Do): Profiles in Liberal Hypocrisy," and
28 "Architects of Ruin," according to Schweizer's page on the Hoover Institution website.

1 Insider trading on green energy in Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein offices

2
3 The Wall Street Journal reported about a staffer in Harry Reid’s office who nearly doubled
4 his \$3,500 investment in a renewable energy firm in 2008. Sen. Reid helped pass legislation that
5 benefitted the firm.

6
7
8 _____
9 Congressional Staffers Gain From Trading in Stocks

10 By

11
12 Brody Mullins,

13 Tom McGinty and

14 Jason Zweig
15

16
17 WASHINGTON—Chris Miller nearly doubled his \$3,500 stock investment in a renewable-
18 energy firm in 2008. It was a perfectly legal bet, but he’s no ordinary investor.

19
20 Reid’s spokesman tried to defend the staffer, Reid’s top energy policy adviser, by asserting
21 that he had no influence over tax incentives for renewable energy firms.

22
23 _____
24
25
26 Under federal securities law, of course, it is not important whether the staffer had any
27 influence over legislation, Sen. Reid or anyone or anything else.
28

1 If it can be shown that the staffer breached a duty of confidentiality in using “inside
2 information” as the basis for buying and selling the stock, then he may very well be guilty of the
3 crime of insider trading.

4
5 In May 2009, the Associated Press reported,

6
7 Federal prosecutors and the FBI have been investigating possible illegal insider trading by
8 two Securities and Exchange Commission enforcement attorneys who were in a position to receive
9 sensitive information about agency probes of public companies.
10

11
12 Similarly, if the staffer had material information that the public didn’t have and he took
13 advantage of it in the buying and selling of securities, he could have committed a serious crime —
14 as well as anyone he may have tipped off.
15

16
17 Reid’s staffer has denied wrongdoing, but that should not be dispositive.
18

19 The Department of Justice, FBI and U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ought to be
20 investigating the staffer as well as any other potential insider trading violations described in the
21 WSJ article.
22

23
24 At the very least, the staffer should be afforded the same opportunity as Martha Stewart to
25 chat with federal investigators — that worked out so well for her.
26

27 Don’t expect this to happen, however, as Sen. Reid and other members of Congress will no
28 doubt quietly work to quash any investigation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Big Brother Has Turned Green

The environmental movement has cultivated a warm and fuzzy public image, but behind the smiley-face rhetoric of “sustainability” and “conservation” lies a dark agenda. The Greens aim to regulate your behavior, downsize your lifestyle, and invade the most intimate aspects of your personal life.

In this stunning exposé, Steve Milloy unveils the authoritarian impulse underlying the Green crusade. Whether they’re demanding that you turn down your thermostat, stop driving your car, or engage in some other senseless act of self-denial, the Greens are envisioning a grim future for you marked by endless privation. Steamrolling nearly all opposition with its apocalyptic predictions of environmental doom, the Green movement has gained influence throughout American society—from schools and local planning boards to the biggest corporations in the country. And their plans are much more ambitious than you think, says Milloy. What the Greens really seek, with increasing success, is to dictate the very parameters of your daily life—where you can live, what transportation you can use, what you can eat, and even how many children you can have.

Citing the tactics and goals of Green groups as explained by their own activists and leaders, Green Hell demonstrates:

- * How Green pressure campaigns threaten the safety of your home and your car, and public health overall

- * Why the election of President Obama portends a giant leap forward for coercive Green policies

1 * Why Greens obstruct the use of all forms of energy—even the renewable sources they tout
2 to the public

3 * How wealthy Green elites stand to profit fabulously from the restrictions and regulations
4 they seek to impose on the rest of us

5 * How Green pressure campaigns are hamstringing the military and endangering our
6 national security

7 * Why big business is not only knuckling under to the Greens, but is aggressively promoting
8 the green agenda to the detriment of its own stockholders

9 * What you can do to help stop the great Green machine
10 A one-of-a-kind, comprehensive
11 takedown of the entire environmental movement, Green Hell will open your eyes to a looming
12 threat to our economy, our civil liberties, and the entire American way of life.

13 ‘Green Hell explains why Americans can’t afford to fall for Al Gore’s ‘the debate is over’
14 line on global warming. While we’re all for the environment, Green Hell explains why we need to
15 oppose the environmentalists.’

16
17 –Fred Barnes, Executive Editor, the Weekly Standard

18
19 “Green Hell is the ‘inconvenient truth’ on extremist, growth-killing environmentalism. A
20 must-read for those interested in keeping America free and prosperous.”

21 –Steve Forbes, President and Chief Executive Officer of Forbes”Regardless of whether you
22 believe global warming is a fraud, the fact is that the current depression, the past spike in oil prices,
23 and the coming technology of electric cars are all going to solve whatever problem exists. Liberals
24 want to use climate change as an excuse to take over the economy and regulate everything and this
25 book exposes their plans.”

26
27 –Dick Morris, FOX News commentator and former political consultant to Bill Clinton

28

1 “This book describes why the world can’t afford to fall for global warming alarmism and
2 environmental hysteria. Steve Milloy shows how to avoid the environmentalists’ vision of our
3 future.”

4 –VACLAV KLAUS, President of the European Union and President of the Czech
5 Republic”Free market capitalism is still the best path to prosperity. Green Hell is a must-read for
6 anyone who wants to keep America on that path and away from Soviet-style command-and-control
7 environmentalism.”

8 –Larry Kudlow, Host, CNBC’s The Kudlow Report

9
10
11 Former President Barack Obama liked to portray himself as a politician watching out for the
12 little guy.

13
14 But it looks like he spent much more time protecting his rich friends – and manipulating the
15 government to help make them a fortune.

16
17
18 It was all part of a scheme that looks a lot like insider trading – or what author Peter
19 Schweizer calls “smash and grab.”

20
21 In his new book, Secret Empires: How the American Political Class Hides Corruption and
22 Enriches Family and Friends, Schweizer lays out how Obama used government regulations to help
23 lifelong pals buy up companies for pennies on the dollar.

24
25
26 Basically, the Obama Administration would threaten and devalue companies, and Obama’s
27 pals would be ready to swoop in and buy them on the cheap.

28

1 And apparently nobody ever stopped to consider the effect that this plot would have on
2 ordinary shareholders – who lost millions – or the employees at the companies.

3
4 In an interview with Breitbart, Schweizer gives one shocking example – the case of Marty
5 Nesbitt, who has been described as Obama’s “best friend.”
6

7
8 After Obama was reelected on 2012, Nesbitt set up a private equity firm called Vistria to
9 invest in highly regulated industries – in other words, industries that Obama and his administration
10 can help control.
11

12 Schweizer points to Vistria’s acquisition of online learning giant the University of Phoenix
13 as an example of Obama and Nesbitt working together on a “smash and grab” deal.
14

15
16 The Obama Administration had threatened to withhold GI Bill money from the University of
17 Phoenix over the quality of its education, sending its share price tumbling.
18

19 Then, Nesbitt and Vistria were able to purchase the university for “three cents on the dollar,”
20 Schweizer reports.
21

22 After the deal was made, the Obama Administration withdrew its threat to withhold federal
23 funds.
24

25
26 Schweizer says Obama repeated the strategy throughout his presidency to enrich liberal
27 billionaires like Tom Steyer and George Soros, who have both worked to ruin current President
28 Donald Trump.

1
2 “Barack Obama smashes coal companies, [and] what do these guys do? They go in, they buy
3 them for pennies on the dollar, and when the regulatory weight is lifted, their valuations increase,
4 and they make a lot of money, and you see that pattern in all of these industries,” Shweizer said.
5

6 And what happens to other shareholders – the ones who aren’t friends with Obama? They’re
7 left holding the bag when the companies are devalued.
8

9
10 Schweizer says that some of the ill-gotten gains realized by Obama’s friends eventually
11 found their way to the Obama Foundation.
12

13 It’s a scheme that absolutely cries out for a federal investigation. But with so many Obama
14 puppets still left in the government, we won’t be holding our breath.
15

16 1. .

17 2. This complaint covers issues which the Court has the power to decide, such as
18 RICO, Anti-trust monopoly violations, 28 U.S.C. &1331; 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5), 1962(c), 18 U.S.C.
19 §§ 1961(5), 1962(d) 773. ; and many other matters which attorney-less, pro-se, low-income, senior,
20 disabled Plaintiff is not skilled enough to properly cite, at this time, but could cite, with perfection,
21 with a great court-appointed lawyer. Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant
22 to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Plaintiff’s claims arise under the Constitution and laws of the United States.
23 This Court has jurisdiction to grant relief in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b), as Plaintiff
24 brings claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act. This case also presents a federal matter within this
25 Court’s jurisdiction under Article III of the United States Constitution, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and the
26 Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702, and under other related law acts and precedents.
27
28

1 This Court has authority to grant declaratory relief under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §
2 2201 et seq., and to award damages, costs, and attorneys’ fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and under
3 other related acts and precedents. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e), and
4 under other related acts and precedents. The venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
5 1391(b), because a substantial part of the acts or omissions that give rise to the Plaintiffs’ claim
6 occurred or will occur in the area. RICO charges by Plaintiff, against Defendant are verified as valid
7 by Plaintiff and by reviewing third parties. Plaintiff is also requesting relief under the United States
8 Constitution, the Federal Tort Claims Act (“FTCA”), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2672 and related
9 jurisdictions and applicable rights. Jurisdiction arises and venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a)
10 and 28 U.S.C. § 1346. This is a civil action which includes breach of fiduciary duty, right to due
11 process of law as enumerated in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment, Art 1§ 7, violation of the
12 Fourth Amendment unlawful detention; Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the U. S.
13 Constitution, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, unjust enrichment and negligence.
14
15
16

17 **PARTIES**

18
19
20 3. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff, (hereafter “plaintiff “Plaintiff”) was, and is, a
21 resident of the County of San Mateo, Marin County And San Francisco County, State of California.
22 Plaintiff has served his nation all of his career. Plaintiff has saved his nation hundreds of billions of
23 dollars by halting active corruption. He has been awarded dozens of 'first-to-invent' issued United
24 States federal patents on products and services in use by millions of people around the globe.
25 Supporter of US INVENTOR organizations. He is Commended in: Citizenship Awards; writing
26 contest awards; Deans List; Robb Report; White House letters of commendation by Vice President
27 Al Gore; Department of Defense, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)-
28

1 “Scientists Helping America” Award; US Congress- Iraq War Bill, Commendation; US Dept. of
2 Energy- Contract/grant to build America's energy back-up plan; US Department of the Interior-
3 Commendation; California State Assembly- Proclamation; Mayors of San Francisco- Multiple
4 proclamations; 3D Design Magazine- National Award for Producer/Director; A-List, Nob Hill
5 Gazette commendations and hundreds of letters of reference and commendation. He has worked on
6 top law enforcement and IC project deployments. Producer of top anti-corruption lawsuits that
7 interdicted major bribery and political stock market manipulation efforts by public officials. Creator
8 of citizen sleuth and open-source public forensics software and systems that track every bribe and
9 payola red flag. He is an advocate, advisor, promoter for the Congressional [THE STOCK ACT](#), and
10 [THE AMERICAN JOBS ACT](#) crowd-funding section, The [Silicon Valley Class Action Cartel](#)
11 [Lawsuits](#), The [SOLYNDRA CONGRESSIONAL investigation](#), and ongoing Congressional
12 investigations of Big Tech crimes and corruption. He is the Producer of over 100 major public
13 programs attended by millions of participants. He has Decades of work with The White House,
14 Congress and major community agencies. Plaintiff has been in the Bay Area Big Tech community
15 since the 70’s and participated in organizing and planning meetings for activities, politicians and
16 events described herein; thus he has ‘expert first-hand knowledge’.

17
18
19
20 4. On information and belief, always relevant herein, the **UNITED STATES PATENT**
21 **OFFICE under the direction of The White House, The White House Press Office, The**
22 **Department Of Energy, The Office Of The Special Counsel at OSC.gov and related agencies**
23 **and offices;** which includes those entities that are financier/beneficiary oligarchs that have been
24 illicitly incorporated into the government as “White House Advisors” and “Special Staff”, as well
25 as; Director, AKA, “SOE”; Kathi Vidal in her official and personal capacities as, “Defendants”).
26 Defendants are sued jointly and severally. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants
27 DOES 1 through 10 and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Plaintiff on information and
28

1 belief alleges, that each of those Defendants was in some manner legally responsible for the events
2 and happenings alleged in this Complaint and for Plaintiff's damages. Plaintiff will identify the
3 names and capacities and relationships of DOES 1 through 10 by amendment to this Complaint
4 when and if Plaintiff subsequently ascertains and learn such information. Each reference to a named
5 Defendant herein below includes a reference to the fictitiously named Defendants. FBI, DOJ, FTC,
6 FINCEN, NSA and other law enforcement, regulatory and private investigators have tracked the
7 payments, and command-and-control of the 20+ attackers from the Defendants offices to the
8 attackers and their deployments. Federal law enforcement will be subpoena' in this case to disclose
9 that financial data.
10

11
12 5. The address for the Defendant is: ***United States Patent Office, Office of the***
13 ***General Counsel, Madison Building East, Room 10B20, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA***
14 ***22313-1450***

15
16 6. The service of process has been served at this address and associated address of: ***Office of***
17 ***the General Counsel, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450***
18 ***Alexandria, VA 22313-1450***, to Defendant.
19

20 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

21
22
23
24 This case is about economic and media hit-jobs and attacks on Plaintiff via the operators of a
25 profiteering organized crime activity in government offices. Racketeering-motivated political
26 'mobsters', in Government offices, attacked and harmed Plaintiff, using taxpayer-paid resources
27 because he did not cooperate with their crimes, which were based out of government offices, and
28 because Plaintiff reported those crimes to law enforcement when Plaintiff witnessed those crimes.

1 Former and current USPTO staff have been bribed with revolving door jobs, stock market profits,
2 career favors, raises, job promotions and the other bribes listed in the exhibits. In the course of this
3 trial, most members of the NVCA and senior USPTO will be called before the jury and recordings
4 of deceased members will be played.

5 Since 2008, Plaintiff filed whistleblower complaints under Section 211 of the Energy Act of
6 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 5851 (“ERA”) and every other known whistle-blower reporting entity,
7 with every known law enforcement and regulatory agency. Over one year has passed since these
8 complaints were filed. The agencies have not issued a final decision within one year of the filing of
9 the complaints, and the delay is not due to the bad faith of Plaintiff. Thus, under the ERA, the
10 Federal District Court now has jurisdiction over this matter.

12 Plaintiff’s income, housing, brand and businesses were attacked and cut-off in reprisal for
13 his aid to the government in criminal ‘*stimulus*’ scam investigations involving profiteering with
14 various industries and the stock market. Defendants spent over \$60+ million dollars (proven by their
15 own banking records, comps from Presidential campaigns, and opposition research group’s past
16 billings as shown in provided EXHIBITS) using spy agency-type dirty tricks contracted from such
17 attack services as [Cardinal & Pine](#); [Pacronym, Acronym](#); [The Americano](#); [Investing in US](#);
18 *Shadow Inc*; *Courier Newsroom*; *IN-Q-Tel*; *Gawker Media*; *Jalopnik*; *Gizmodo Media*; *K2*
19 *Intelligence*; *WikiStrat*; *Podesta Group*; *Fusion GPS*; *Clearview AI Face Tracking, Google*;
20 *YouTube*; *Alphabet*; ***** FACEBOOK *****; *Twitter*; *Think Progress*; *Media Matters*); *Black*
21 *Cube*; *Correct The Record*; *Orbis Business Intelligence, Undercover Global Ltd*; *Stratfor*;
22 *Jigsaw*; *ShareBlue/Acronym*; *Versa LLC*; *American Ledger*; *Supermajority News*; *New Venture*
23 *Fund*; *Sixteen Thirty Fund*; *Cambridge Analytica*; *Sid Blumenthal*; [States Newsroom](#); *Hopewell*
24 *Fund*; *Open Society.*; *Palantir*, *Kroll*, *David Brock*; *AmpliFire News*; *American Bridge*; *Plouffe*
25 *Consulting*; *Pantsuit Nation*; *MotiveAI*; *American Bridge 21st Century Foundation*; *Priorities*
26 *USA*; *PR Firm Sunshine Sachs*; *The American Independent Foundation*; *Covington and*
27
28

1 ***Burling; BuzzFeed; [The American Independent](#); Perkins Coie; Secondary Infektion; Wilson***
2 ***Sonsini*** and thousands more are hired to scan EVERY database, every hour to run hit-jobs,
3 character assassinations, dirty tricks and economic reprisal attacks on Plaintiff, and any targets who
4 reported the crimes. Defendants used former intelligence agency (ie: See Ronan Farrow’s Book:
5 ***CATCH AND KILL***) personnel to harm Plaintiff as revenge/vendetta/reprisal because Plaintiff was
6 a whistle-blower, a direct competitor and the inventor of technologies that Defendants financiers
7 had not, themselves, invented.

8
9 These public official racketeer ‘mobsters’ run part of the United States Government and
10 used government resources, that Plaintiffs tax dollars paid for, to operate their crimes and to attack
11 Plaintiff for whistle-blowing. These racketeering officials rely on schemes with Elon Musk, Jeff
12 Bezos, Bill Gates, Lauren Powell Jobs, Tim Draper, Steve Jurvetson, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, The
13 Zuckerbergs and other windfall profiteers from the NVCA, coordinated by private banks such as
14 Goldman Sachs, corrupt CPA firms like Mossack and dirty law firms like Perkins Coie as
15 consigliere-type coordinators of the schemes.

16
17 On November 11, 1620, Plaintiff’s blood relatives signed the Declaration of Government, on
18 the Mayflower, which, in part, created the United States Government. Plaintiff is, thus, suing the
19 United States Government, on behalf of the United States Government in order to fix the United
20 States Government.

21
22 Some of the most famous politicians in the world covertly joined together to engage in a
23 profiteering and racketeering ‘enterprise’ (not unlike the ‘Mafia’) in order to optimize bribes based
24 around stock market manipulation of securities assets owned by politicians and their family
25 members. The many thousands of news articles about the reasons for the STOCK ACT, in
26 Congress, detail the harms of this via massive public documentation. White House and
27 Congressional staff (ie: Plouffe, Axelrod, McDonough, Carney, Spinner, Emanuel, Rattner,
28

1 Schmidt, Westly, et al) advised them on how to set-up and prosper in these illegal machinations in
2 exchange for political campaign financing and percentages of said financing.

3 The Patent Office has awarded Plaintiff dozens of issued federal patents as first-to-invent the
4 core products that run Silicon Valley. Plaintiff, and his partners, spent decades of their lives and
5 millions of dollars creating those inventions. Then the Defendant sabotaged the commercialization
6 of those patents because 90% of them obsoleted Defendant's employee's, contractor's and
7 financier's stock market holdings.
8

9 A patent is a fight with the U.S. Government over who invented a thing. You file a paper
10 saying: "*I invented this thing*" and the Government generally sends you a letter saying: "*We aren't*
11 *sure you were the first: PROVE IT!*" You go back and forth with the Patent Office in a federal
12 investigation that can last years, and spend vast amounts of money on lawyers, until you have
13 absolutely proven to the Patent Office that you were the first to invent something. Then the Patent
14 Office awards you the patent... unless the USPTO officials are bribed!
15

16 In Plaintiffs case, the patent office said that he invented the things that Google, YouTube,
17 Netflix, Tesla and *** FACEBOOK *** - Meta are based on. The Patent Office, Plaintiffs emails,
18 contracts, NDA's and other evidence; including leaks and whistle-blowers from Silicon Valley,
19 proves that Plaintiff invented those things, and launched the companies offering those products and
20 services, years before those competing companies even existed.

21 At the same time, federal and news media investigators discovered that Google, YouTube,
22 Netflix, Tesla and *** FACEBOOK *** – Meta et al, are the LARGEST PROVIDERS OF
23 BRIBES TO U.S. SENATORS AND WHITE HOUSE STAFF. It is impossible for the Court or the
24 Plaintiffs to argue otherwise because the public documentation confirming this fact is so vast.
25

26 Plaintiff discovered, later, that those competitors hired moles to spy on his companies and
27 their technologies and engage in RICO-violating anti-competitive attacks. **Even worse:** Plaintiff
28 found out that later after a number of broadcast *60 Minutes CBS News* reports and Congressional

1 tips from U.S. Senators family members, that **his government officials covertly owned those**
2 **companies!!!** Yes, His elected officials turned out to be either financed by, friends, with, sleeping
3 with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or
4 government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private
5 events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; our business adversaries,
6 or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply
7 political digital search manipulation services to. Criminal U.S. Senators and White House staff
8 coordinated and profited in these schemes. For example; One California Senator’s family owned
9 and controlled A.) Government trained attack ‘spies’ formerly with the CIA, NSA, etc., B.) The
10 leasing contracts for Tesla and Solyndra, C.) Their office staff that threatened Plaintiff in writing
11 and in-person to Plaintiff’s Washington DC staff, D.) the financing for Tesla and Solyndra, E.) The
12 construction services for Tesla and Solyndra, F.) The staffing company for Tesla and Solyndra, G.)
13 The adjacent railroad services for Tesla and Solyndra, H.) Key suppliers for Tesla and Solyndra, I.)
14 Goldman Sachs cooperative relationships for Tesla and Solyndra, J.) Transitions from their own
15 Senate Office staff to revolving door jobs at Tesla and Solyndra, K.) Government decisions for
16 Tesla and Solyndra, L.) The relationship incentives between Google, Tesla and Solyndra and that
17 Senator’s campaign financing to that Senator; and other illicit conflicts of interest. Plaintiff owned
18 the competing electric car company and technology that would have obsoleted Tesla, Google and
19 Solyndra and was the first to begin negotiations with the factory that Tesla later took over at the
20 insistence of that Senator, even though Elon Musk appears in news reports, previously stating that
21 he saw no use for the building for Tesla.
22
23
24

25 Silicon Valley has had the largest number of Congressional hearings against it, BUT the
26 least number of regulations imposed on it. Why? You can look no further than the covert ownership
27 of Silicon Valley by elected officials. Our politicians get paid bribes, by Silicon Valley, to keep the
28

1 political corruption alive and well while they operate, with impunity, as the biggest threats to
2 society ever manifested.

3 Government politicians protect Silicon Valley and allow it to keep producing child suicides,
4 racism, misogyny, child mental health threats, domestic spying, data harvesting, sex trafficking,
5 election manipulation, tax evasion, censorship, ***intellectual property theft***, political bribery and
6 many other crimes! Why? Because crime pays...**for U.S. Government officials!**

7
8 Certain Government officials, listed by name in the evidence documents, have knowingly
9 formed a RICO-law violating ‘criminal enterprise’ in order to operate monopolies against the
10 public, including Plaintiff and his companies, that place criminal greed over ethical governance.
11 The highest levels of DOJ, FEC, OSC, and other enforcement agencies have been proven, BY
12 CONGRESS, to have been blockaded by cronyism and corruption in their required duties to arrest
13 these officials.

14 Plaintiff’s letter to Senator Bingham and the Energy and Commerce Committee began part of
15 the Solyndra and Cleantech Crash investigations as shown on the referenced ***CBS NEWS 60***
16 ***MINUTES*** segments (Plaintiff worked with Bob Simon at 60 Minutes) and other documents in the
17 attached and linked **EXHIBITS**, and created the ‘**The Stop Trading on Congressional**
18 **Knowledge (STOCK) Act**’ which Senator Bingham and Plaintiff both aggressively pushed to
19 create.
20

21 There are 8 billion people in the world and over 5 million companies in the world. Why are
22 the same 20 people; from the same 6 companies; that are all within 10 blocks of each other; that all
23 went to the same frat houses; that all go to each other’s parties; that control the same crooked
24 ‘*Panama Papers*’-type CPA’s, Law Firms, Media Attackers and Lobbyists; that operate all this
25 felonious larceny connected in this way unless it is a “criminal enterprise” in violation of RICO
26 laws?
27
28

1. On top of that, Defendants confided to Plaintiffs (Later proven in their leaked emails) that
1 “they are the new Mafia” and produced Mafia documents and Mafia photo tributes to themselves
2 (attached herein). They said that they “...sought to control *The White House and Sacramento*
3 *Government offices so they could steer all the taxpayers public funds and power to their personal*
4 *pockets...*”, an act they fully accomplished! A deep state is a type of governance made up of
5 potentially secret and unauthorized networks of power operating independently of a [state](#)'s political
6 leadership in pursuit of their own agenda and goals. In popular usage, the term carries
7 overwhelmingly negative connotations.^[2] The range of possible uses of the term is similar to that
8 for [shadow government](#). The expression state within a state is an older and similar concept.
9 Historically, it designated a well-defined organization which seeks to function independently,^[3]
10 whereas the deep state refers more to a hidden organization seeking to manipulate the public state.
11 Congressional and other government officials have confirmed that a portion of the UNITED
12 STATES OF AMERICA is operated by and organized as this Deep State operation including the
13 administrators of this section of the government, listed by name, in the related **EXHIBITS**.

14
15
16
17 The United States Patent Office (<https://uspto.gov>) has awarded Plaintiff dozens of seminal
18 issued patents as first-to-invent the core technologies, of modern Silicon Valley, years before
19 Defendants sinister company partners even existed. Billions of people use Plaintiff’s technologies
20 worldwide.

21
22 Who hasn’t ordered a high-definition movie on the internet, on-demand, and watched it in
23 full-screen, full-color, on the web? ***The United States Patent Office and public records say one of***
24 ***Plaintiff’s company’s did it first!***

25
26 Who hasn’t enjoyed social networking on the web. ***The United States Patent Office and***
27 ***public records say one of Plaintiff’s company’s did it first*** and the USPTO hearing that occurred
28 said that Plaintiff did it BEFORE Zuckerberg or Yahoo engineers had thought of it!

Who hasn’t experienced immersive virtual reality in a fantastic synthetic computerized

1 world. *The United States Patent Office and public records say one of Plaintiff's company's did it*
2 *first!*

3 Who has hasn't dreamed of an electric car that can solve all of America's energy problems,
4 gets all of it's fuel from clean sources INSIDE of America, is the safest car ever made, is affordable
5 to the average person and relies on no foreign sourcing? *The United States Patent Office and*
6 *public records say one of Plaintiff's company's did it first!* ...AND, on top of that, Plaintiff was
7 awarded nearly a billion dollars of issued patents in commendation by the government. ...AND on
8 top of that, Congress awarded Plaintiff a Congressional award for it in The Iraq War Bill ...AND
9 The United States Government contracted Plaintiff to build it..... BUT then the U.S. Government
10 sabotaged the whole thing, including all the patents and the rest of Plaintiff's funding because it was
11 about to obsolete their insider's car: The deadly, stock market pump-and-dumped and contrived
12 "Tesla". Law enforcement and news investigators then informed Plaintiff he had been defrauded by
13 a government scam and insider crony slush-fund.
14

15
16 There are a vast volume of other similar examples of "Firsts" created and placed on the
17 market by Plaintiff and then spied-on, stolen and copied By Defendants who then launched harms
18 against because Plaintiff refused to participate in their protection rackets.

19 Defendants broke RICO laws by, in part, attacking and destroying Plaintiff's companies
20 because he did not participate in Defendants protection rackets and because his products and
21 technologies obsoleted theirs.

22
23 Defendants spied on Plaintiff companies (with honey-traps, listening devices that were
24 found, hacked servers, Microsoft Outlook email re-directs, moles who actually worked for the
25 Defendants and other tricks), copied the patents, technologies and trade secrets and made hundreds
26 of billions of dollars from them. Defendants blockade Plaintiff from getting a law firm, as detailed
27 in the attached and hot-linked **EXHIBITS** and blockade his litigation attempts to get to Jury Trial
28 against him. There is even a New York Times expose' article about how Google boss: Larry Page

1 ('boyfriend' of Elon Musk – They share an apartment, lobbyists and own the same Senators), skulks
2 around at tech events stealing ideas for Google/Alphabet. Page stole many of Google's technologies
3 from Plaintiff. It is difficult for Plaintiff to watch Defendants buy mutiple homes, race cars, private
4 islands, private jets, celebrity parties, exotic resort vacations and other fine things, based on profits
5 from Plaintiff's inventions, while Plaintiff is allowed to have none of these things, and is blockaded
6 from even getting a single home, because Defendants Cartel 'Enterprise' won't allow him to. In full
7 compliance with RICO requirements, Defendants attacked Plaintiffs A.) Record-breaking Global
8 Television Network, B.) Energy Processing Company, C.) Electric Vehicle Manufacturing
9 Company, D.) Social Media company and harrassed, black-listed, and put them out of business
10 because they had products that Defendants copied and Plaintiff had them years Before Defendants
11 efforts even existed.

12
13 In the "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION" matter, the United
14 States agencies, university and news reports have stated that the United States and it's taxpayers lost
15 tens of billions of dollars. **THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OWES PLAINTIFF**
16 **MONEY FOR SAVING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MONEY. *If not for the***
17 ***actions of Plaintiff, they would have lost tens of billions of dollars more. Plaintiff is OWED some***
18 ***consideration for serving his nation, overtly and covertly, and getting attcked and harmed for it***
19 ***by reprisal-oriented corrupt government officials who got caught!***

20
21 Verifications and proofs of all assertions are provided in the attached **EXHIBITS**.

22
23 Plaintiff, and his co-investors, previously sued the U.S. for political reprisal and won their
24 (massivly media covered) case under (Supreme Court nominee) Judge [Ketanji Brown Jackson](#),
25 wherein Plaintiff and his peers ***proved that political reprisal hit-jobs had been ordered against***
26 ***Plaintiff*** by Executives in The White House, The USPTO and via at least three West Coast U.S.
27 Senators, all of whom had hundreds of millions of dollars of 'unjust gains' expected from their
28 illicit participations in "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION" and the stock

1 market gains they sought therefrom. The Secretary of Energy, Mutiple Attorney General's and the
2 Director of the FBI were fired for corruption during the "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION
3 INVESTIGATION" timeframe and according to law-firm Covington And Burling, who claims (in
4 emails to Plaintiff) to have placed those appointees in office, they were all connected to "Case X
5 POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION" ill-gotten gains. *Plaintiff's exposure of the*
6 *crimes, notifications to the perpetrators that they had been caught, lock-down of the loop-holes*
7 *used to engage in the crimes, creation of massive press coverage of the corruptions, creation of*
8 *legal precedents, mass posting of the evidence to citizens world-wide, and other efforts, is said to*
9 *have saved the United States Of America over \$200 Billion dollars in losses from future and*
10 *ongoing versions of these crimes.*

12 Plaintiff received a Congressionaly awarded grant and delivered in full, his work product, to
13 the United States; unlike all of the other Dept of Energy program peers, who grabbed the federal
14 money and instantly went bankrupt and profiteered on the tax write-offs. *Plaintiff alleges reprisal,*
15 *vendetta, and revenge by government officials as retribution for reporting violations of RICO*
16 *laws, Anti-Trust Laws and other crimes, in a criminal program involving U.S. public officials.*
17 *Plaintiff also alleges RICO-organized business harms to his companies and issued patents.*

19 Plaintiff's losses from said state-sponsored vendetta reprisal attacks operated The White
20 House, government agencies and Congress wherein those bodies were infected by corruption as
21 reported by the FBI, DOJ, SEC, OSC, FEC, FINCEN, INTERPOL, ICIJ, WIKILEAKS, CBS
22 NEWS, CONGRESSIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEES AND hundreds of other government bodies.
23 Plaintiff in no way aserts that the U.S. government is "bad". Plaintiff simply asserts that there are
24 some bad people, with criminal intentions, working in the government.

26 Plaintiff asks the Court to **consider** Plaintiff's request for protection via the more detailed
27 threat explanations, below, which proves that Plaintiff has threats of death, was attacked, has
28 extreme vulnerability to retaliation, has already had his life destroyed by Defendants attacks and

1 that it is in the public’s interest for Plaintiff to stay alive and unharmed so that he can continue to
2 protect taxpayers from corruption. Plaintiff’s attacks are now detailed in the body of the complaint as
3 well as the attached **EXHIBITS which are part and parcel of this filing**. The details are now less
4 broad and much more specific. The actual threats and attacks are detailed, herein, to a greater
5 degree. Actual evidence of harassment, shadow-bans and cyberstalking are provided. Plaintiff has
6 over 3 million pages of evidence and many hours of video evidence posted online. Any appearance
7 of Plaintiff’s name on any server, dating site, government site or other electronic location damages
8 Plaintiff because these hired attack companies use computerized cyber-assassin software: [Cardinal](#)
9 [& Pine](#); *Pacronym, Acronym*; [The Americano](#); [Investing in US](#); *Shadow Inc*; *Courier*
10 *Newsroom*; *IN-Q-Tel*; *Gawker Media*; *Jalopnik*; *Gizmodo Media*; *K2 Intelligence*; *WikiStrat*;
11 *Podesta Group*; *Fusion GPS*; *Clearview AI Face Tracking*, *Google*; *YouTube*; *Alphabet*; ***
12 *FACEBOOK ****; *Twitter*; *Think Progress*; *Media Matters*); *Black Cube*; *Correct The Record*;
13 *Orbis Business Intelligence*, *Undercover Global Ltd*; *Stratfor*; *Jigsaw*; *ShareBlue/Acronym*;
14 *Versa LLC*; *American Ledger*; *Supermajority News*; *New Venture Fund*; *Sixteen Thirty Fund*;
15 *Cambridge Analytica*; *Sid Blumenthal*; [States Newsroom](#); *Hopewell Fund*; *Open Society.*;
16 *Palantir*, *Kroll*, *David Brock*; *AmpliFire News*; *American Bridge*; *Plouffe Consulting*; *Pantsuit*
17 *Nation*; *MotiveAI*; *American Bridge 21st Century Foundation*; *Priorities USA*; *PR Firm*
18 *Sunshine Sachs*; *The American Independent Foundation*; *Covington and Burling*; *Buzzfeed*;
19 [The American Independent](#); *Perkins Coie*; *Secondary Infektion*; *Wilson Sonsini* and thousands
20 more are hired to scan EVERY database, every hour to run hit-jobs, character assassinations, dirty
21 tricks and economic reprisal attacks on Plaintiff, and any targets who reported the crimes. For his
22 own security, Plaintiff has helped to place an “insurance” policy data set on servers around the
23 globe, on in-orbit satellites launched by others and in torrent files that look like movie files but are
24 actually encrypted data sets, residing around the globe. Agents for Plaintiff will release those data
25 sets upon the untimely death, coma-state harm or severe interdiction harm to Plaintiff.
26
27
28

1 Plaintiff maintains it is in the best interest of the Court and the public to appoint a qualified
2 lawyer, equitable to the legal counsel used by Defendants, for Plaintiff. Plaintiff can't understand
3 "legalese", can't make a logical trial hearing sound as good as a real lawyer could, to the Jury, when
4 the emotional stress of this case has devastated Plaintiff and Defendant has billions of dollars at
5 their disposal while Plaintiff has none.

6 Plaintiff's entire knowledge base as to when to shout out the word "objection", comes from
7 watching a few TV episodes of "*Law and Order*". Also, Plaintiff is not articulate in such settings.
8 Plaintiff can't recall dates and numbers in person and on-the-spot. He must go back and look at his
9 notes. Where Plaintiff's other goal, aside from getting his money and his house back, is to expose
10 this corruption on public record, ON BEHALF of the public, it only makes sense that a lawyer
11 could do that best for all concerned. Plaintiff absolutely does not understand legal issues. His latest
12 help in drafting this comes from buying law students a sandwich at Hastings and Santa Clara law
13 schools. That hardly counts as 'adequate legal resources'. Plaintiff is suing THE LARGEST, MOST
14 RESOURCED, DEFENDANTS ON EARTH and has no lawyer. **Really?!... does that actually**
15 **seem like a fair fight?** Those circumstances seem pretty "exceptional" and the law says that
16 individual, public-interest, senior, low-income, disabled plaintiffs fighting for justice on behalf of
17 the public and themselves are "exceptional", present "exceptional" circumstances and should be
18 given the "exception" by the Court, to be fairly represented by a Court Appointed Counsel paid for
19 by the Court. The Court gives murderers a free Court appointed lawyer every day. Why does a
20 person, such as Plaintiff, who put his life on the line for his nation not get a lawyer from the Court
21 when scumbag animals that take the lives of innocent children get a free one from the Court all day
22 long? Plaintiff does not have the slightest clue how to look up legalese or cite case numbers or
23 which laws were violated according 'citation numbers' and lawyer codes and his Dyscalculia makes
24 it restrictively hard to do so. There is no justice for the Plaintiff if he can't understand the secret
25 language of lawyers. The CIA told Plaintiff "*Learn Russian*".. then years later they said: "*nawwww*,

1 *forget about learning Russian. Learn Syrian*". The Court is asking Plaintiff to learn a language
2 (lawyer talk, which is as hard as learning Russian from scratch) that he will never use again, that
3 takes ten+ years to learn properly and that lawyer language changes every year with new laws.
4 Everybody else has a lawyer in these monster, giant, lawsuits. Most of them have 20+ lawyers per
5 side. Plaintiff has no desire to become a lawyer. There are plenty of lawyers. If you killed all of the
6 lawyers in one generation, there would be just as many in the next generation. Plaintiff should be
7 allowed to have at least one of those lawyers from the vast pool of already existing lawyers in
8 America. This whole case is about FAIRNESS and DISCRMINATION and REPRISAL.
9 Defendants have people representing them that are lawyers 24/7. They just sit around and fight
10 mobsters all day. They should be helping Plaintiff fight mobsters and NOT fight against Plaintiff,
11 who is fighting the same mobsters THEY are supposed to be fighting. Defendants have an unfair
12 advantage because they have a building full of lawyers, unlimited cash and they know all of the
13 legal tricks and meanings of all the legal phrases which Plaintiff has no clue about. It seems
14 discriminatory to not let Plaintiff have a lawyer after Defendants blocked EVERY possible way for
15 Plaintiff to have access to a lawyer because they fear his TRUTH and EVIDENCE. After going
16 through all of the state-sponsored harms and hardships detailed here, and in *THE EXHIBITS*, it is
17 not **fair**, **just** or **reasonable** for Plaintiff to still be blockaded from having a knowledgeable legal
18 representative. The Court should appoint a lawyer to Plaintiff to save the Judge time and frustration.
19 The earlier drafts of parts of this complaint were written by White House Lawyers, The huge
20 Reed/Rubenstein Dinsmore law firm, and government legal people. When asked about some of their
21 top tier legal language Plaintiff will have no clue what their citations and arguments means. Most of
22 Plaintiff's past lawyers have been hired away by the Defendants. How are all of these circumstances
23 not "exceptional". Plaintiff is fighting for his rights AND for the American Public. How does he not
24 deserve a lawyer under all of these "exceptional" circumstances? As the Judge pointed out,
25 Plaintiff's get a free court appointed lawyer under "exceptional" circumstances. Plaintiff has listed
26
27
28

1 over 100 “exceptional” circumstances in this filing supportive of his need and right to a have one
2 and the public will always ask why the Court let Plaintiff go on a “death march” against the biggest
3 Defendants anyone on Earth could possibly sue without a single qualified, unconflicted, lawyer
4 helping in. It will look like, to the public, that Plaintiff is being “set-up” by The Defendants Cartel.
5 If only for public optics, providing a lawyer for Plaintiff will be best. It could be that the Court is
6 thinking what the SF Bar and San Mateo bar representaives told him: “*Well, it will be really hard to*
7 *find a lawyer around here who isn’t in the Cartel’s pocket...*” That’s kind of the whole point of the
8 lawsuit, though, isn’t it. There are certainly some lawyers around who are not Silicon Valley’s
9 stooges. This is an “exceptional” circumstance.

11 Plaintiff exhausted every possible, and impossible, option to obtain counsel as shown,
12 below, in the detailed section of the attached **EXHIBITS**. Under “exceptional” attack by
13 Defendants, the Defendants set out to tactially deprive Plaintiff from getting an attorney using
14 Government resources. This ALSO makes Plaintiff’s situation “exceptional” in that few other
15 Plaintiff’s in this Court have ever had the entire resources of the U.S. government arrayed against
16 them to try to keep them from getting justice. The Defendants are blocking Paintiff’s legal right to
17 adequate counsel because some of them will go to prison, some will be shamed, some will lose their
18 jobs, some will be revealed to be sex traffickers, and some will get into other kinds of trouble.

20 Government officials no longer have the immunity they once thought they had. Plaintiff’s
21 STOCK ACT in Congress proves part of that assertion as the STOCK ACT punishes most
22 government officials for doing the crimes Plaintiff asserts. Also, Plaintiff created a legal Precedent
23 by suing the Acting Secretary of Energy. Steven "SOE" and Lachlan Seward said they had
24 “Qualified immunity”, yet Plaintiff got them kicked to the curb. That’s a “win” for Plaintiff AND
25 the taxpayers! He and his investors sued Steven "SOE", The Secretay of Energy, The U.S. and other
26 government officials and got them fired for corruption. Other recent cases prove that dirty
27 politicians will always, now be taken down, either in, or around the Court. To dismiss Plaintiffs
28

1 case may discourage the public that nobody can get justice and may make the world say: “See, they
2 ran another reprisal on him...it’s Seth Rich all over again”. ..”there is no justice..” “Everybody that
3 tries to DO THE RIGHT THING gets screwed by Uncle Sam..” etc. Give the people some hope and
4 don’t let this case get dismissed. EVERYBODY said: “*You can’t sue the Secretary of Energy*
5 *personally and get him kicked out*” Plaintiff did it! There are hundreds of things Plaintiff was told
6 were “IMPOSSIBLE..” and Plaintiff still pulled them off. Look at Plaintiff’s resume website, who
7 else do you know that has been so productive against such impossible odds? It would be a crime to
8 dismiss a public-interest lawsuit designed to stop corruption against the public! Plaintiff set new
9 legal precedents which make it easier to sue public officials and hundreds of public service groups
10 are now doing the same thing. Did you notice that 35+ Congressional members just decided to up
11 and quit Congress just prior to the upcoming election? That is from these new “immunity reduction”
12 efforts targeting crooks working in Plaintiff’s government. Crooked officials can no longer put their
13 dirty eggs in the “but I’m immune..” basket. Google-Alphabet-YouTube will, likely, trot out their
14 old ploy: “*...but we work for the CIA, see all these secret wire transfers we get from the*
15 *government..and.... and, the CIA funded us.. We are IMMUNE*” BS!! Micheal Painter can testify
16 about who paid for Google Maps and their satellite system: The American Taxpayer! Nearly 500 ex-
17 employees are prepared to come forward and testify to what Google REALLY is. The one thing that
18 Google, and the other co-conspirators, are NOT is immune! Eric Schmidt, Larry Page and Elon
19 Musk, as covert operators of the deep state within the U.S., have no such immunity. The FBI AND
20 Plaintiff both have the evidence, training, credentialing and rapid ability to produce arrest
21 documents for any party listed herein and those Defendant bad actors MUST be arrested and
22 charged. Mobsters in the U.S. government engaged in RICO violations and organized crime are
23 NOT immune from prosecution!

27 Related cases confirming Plaintiff’s assertions include: Criminal Case No. **21-582 (CRC)**;
28 Task Force cases known as: “Durham Investigations”; “The Solyndra Investigation”; “The

1 Afghanistan Rare Earth Mining Corruption Investigations”;SFPD Case # **150528148** (- Company E
2 (Northern District) Per Officer Liu – Badge # 4742) is relevant. Police incident report # **25119268**
3 is relevant. OSC Case File No. **MA-19-002006** is relevant. OSC Case File **DI-15-4541** is relevant.
4 California Victim Compensation & Government Claims Board Claim # **G628261** is relevant. Also
5 relevant are: Case No. **1:20-cv-03010** (Google monopoly and competitor attacks case); Case No.
6 **11-CV-2509** ([https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-](https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/)
7 [antitrust-litigation/](https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/)); Task force Case No. **20-xyz2020a** (<http://www.case-xyz2020a.com/>); Case
8 No. **20-03664** (<https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf>);
9 Case No. **1:12-CV-00774-mms** and related cases. ([https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-](https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism)
10 [administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism](https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism). Criminal referrals against the
11 attackers have been filed with the FBI, DOJ, SEC, FEC, FTC); Case No. **18-cv-8865** (S.D.N.Y.)
12 (SEC v. Elon Musk for lies and scams); Case No. **18-cv-8947** (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Tesla, Inc. for
13 lies and scams); Case No. **1:14-cv-270143** (Google racketeering charges -
14 <https://artistrightswatch.com/2017/10/08/googles-racketeering-challenge/>); Case No. **1:19-cr-**
15 **00490** (United States v. Epstein - Big tech sex cult crimes case); Case No. **129 So.3d 1196** (Fla. 2d
16 DCA 2014); **170 So.3d 125** (Fla. 2d DCA 2015) (Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea in which Gawker,
17 Deadspin, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Kotaku and Lifehacker were exposed as character
18 assassination and money-laundering fronts working for notorious third parties); Case No. **19-cv-**
19 **343672** James Martin (on behalf of ALPHABET INC) v Larry Page et al (Sex Cults In Silicon
20 Valley); Case No. **CGC-11-508414**, California Superior Court, San Francisco (Plaintiff v Google);
21 Case No. **3:16-cv-03061** U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
22 San Francisco Division (Plaintiff V. Google/Alphabet/YouTube); Case No. **18-CIV05380** Rubin
23 Vs. Rubin (Google sex cult and sex slave charges); Case No. : **1:17 - cv - 06404** Vs. Rubin
24 (Organized crime sex trafficking stock market manipulators); Case No. D.C. No. **3:17-cv-05369** -
25 VC (Big tech harassment of outsiders);Case No. **3:21-cv-00077** (Another of many lawsuits proving
26
27
28

1 that the Silicon Valley Cartel conspires to manipulate media and markets) and the SFPD Roger
2 Boas case records. David Drummond, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, David Rubin, and
3 other, oligarchs advised and, literally, ran the White House, were charged with sex trafficking by
4 their spouses, tax evasion by the IRS, money laundering by FINCEN, DOJ, INTERPOL, or other
5 agencies. They ran the White House to such a degree that many news reports stated that “*you*
6 *couldn't swing a cat at the White House without hitting a Google or *** FACEBOOK *** insider.*”
7 (ie: The Intercept – The Google Administration) The U.S. sought, solicited, encouraged, paid,
8 traded influence and web services with these known mobsters of the tech industry and gave these
9 sociopaths full credentials and authority under the U.S.

11 For over a decade, the U.S. had full and complete evidence to arrest the Cartel members
12 referenced herein yet the U.S. did not arrest them because they were “*friends of the White House*”
13 and they kept the pig trough of insider trading and crony capitalism flowing like a tsunami of skull-
14 duggery. Thus the U.S. is responsible for all harms and damages to Plaintiff in addition to the other
15 reasons mentioned, herein, as to why the U. S. must pay Plaintiff. On top of that, The U.S.
16 sponsored, operated, financed and provided the taxpayer-paid resources to harm Plaintiff. The
17 government argument that “*...oh, that was just all that crazy Eric Schmidt, Elon Musk and Larry*
18 *Page and Zuckerberg doing all that stuff.. they are just insane little frat house boyfriends that are*
19 *out-of-control...*”, does not hold water based on the facts herein. The U.S. had direct financing and
20 command-and-control executive management over all of the harms against Plaintiff. In fact, top
21 FBI, DOJ, SEC, FTC, CIA and other investigative officers have quit their agencies over this crony
22 favoritism and failure to arrest. On March 22, 2022 in a related case, # **1:21-mc-00813-AT in U.S.**
23 **District Court, Southern Division New York;** Paul A. Calli and Charles P. Short, lawyers for the
24 Plaintiffs filed a letter of the same date, provided in the EXHIBITS. In that letter to Honorable
25 Judge Analisa Torres and in related national news articles, it has been revealed that the DOJ lied to,
26 and concealed facts and evidence from the Judge. This is of concern as Plaintiff, is also a reporter
27
28

1 and nationally published news publisher. On the same day, and at nearly the same time DOJ *leaked*
2 **government exhibit #3217 (GX 3217)** which was admitted **under seal**, This leaked evidence
3 provided the names of 121 famous sex cult participants in the U.S. v. Ray sex cult case. The news
4 organizations of the world have now published that list. It seems that someone at DOJ is trying to
5 help Plaintiff's case, while others at DOJ are trying to harm Plaintiff. These two recent occurrences
6 further prove two of Plaintiff's claims:

7
8 1. That government officials hack citizens simply in reprisal and lie to Judges about it. In
9 that other case, simply because some girl lost her diary.

10 2. That an active and 'Deep State' sex cult exists nationwide. That affiliated insiders operate
11 and sex traffick in it and the government is fully aware of it.

12 The Defendants are 100% liable for the charges herein and for the harms to Plaintiff listed
13 herein.

14 The largest private investigation firm of the elite's was exposed in WIKI-Leaks calling Peter
15 Thiel: "Fu*cking Nuts". Tim Draper wanted Silicon Valley to be so elite that he tried to make it into
16 it's own state. Joe Lonsdale is under lawsuit for rape. Mr. Hayes and Mr. Kumar were killed by
17 Hookers. John Doerr and Vinohd Khosla are called out in national news stories for sex abuse,
18 California Beach take-overs from the public and hundreds of billions of dollars in "Green Energy"
19 kick-backs from their friend Steven Chu. The list of cringe-worthy news stories about these folks
20 goes on and on.
21
22

23
24 If you are an outsider, or competitor, they can, and do, sabotage your company in no time
25 flat with moles, hack attacks, DDOS attacks, media character assassination attacks, "Merchants of
26 Doubt" (See the movie) aspersions, civic awards blockades, contract terms exclusions, hire-aways,
27 anti-poaching cartel secret deals and a host of weapons that they use daily.
28

1 Do the Justice Department, The Securities and Exchange Commission, or other federal
2 forces do anything about this? Not much. These men pay the bribes that keep Washington floating.
3 They have paid hundreds of billions of dollars, in “tribute”, to the current Administration. It isn’t
4 wise to bite the hand that feeds you.

5 The popular Web Blogs: The Corbett Report and The Alex Jones Show, along with many
6 others, have released a number of documentaries detailing connections between the NVCA and
7 unethical, and somewhat illegal activities.

8 How does this affect you?

9 Got an invention? If you are not part of the Frat House club, Fahgettabout it!

10 Want to start a start-up? If you are not part of the Frat House club, Fahgettabout it!

11 Are you a woman? If you are not part of the Frat House club, Fahgettabout it!

12 Did you actually sneak your way past the golden gates and get funded but now find that
13 some of your shareholders are forming a mutiny to toss you out. Did you really think some of those
14 investors weren’t actually part of the VC Cartel just waiting to merge their shares and toss you out
15 after you had gotten the technology to work? Can you push back? If you are not part of the Frat
16 House club, Fahgettabout it!

17 Are they not a “real” mafia-class Cartel, like the Al Capone and Columbian Cartel’s.
18 because they don’t actually kill people? Do you think those 185+ sudden, mysterious, suspicious
19 deaths that took place in the last 28 months involving bankers, reporters and technologists, who had
20 rubbed these people side-ways, are unconnected? Fahgettabout it!

21 This kinds of things do affect the average person by creating more discrimination that they
22 have to endure, a worse economy, a less favorable impression of their country, deeper misogyny,
23 less equality, more privilege. If it bothers you, and you have ever paid taxes, call the FBI, SEC,
24 GAO and Congressional phone numbers that you see online and ask them what they are doing about
25 it.
26
27
28

1 Some interesting story background:

2 Upcoming venture capitalists collusion meeting! | Startable

3 Are these people colluding at the 2010 NVCA meeting? That’s right. The National Venture Capital
4 Association. Where will the collusion stop?!?

5 startable.com/2010/09/23/venture-capitalists-collusion-...

6 http://www.thenewsdaily.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/SPIES-WHO-WENT-ROGUE_-THE-
7 [IN-Q-](http://www.thenewsdaily.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/SPIES-WHO-WENT-ROGUE_-THE-)

8
9 DC-based fund, Sands Capital, withheld filings that concealed Chinese influence over the
10 White House, Patent Office, Judiciary & *** FACEBOOK ***

11 Contributing Writers | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION |

12
13
14 **Why Some People (like the NVCA) Want MORE domestic spying!**

15
16
17 There are over 40 different agencies and data harvesters watching and recording everything
18 you do on your phone, computer, car and anything else electronic. That does not include the
19 hackers, foreign governments and stalkers. They analyze you, with that information they try to trick
20 you into purchasing or voting certain ways via subliminal messaging and mood manipulation. When
21 you say, or write, something that makes any of them concerned, they increase their monitoring of
22 you. Everybody now has an analysis file associated with their name. You are ranked by how much
23 trouble you are likely to cause.
24

25
26 ***Is that George Orwell’s “1984”?***

1 The bigger question is: “Why did so many of the people we hired to run our countries go so
2 overboard with digital manipulation?”

3 It may have to do with the power of community and the abuse of the public, by a few, finally
4 coming to light.

5 The power of community brought the Catholic sex abuse catastrophe to light.

6 The power of community changed the structure of the Middle East forever.

7 The power of community made the internet the internet.

8
9 Those who have chosen careers as dictators, mobsters and corrupt politicians HATE the
10 internet and the power of community.

11 The biggest push-back has come from the corrupt politicians because they are so well
12 financed, and, they are financed by the tax money from the very community that now has the
13 power. The power of community just became equal to the power of a billionaire’s bank account.

14 This is the greatest fear of the corrupt. The corrupt can only exist in the shadows. They can
15 only steal tax money when nobody is looking. With the power of community, their schemes are
16 crumbling. Their Solyndra’s are crashing and burning. Their Madoff’s are uncovered. Their
17 Countrywide’s are laid bare.

18
19 Some of the corrupt Senators and their campaign backer billionaires are calling for “more
20 surveillance”, more control of access and more limits of free speech. This is because they are
21 scared.

22 Here is one example of a grand corruption that has recently been “outed” by the power of
23 community:

24 America was always viewed as the “Great Innovator of the World”. That is no longer the case,
25 thanks to a group called the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA).

26 They conspire, collude, and coordinate who gets funded and who gets shut-down. If you
27 compete with their boys, they blacklist you. If your new product might threaten their holdings, they
28

1 use their Gust database and VC-Link and other data mining services to make sure you never get any
2 money for your idea, no matter how great it is.

3
4 In a well known scandal called: “AngelGate”, a group of VC’s were documented having one
5 of the weekly collusion meetings they all attend, to decide which insiders get to play the game and
6 who gets rolled over. The founders of the NVCA are the same people involved in the sinister In-Q-
7 Tel organization and the various “public information foundations” that are now under intense
8 scrutiny for public policy manipulation.

9
10 Even though they helped fund the internet, the VC’s are not the smartest tools in the shed.
11 They are not the “idea guys”. They move like sheep in a herd. They are the ones that steal the ideas
12 from the actual “idea guys”. They did not have the vision to see that the internet was about to
13 become their biggest enemy.

14
15 When a VC see’s an idea guy/gal’s idea pitch they are thinking three things: 1.) “Can I get
16 some free market research from this guy? 2.) Will this product compete with my portfolio and
17 should I kill it? 3.) Is it really a good idea that I can steal and pass over to another NVCA VC so
18 they can copy it and the idea guy will never be able to trace the theft back to me?”

19
20 There is a reason they call them “vulture capitalists”. The biggest myth about Silicon Valley
21 venture capital money is that any outsider, who isn’t in the Stanford frat club, will ever see any of
22 that money.

23
24 For the very insider, good-ole-boy, money to stay in the tight little insider circle, a facade of
25 “the American Dream for ideas” had to be perpetrated. Now that all of the entrepreneurs can
26 compare notes, valuations, IP thefts, patent protection sabotage and VC lies on the open internet and
27 see that the entrepreneurs are just getting harvested and plucked like chickens.

28
The VC’s and campaign billionaires want everybody spied on, in their country, so they can
get a heads-up on when the game is up, and try to forestall that day with disinformation. Always

1 slow to the table though, the NVCA manipulations have yet to realize that the day has already
2 passed them by.

3 Investigators say that, Democratic party operatives David Plouffe, Rahm Emanuel, Steven
4 Rattner, Bill Daly, David Axelrod and Robert Gibbs arranged with Silicon Valley investors to take
5 over the lithium battery industry in order to monopolize the trillions of dollars of lithium, and
6 related mining deals, in Afghanistan.

7
8
9 They say that they traded federal funding for campaign support assisted by Harry Reid and
10 Dianne Feinstein, who received numerous stock and cash kickbacks in the scheme.

11
12 They say they used the money to fund political campaigns. They used the Silicon Valley
13 investors internet companies to manipulate voter perceptions and web searches in favor of their
14 agenda. The Silicon Valley investors received: favorable federal laws, tax gifts, free federal loans,
15 stock bumps and other perks.

16
17 The Silicon Valley investors mining resources exploitation companies: Abound, Solyndra,
18 Fisker, Ener1, Tesla, and many, many more, that received the USPTO kickback funds, managed by
19 Steven Chu, have all either failed, been raided, been charged with fraud or otherwise turned out to
20 be disasters because they were based on a financial fraud skimming scheme instead of a good
21 business plan.

22 All of these facts are known, in great detail, by many investigators. Nearly a million pages
23 of evidence exist. A Special Prosecutor is required to perform proper prosecutions.

24
25 Attack specialist and Perkins Coie lawyer: Mr. Sussman, is in the news quite a bit these days
26 in the Durham federal investigation detailing Sussman's epic cyber assassination attacks of behalf
27 of Hillary Clinton. Nobody every heard of him, until they did. Here are some other characters that
28

1 stay under-cover but pull highly questionable Sussman-like strings via just a handful of co-
2 conspirators:

3 **Beijing Bedfellows** - Parker Zhang, became “Chief of Patents” at Baidu in 2012. He worked
4 for Fenwick & West LLP, Baidu says attorney Parker Zhang is “Chief of Patents.” It is very
5 unusual for a junior attorney to reach such a position of power. Zhang graduated from Michigan
6 Law in 2005. He was an Associate at Fenwick & West LLP from 2006-2010. After less than a year
7 as “IP Consultant” at Hewlett-Packard, he became “Chief of Patents” at Baidu, in about May 2012.
8
9 Marauding Obama Donors: Zhang’s move to Baidu, Inc. coincides with the unreported Sands
10 Capital securities transactions analyzed below. Also during his move, *** FACEBOOK *** went
11 public, T. Rowe Price invested \$190.5 million in *** FACEBOOK *** and \$147 million in Baidu.
12 Fenwick & West LLP was *** FACEBOOK ***’s securities and patent counsel. The Leader v. ***
13 FACEBOOK *** case was on appeal at the Federal Circuit where the judges were heavily invested
14 in *** FACEBOOK ***, and the S.E.C. Chair in Baidu (e.g., T. Rowe Price PRGFX) Zhang had
15 only five years of experience before jumping to the top intellectual property job at Baidu. Baidu is
16 one of the largest technology companies with \$23B in revenue and 21,000 employees. This would
17 place the company around 130th on the Fortune 500 list; along with U.S. Bank, Time Warner, and
18 Goodyear. It appears that the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO feeding frenzy was orchestrated in both the
19 U.S. and China. This supports the hypothesis that the NASDAQ “glitch” was a smokescreen.
20
21 Breaking News! Jan. 29, 2014—S.E.C. Chair Mary L. Schapiro held stock in both ***
22 FACEBOOK *** & Baidu (China) before the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO via her investment in T.
23 Rowe Price Growth Stock Fund (PRGFX), which was up to \$600,000, according to Schapiro’s
24 financial disclosure.
25

26
27 **Mary L. Schapiro**, Chair, S.E.C.; held stock in *** FACEBOOK *** and Baidu before the
28 *** FACEBOOK *** IPO, along with Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** judges and Patent Office;

1 ignored whistleblower warnings. In addition to warnings about fabricated mobile revenues, her
2 S.E.C. agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of improper “dark pools” activity,
3 including failure to disclose to investors that *** FACEBOOK *** had been judged guilty on 11 of
4 11 federal counts of infringing Columbus innovator Leader Technologies’ U.S. Patent No.
5 7,139,761 for social networking—the core technology engine running *** FACEBOOK ***.
6 Evidently, Schapiro knew about *** FACEBOOK *** Chairman James W. Breyer’s intention to
7 exploit Leader’s technology in China also, where his father, John P. Breyer, operates IDG-Accel-
8 China. Sands Capital Management, LLC injected Chinese influence into Obamacare. Sands Capital,
9 the 7th largest fund investor in the May 2012 *** FACEBOOK *** IPO, secretly acquired over
10 \$200 million in Athenahealth holdings just as President Obama moved Todd Y. Park,
11 Athenahealth’s founder, from HHS to the White House, on Mar. 9, 2012.
12

13
14 **Gordon K. Davidson**, Fenwick & West LLP; current *** FACEBOOK *** securities and
15 patent counsel; Leader Technologies former corp. counsel (c.a., 2001-2004). At the same time,
16 Sands Capital secretly slipped in its holding in Baidu, Inc., sometimes called the Chinese ***
17 FACEBOOK ***. Baidu is notoriously controlled by the Chinese Communist Party. Baidu’s CEO,
18 Robin Yangong Li, started his job in Jan. 2004—the same month Mark Zuckerberg started ***
19 FACEBOOK *** after stealing Leader Technologies’ source code via attorney firm Fenwick &
20 West LLP, we believe. *** FACEBOOK *** also went public during this same time (with Fenwick
21 & West LLP as their lead securities and intellectual property counsel). Again, Sand Capital did not
22 provide proper notice of its *** FACEBOOK *** stock acquisition. The juxtaposition of these three
23 notice failures, combined with HealthCare.gov’s claim that its software platform running on ***
24 FACEBOOK *** and other “open source” software, signals obvious collusion to deprive Leader
25 Technologies of its private property rights—government confiscation of property. It also proves
26 Chinese meddling in America’s data infrastructure and the Obama White House cabinet. (Jan. 28,
27
28

1 2014)—Washington DC-based Sands Capital Management, LLC, the seventh largest fund investor
2 in *** FACEBOOK ***, failed to file three critical *** FACEBOOK ***, Athenahealth, and
3 Baidu-China (“the Chinese *** FACEBOOK ****”) disclosures with the U.S. Securities and
4 Exchange Commission (S.E.C.) during the period of May-August 2012. These disclosures would
5 have signaled serious conflicts of interests within the Obama administration, especially the
6 complicity of Wall Street and the White House’s Silicon Valley donors with likely undue Chinese
7 influence over Obamacare. Pres. Obama and Todd Y. Park blatantly violated conflict of interest
8 laws
9

10
11 **Todd Y. Park**, U.S. CTO; HealthCare.gov architect; Athenahealth, founder; Castlight
12 Health, founder. These Sands Capital filing failures occurred just as President Obama moved Todd
13 Y. Park as chief technology officer (CTO) of Health and Human Services to the White House on
14 Mar. 9, 2012. By this time, Park had already embedded his Athenahealth and Castlight Health
15 technology deeply into HealthCare.gov.
16

17
18 **Ann H. Lamont**, Director of Todd Y. Park’s Castlight Health; former director of Todd Y.
19 Park’s Athenahealth; former director of NVCA with James W. Breyer, Accel Partners, among other
20 *** FACEBOOK *** cartel principals; Mng. Prtnr. Oak Investment Partners; husband Edward is
21 grandson of JPMorgan Chase & Co. founder Thomas W. Lamont. Lamont is a heavy investor in
22 Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanely and JPMorgan—*** FACEBOOK ****’s underwriters. However,
23 Todd Y. Park was the founder of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health. His brother, Edward Y.
24 Park, is the chief operating officer of Athenahealth. JPMorgan insider and Obama campaign
25 financier, Ann H. Lamont, was an Athenahealth director with Park and his brother.
26
27

28 **NVCA: The Cesspool of White Collar Corruption And Creators Of Cyber Assassins**

1
2 **James W. Breyer**, *** FACEBOOK ***; Managing Partner. Accel Partners LLP; NVCA
3 Fmr. Chairman (2004).

4 **Ann H. Lamont** is also an investing partner with *** FACEBOOK ***'s James W. Breyer.
5 She is also a fellow former director with Breyer at the National Venture Capital Association
6 (NVCA).

7 Reporting new stock acquisitions to the S.E.C. is routine. "Form SC 13G" reports are an essential
8 tool used by investors to know when funds add new stocks to their portfolios. Without those
9 notices, new acquisitions can easily be missed. Independent stock analysts like Morningstar monitor
10 them and create daily alerts of new acquisitions to the market as well as to watchdogs.

11 **Frank M. Sands, Jr.**, Sands Capital Management, LLC. Failed to file timely notices.
12 Virginia.edu. Had Sands Capital filed timely, accountability questions could have been triggered. As
13 it happened, they slipped the holding quietly onto their quarterly reports, thus avoiding
14 transparency.

15
16
17 The activities of these companies impact American healthcare and data security priorities.
18 America was not given the opportunity to scrutinize this activity until now, after the damage has
19 been done.

20 Sands Capital Management, LLC ATHENAHEALTH, INC. holdings—Holdings Reports,
21 SEC EDGAR. Yellow highlighted rows show reporting periods in which no notices of acquisitions
22 were filed by compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock. These notices are important filings for fraud
23 watchdogs. Sands Capital Management, LLC ATHENAHEALTH, INC. holdings—Value Reports,
24 SEC EDGAR. The yellow highlighted box shows the periods where no acquisition notices and no-
25 fraud certifications were filed. In short, Sands Capital acquired over \$200 million in Athenahealth
26 stock without regulatory oversight. S.E.C. Chairman Mary L. Schapiro had financial holdings in
27 funds invested in Athenahealth, e.g., Vanguard Extended Market (VEXMX). On May 14, 2010,
28

1 506,000 shares of Athenahealth appeared out of thin air on the Sands Capital Management, LLC
2 quarterly report. More and more stock just started appearing each quarter, all without acquisition
3 notices.

4 Then on May 14, 2012, hundreds of millions more shares appeared out of thin air—214
5 million more. Just a few weeks earlier, President Obama had appointed Todd Y. Park as U.S. chief
6 technology officer. Park had already deeply embedded Athenahealth’s software code into the
7 bowels of HealthCare.gov. In fact, no notices of acquisition were filed for Athenahealth until Feb.
8 2013.
9

10 11 **Why is this S.E.C. irregularity significant?**

12
13 The public has an interest in insuring that government vendors and officials are trustworthy.
14 HealthCare.gov is making false “open source” intellectual property claims, but since
15 HealthCare.gov is not a transparent development, no public scrutiny is possible.
16

17 The federal confiscation of private properties continues unabated. The agenda is very
18 evidently being railroaded.

19 In addition, the involvement of the Chinese government in U.S. infrastructure raises critical
20 national security questions. Tellingly, Parks’ ethics disclosure is missing from the U.S. Office of
21 Government Ethics website. By contrast, even Hillary R. Clinton’s is there. Parks’ close
22 relationships with associates of Athenahealth, Castlight Health, Baidu-China and Sands Capital
23 Management, LLC show that any decision he has made involving these players benefits him
24 personally.
25

26 **Robert Kocher**, MD, Director, Castlight Health, founded by U.S. CTO, Todd Y. Park;
27 former member, National Economic Council; special adviser to Barack Obama on Health Policy
28 (chief architect of Obamacare). Hindsight being 20-20, it should be noted that Robert Kocher, MD,

1 President Obama’s chief healthcare policy adviser on Obamacare, had matriculated by 2011 to: (1)
2 Castlight Health as director along with Ann H. Lamont, Todd Y. Parks’ other company, (2) Park’s
3 venture capitalist, Venrock, and (3) McKinsey & Co. and the Brookings Institution, who are both
4 *** FACEBOOK ***’s COO, Sheryl K. Sandberg’s former clients.

5 **Lawrence ”Larry” Summers.** Director, Square; Adviser, Andreessen-Horowitz; mentor to
6 *** FACEBOOK ***’s Sheryl K. Sandberg, Russian oligarchs Yuri Milner and Alisher Usmanov;
7 former director, Barack Obama’s National Economic Council (2008 bailout); believed to be one of
8 the prime movers behind the *** FACEBOOK *** cartel. Kocher’s other boss at the White House,
9 National Economic Council chairman Lawrence “Larry” Summers, also works for the Brookings
10 Institution. In short, Kocher’s post-administration job hunt appears to have been political revolving
11 door payback. Summers is Sheryl Sandberg’s insider commander while she works at ***
12 FACEBOOK ***.

13
14 In addition, the list of funds pouring cash into Athenahealth and Castlight Health is a clone
15 of *** FACEBOOK ***’s and Baidu’s lists. Blackrock, Morgan Stanley, T. Rowe Price, Fidelity,
16 Vanguard, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, etc. The evidence is clear. These funds are coordinating
17 these events while the U.S. Congress and American people are sidelined.

18
19 It appears time for Congress to take control, pass legislation to return confiscated properties,
20 impeach and replace many corrupted judges, change the legal discipline procedures by putting lay
21 people in charge, put wrongdoers in jail, establish a Special Prosecutor, and call a Constitutional
22 Convention to change the elements of our system that let this happen.

23
24 Our system of government appears to have been badly damaged by unscrupulous people,
25 mostly lawyers, who no longer respect our laws, and clearly do not intend to follow them.

26
27 RELATED:

28 An Obama-era Patent and Trademark Office director and group of former and current

1 tribunal judges are being accused of stacking the intellectual property system against inventors,
2 according to a lawsuit provided to Bloomberg Law.

3 The lawsuit marks the latest court action over the agency’s Patent Trial and Appeal Board, a
4 tribunal favored by generic drugmakers, major technology companies, and others for trying to
5 invalidate patents they claim are obstacles for releasing their own products.

6
7 Computer engineer Martin David Hoyle, owner of B.E. Technology LLC, alleged that patent
8 office behavior favoring Alphabet Inc.'s Google in challenges to his advertising technology patents
9 violated his constitutional due process rights.

10 Core to the dispute are two patents covering user data collection processes for targeted
11 advertising and a patent fight with Google. Former PTO Director Michelle Lee was head of patents
12 and patent strategy at Google before being nominated to lead the agency.

13
14 Hoyle said the PTAB proceedings were “tainted by various improprieties and underhanded
15 tactics, designed to stack the deck against them and in favor of their far more powerful opponents.”

16
17 “The system had been rigged all along,” Hoyle said in the complaint filed Monday in the
18 U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. The suit is against Lee and other agency
19 officers over allegedly “unconstitutional actions.”

20 The PTAB has been subject to several legal challenges in recent years.

21
22 Daiichi Sankyo Inc. and AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP last week asked a federal district
23 court in Virginia to throw out PTAB rules they argue make it harder to secure challenges to rival
24 drug patents.

25 Big tech has taken swings at the patent office as well. Apple Inc. and Alphabet Inc.’s Google
26 [teamed up](#) to push the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California to tear down a
27 PTAB rule they also found problematic to securing tribunal challenges.
28

1 The PTAB has also faced criticism for often favoring corporations over smaller inventors,
2 particularly under the leadership of Lee, who had been nominated to the office by former President
3 Barack Obama.

4
5 The PTAB largely shed its reputation as a “patent death squad” under the Trump
6 administration, whose PTO director Andrei Iancu took steps many say made it easier to get a patent
7 yet more difficult to challenge it.

8 The Biden administration has yet to name a PTO director. The pharmaceutical industry is
9 closely watching the nomination, as policy watchers say the president’s choice will have a
10 [significant impact](#) on lowering drug prices.

11
12 Former PTAB chief judge James Smith—a defendant in Hoyle’s lawsuit—was previously
13 rumored by agency watchers to be a possible PTO director under President Joe Biden.

14 PTAB judges Kalyan Deshpande, Lynne Pettigrew, and Sally Medley were also named as
15 defendants in the lawsuit.

16
17 Silicon Valley Watcher – at the intersection of technology and media: A Top Story..

18 ...the Attack Victims had strengthened and that it was less than a \$20m settlement paid by

19 Lucasfilm, Intuit, and Pixar who were also part of the collusion. The National Venture Capital

20 Association (NVCA) and...

21 siliconvalleywatcher.com/mt/archives/top_stories/

22 National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) collusion

23
24
25 AFI researchers have already proven NVCA connections with James W. Breyer, Accel
26 Partners, LLP, *** FACEBOOK ***’s first chairman and largest shareholder, among six of the ten
27 top mutual fund investors in the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO, namely (1) Goldman Sachs, (3)
28 Fidelity, (4) T.Rowe Price, (5) Morgan Stanley, (6) Blackrock and (9) Vanguard. Sands Capital’s

1 association with Todd Y. Park ties Sands Capital to the NVCA as well through Castlight and
2 Athenahealth director, Ann H. Lamont. This now proves that at least seven out of the ten top
3 institutional investors in *** FACEBOOK *** were colluding with James W. Breyer to steal
4 Leader Technologies' social networking invention. The Baidu association shows that the collusion
5 also incorporates Breyer's designs for China. Sands Capital Management, LLC BAIDU, INC.
6 holdings, SEC EDGAR. Yellow highlighted rows show reporting periods in which no notices of
7 acquisition were filed by compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock. These notices are important
8 filings for fraud watchdogs.
9

10
11 **Sands Capital Management**, LLC BAIDU, INC. holdings, SEC EDGAR. The yellow
12 highlighted box shows that no acquisition notices and no-fraud certifications were filed. In short,
13 Sands Capital acquired over \$2 billion in Baidu stock without regulatory oversight. These holdings
14 commenced concurrent to the appointment of Todd Y. Park to U.S. CTO on Mar. 9, 2012, after
15 Park had led the development of HealthCare.gov at Health and Human Services, including the
16 embedding of his Athenahealth and Castlight Health software in the HHS infrastructure.
17

18
19 **Jim Breyer** – James W. “Jim” Breyer (born 1961) is an American venture capitalist,
20 founder and CEO of Breyer Capital, an investment and venture philanthropy firm, and a partner at
21 Accel Partners, a venture capital firm. He helped create spy firm and dirty tricks group: IN-Q-Tel -
22 en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jim_Breyer
23

24
25 RELATED:

26
27 Americans For Innovation: WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO
28

1 WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. *** FACEBOOK *** ... Collusion
2 (Allegations) Trade Secrets Theft; Market Manipulation ... James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP;
3 *** FACEBOOK *** director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; ...

4
5 James Breyer profiles | LinkedIn

6 View the profiles of professionals named James Breyer on LinkedIn. There are 13 professionals
7 named James Breyer, who use LinkedIn to exchange information, ideas, and opportunities.

8
9 [linkedin.com/pub/dir/James/Breyer](https://www.linkedin.com/pub/dir/James/Breyer)

10
11 The First: Jim Breyer is speaking at Collision 2015 | COLLISION

12 The First: Jim Breyer is speaking at Collision 2015 Posted by: Hugh Gallagher – Posted at: 4:45 pm
13 on December 4, 2014 Category: News

14 collisionconf.com/news/jim-breyer-collision

15
16
17 The next Sands Capital holding to appear out of nowhere is Baidu, Inc. Closely aligned with
18 China's Communist government, Baidu is sometimes called "the Chinese *** FACEBOOK ***."
19 *** FACEBOOK *** is rumored to have partnered with Baidu. Baidu notoriously violates human
20 and intellectual property rights. This alliance was concurrent with James W. Breyer's movement of
21 tens of billions of venture capital funds out of the United States and into the control of his reclusive
22 father, John P. Breyer, chairman, IDG-Accel-China.

23
24
25 Not only did Sands Capital fail to file a notice of acquisition, but their quarterly report on
26 Aug. 14, 2013 reveals a whopping 12,539% jump in holdings. That is an unregulated \$867 million
27 change in value. To our knowledge, neither the market nor regulators even noticed. This destroys
28 the basic principle of transparency.

1
2 These risks certainly deserve serious investigation before permitting these people to get
3 access to America’s healthcare and data infrastructure. As Eric Snowden proved, it doesn’t take
4 much to copy millions of files into the hands of one’s adversaries.
5

6 Most notable about the sudden appearance of the Baidu Inc. holding is that it occurs just as
7 Athenahealth’s founder, Todd Y. Park, is moving from his position as the chief architect of
8 HealthCare.gov at HHS to Chief Technology Officer for the United States by President Obama, on
9 Mar. 9, 2012. And, it occurred at the same time as Sands Capital’s 214 million unregulated share
10 acquisition.
11

12 On Mar. 29, 2012, just 20 days after Pres. Obama’s appointment of Park, Baidu filed a Form 20-F,
13 which is a financial disclosure equivalent to an S-1 public stock prospectus. The timing is six weeks
14 before the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO.
15

16
17 Baidu discloses that its three principal shareholders are:
18 **Baillie Gifford** and **T. Rowe Price** were #2 and #3 behind Goldman Sachs in the *** FACEBOOK
19 *** IPO just six weeks later.
20

21 **Robin “Handsome Reward” Yangong Li** was installed as CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004, the
22 very same month that Mark Zuckerberg claims to have built *** FACEBOOK *** “in one to two
23 weeks” Leader Technologies said it took them \$10M and 145,000 man-hours to invent social
24 networking. They finished debugging a critical module on Oct. 28, 2003, the same night Zuckerberg
25 hacked the House sites at Harvard. Evidently, Baidu’s Robin Yanhong Li was self-conscious about
26 his newfound wealth, hence the Freudian name he gave for his stock holding—Handsome Reward.
27 Who was doing the rewarding? The evidence is overwhelming. It is James W. Breyer and the ***
28

1 FACEBOOK *** cartel who made Robin Li their front boy in China, just like they made Mark
2 Zuckerberg their front boy in the U.S.

3
4 The world cannot hope to advance when its core infrastructures are founded on these Big
5 Lies. Any engineer worth his salt knows that a good building cannot be built upon a corrupt
6 foundation. This is both a law of physics, and a Law of God.

7
8
9 Baidu and *** FACEBOOK *** CEOs started the same month—Jan. 2004. Robin Y. Li,
10 CEO, Baidu, Inc.; appointed Jan. 2004, the same month James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP,
11 picked Mark Zuckerberg to start *** FACEBOOK *** with stolen code from Columbus innovator
12 Leader Technologies. Photo: RudeButGood.
13 Robin Y. Li became CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004. Coincidentally, that is the very same month Mark
14 Zuckerberg claims he started *** FACEBOOK *** (“in one to two weeks”) and launched it on Feb.
15 4, 2004. The name of his British Virgin Islands hide away for his Baidu holdings probably says it
16 all—Handsome Reward.

17
18
19 The common denominator between the Chinese and American Facebooks is James W.
20 Breyer. At that time was chairman of the National Venture Capital Association, managing partner
21 of Accel Partners LLP, soon to be if not already largest *** FACEBOOK *** shareholder, and
22 fellow client of Fenwick & West LLP with Columbus innovator Leader Technologies, Inc.—the
23 proven inventor of social networking. Robin Li’s handsome reward is his willingness to be Breyer’s
24 Chinese front face.

25
26
27 Sands Capital appears to have been worried about the appearance of impropriety? Had they
28 disclosed Baidu in a timely way, eyebrows would have been raised about possible Chinese

1 involvement in the Obama cabinet, as well as in American healthcare and data infrastructure.

2 Something is clearly amiss, otherwise, why would the Baidu nondisclosure be such an outlier in
3 Sands Capital Management, LLC’s SEC reporting?
4

5 American securities watchdogs were busy chewing on bones Breyer threw their way

6
7 Administration and Judicial Watchdogs were busy chewing on their *** FACEBOOK ***
8 cartel bones. The United States top law enforcement officers and regulators, namely Eric H. Holder,
9 Mary L. Schapiro, Rebecca M. Blank and David J. Kappos were silent during Sands Capital’s
10 misconduct. They were busying chewing on the bones that the *** FACEBOOK *** cartel had
11 already thrown them. The current Commerce Secretary, Penny S. Pritzker, continues the deafening
12 silence. Graphic: Clker.com.
13

14
15 But lest we wonder where our U.S. securities regulators were during this shell game, the ***
16 FACEBOOK *** cartel had that covered too. They had already ensured for S.E.C. Chair Mary L.
17 Schapiro, Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank, Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker,
18 Patent Office Director David J. Kappos, Attorney General Eric H. Holder and Chief Justice John G.
19 Roberts, Jr. were well cared for.
20

21
22 Among the five Obama administration senior officials alone, they hold at least 177 ***
23 FACEBOOK *** “dark pools” funds. In fact, no one in the Obama administration or judiciary had
24 more *** FACEBOOK *** cartel dark pool funds than Chairman Schapiro and Secretary Blank.
25 See two previous posts. These dogs won’t hunt. The’re too well fed.
26
27
28

1 The next Sands Capital holding to appear out of thin air is *** FACEBOOK ***, Inc.
2 Again, they did not file a Form SC 13G acquisition notice in their May 14, 2012 reporting, which is
3 just four days before the May 18, 2012 *** FACEBOOK *** IPO. We're taking bets that Sands
4 Capital will blame it on the NASDAQ "glitch." The purpose of the glitch appears to us to be a
5 smoke screen for these sorts of shady activities.

6 Then, without filing the stock acquisition notice Form SC 13G subsequently, like they did on all
7 their other stock purchases (except Athenahealth and Baidu), on Aug. 13, 2012 they simply include
8 their *** FACEBOOK *** holding of 11.6 million shares valued at \$362 million on their quarterly
9 report.
10

11 Why such blatant disregard for SEC disclosure rules? Rules that Sands Capital appears to
12 follow otherwise? Because *** FACEBOOK *** is a covert government profiteering and election
13 manipulation scam!

14 AFI researchers have lived with this cartel conduct for years now, and they believe Sands
15 Capital was determined to get in on the HealthCare.gov "Datapalooza" that Todd Y. Park would
16 bring them via Athenahealth. Datapalooza is the actual name Mr. Park gave to his dubious "open
17 government" giveaways of healthcare data while CTO at HHS.
18

19
20 AFI researchers believe it is likely that Sands Capital kept the Baidu transactions below the
21 radar screen in order to avoid awkward questions about Park's role in Baidu, Athenahealth and ***
22 FACEBOOK *** financings and business activity, especially surrounding Obamacare and
23 HealthCare.gov.
24

25 Notices of stock acquisitions are part of America's securities fraud watchdog infrastructure.
26

27 Readers should know that independent stock monitoring analysts like Morningstar use
28 automated tools that send alerts/notices when companies file notice of new acquisitions. No such

1 alerts occurred for Athenahealth, *** FACEBOOK *** or Baidu because the notices were never
2 filed.

3
4 When a fund compliance officer signs an S.E.C. filing, he or she is signing an affidavit that
5 is enforceable as evidence in court. If that person lies or in some other way willfully misrepresents
6 the facts, it is the same as lying under oath in a courtroom. The problem for Sands Capital's Robert
7 C. Hancock is that intentional withholding of certifications, with the intent to deceive the public, is
8 illegal since the omission misleads the public who must then rely on inaccurate information.
9

10
11 Sands Capital's compliance officer Robert C. Hancock avoided liability by not signing

12
13 Sands Capital's chief compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock, may have been trying to avoid
14 personal liability by not signing what would otherwise be fraudulent representations of truthfulness.
15 Corporate officers like Hancock can be personally liable if they sign knowingly false certifications
16 under oath. It's the same thing as knowingly making a false statement in court.
17

18
19 Here's the SC 13G acquisition certification Hancock avoided signing for initial
20 Athenahealth, Baidu and *** FACEBOOK *** stock disclosures:

21
22 "Item 10. Certification: By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and
23 belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business
24 and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or
25 influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in
26 connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.
27
28

1 SIGNATURE: After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify
2 that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.”

3
4 Here’s an example of a later Athenahealth SC 13g acquisition certification that Hancock did
5 sign on Feb.. 13, 2013, so he knows what to do, he just didn’t do it when Athenahealth stock was
6 first acquired. Hancock was probably choking on the clause in red above: “... were not acquired and
7 are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer
8 of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any
9 transaction having that purpose or effect.”
10

11
12 Hancock may have refused to sign the Athenahealth, Baidu and *** FACEBOOK ***
13 certifications because he knew that:

14 1. Athenahealth was an inside job among selected funds, companies and individuals to
15 control certain markets and global events;

16
17 2. Baidu, like *** FACEBOOK ***, was a fabrication of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners
18 LLP, and *** FACEBOOK ***’s largest shareholder; therefore, everything about these stock
19 maneuvers was designed to manipulate the cartel’s global agenda, which included the creation of a
20 Chinese repository, potentially for exported U.S. healthcare and other data; and

21 3. *** FACEBOOK *** & Baidu were both running on software property stolen from Columbus
22 innovator, Leader Technologies; therefore, these offerings were used to generate funds for the
23 express purpose of misappropriation of patents, copyrights and trade secrets that would cause and
24 effect the manipulation of the U.S. healthcare sector, among others.
25
26
27
28

1 Presumably, Hancock would want to stay out of jail by refusing to put his signature on a
2 form where he clearly knew there was an intent to influence business and political events. Tellingly,
3 he signed all of his other certifications during this period.
4

5 What U.S. judge or regulator is complaining? Who would complain about Sands Capital’s
6 failure to file the S.E.C. Form SC 13G notices of acquisition of *** FACEBOOK ***, Baidu and
7 Athenahealth stock?
8

9
10 **Not S.E.C Chairman Mary L. Schapiro**—she held a boatload of “dark pool” Fidelity, Vanguard,
11 AllianceBern, TIAA-CREF and T. Rowe Price funds.

12 •

13 **Not Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank**—she held TIAA-CREF, Vanguard and Fidelity
14 funds.
15

16 •

17 **Not Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker**—she holds up to \$23.4 million Morgan Stanley,
18 JPMorgan and Goldman Sachs *** FACEBOOK *** dark pools.

19 •

20 **Not Attorney General Eric H. Holder**—he held T. Rowe Price and Fidelity funds. In fact, Holder
21 held Fidelity Contrafund, the largest single *** FACEBOOK *** mutual fund stock holder, valued
22 at \$413 million.
23

24 Photos: Holder—Huffington Post; Pritzker—White House; Blank—U.S. London Embassy; Schapiro—
25 NY Times.
26

27 Who in the judiciary would complain?
28

1 **Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.**—he held Microsoft, T.
2 Rowe Price, Fidelity, Janus, Vanguard and Blackrock funds, including Fidelity Contrafund.

3 •

4 **Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Federal Circuit Judges Alan D. Lourie, Kimberly A.**
5 **Moore and Evan J. Wallach**—they held Fidelity, Vanguard and T. Rowe Price funds, including
6 Fidelity Contrafund.

7 •

8
9 **Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** District Court Judge Leonard P. Stark**—he held
10 Vanguard and Fidelity funds.

11 •

12 **Not Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** Patent Office Director David J. Kappos**—he held over a
13 million dollars of Vanguard funds.

14
15
16
17 On Nov. 19, 2008, Leader Technologies filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ***
18 FACEBOOK ***. Leader proved that *** FACEBOOK *** stole the engine that runs ***
19 FACEBOOK ***, yet were ruled against anyway by the biased judges mentioned above, based on
20 fabricated evidence.

21
22 In May 2012, *** FACEBOOK *** IPO investors began filing class action lawsuits,
23 claiming they had been defrauded and damaged by the NASDAQ “glitch.”
24

25
26 Complaints have been filed to inspectors general seeking justice.

27
28 Others have filed complaints too, like Paul Ceglia and Rembrandt Social Media.

1
2 America's regulatory mechanisms are supposed to help prevent waste, fraud and abuse, not
3 aid and abet it. The latter is called state-sponsored terrorism and totalitarianism.

4
5 In the case of the HealthCare.gov debacle, and the theft of Leader Technologies' social
6 networking invention, the failure of the S.E.C. to police Sands Capital Management LLC enabled
7 them to press their hidden agenda using fraudulent funds.

8
9
10 That agenda has led to a disastrous HealthCare.gov architecture, corrupted by Athenahealth
11 conflicts of interest, using Leader Technologies' software which has become a mess of hacked
12 pieces and parts. The agenda also threatens America's healthcare data security since Sands Capital
13 took its *** FACEBOOK *** IPO winnings and bought \$2.2 billion in the Baidu Inc. sometime
14 between Feb-Aug 2013.

15
16
17 **Robert C. Hancock**, Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC. Misled
18 the American public by failing to file stock acquisition reports in a timely manner for ***
19 FACEBOOK *** , Baidu and Athenahealth. These failings concealed substantial Chinese influences
20 regarding Obamacare and American data infrastructure. Photo: Sands Capital.

21
22
23 **Jonathan Goodman**, Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; former partner,
24 Gibson Dunn LLP (*** FACEBOOK ***'s Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** law firm, and also
25 counsel to the Federal Circuit and Federal Circuit Bar Association).

26
27 A solid democratic house cannot be built upon a foundation of regulatory corruption.
28

1 Questions for Sands Capital’s compliance officer Robert C. Hancock would be why he did
2 not submit the notices of new stock acquisition forms with his signed certifications for
3 Athenahealth, Baidu and *** FACEBOOK ***. If he had done this, perhaps over six million
4 Americans would not be struggling to replace their cancelled healthcare plans because the program
5 would never have begun.

6
7
8 **Thomas G. Hungar**, Gibson Dunn LLP. Failed to disclose conflicts of interest in *Leader v.*
9 **** FACEBOOK ****; counsel to the Federal Circuit and Microsoft (one of **** FACEBOOK ****’s
10 largest stockholders); Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. is a personal mentor. Gibson Dunn LLP also
11 represents the U.S. in *U.S. v. Paul Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg)* where U.S. attorney Preet Bharara
12 was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn—an obvious conflict.

13
14 Hancock’s ethics counsel is none other than another former Gibson Dunn LLP attorney,
15 **Jonathan Goodman**. Goodman was at Gibson Dunn LLP with Thomas G. Hungar during the
16 *Leader v. *** FACEBOOK **** case. Goodman’s other former firm, Cravath, Swaine & Moore
17 LLP, just received David J. Kappos, former director of the U.S. Patent Office, as a new partner.
18 Kappos only arrived after he had ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of *Leader*
19 *Technologies’* patent. Kappos had purchased more than a million dollars of Vanguard “dark pool”
20 funds, all on Oct. 27, 2009, within weeks of his appointment by President Obama.

21
22
23
24 Robert C. Hancock’s ethical lapses have damaged millions of Americans. Apparently,
25 Hancock was advised by Goodman/Gibson Dunn LLP that it was ethically acceptable not to file the
26 Athenahealth, Baidu and **** FACEBOOK **** stock acquisition notices. Mr. Goodman’s former
27 firm, Gibson Dunn LLP, swirls at the center of everything that has gone horribly wrong with this
28 Obama administration, including the *Leader v. *** FACEBOOK **** judicial corruption scandal.

1
2 Had Hancock filed in a timely manner, questions about Todd Y. Park’s Athenahealth
3 duplicity could have been raised. Athenahealth’s close associations with Chinese interests could
4 have been scrutinized. Sands Capital’s role in the *** FACEBOOK *** pump and dump IPO
5 scheme would have become visible. Hancock’s failure to file and certify did not allow regulatory
6 mechanisms to work.
7
8
9

10 **Questions for The President:**
11

12 Given the suspicious timing of your appointment of Todd Y. Park to oversee America’s healthcare
13 and digital infrastructure:

- 14 1. How much do you know about SANDS CAPITAL’S collusion with the Chinese?
- 15 2. What are you going to do about it?
- 16 3. What assurances can you give us that the tech people you have hand picked are worthy of
17 America’s trust?
- 18 4. Will the new systems really protect Americans’ privacy, property and security?
- 19 5. Did you know that your Securities Chair held stock in *** FACEBOOK *** and Baidu before
20 the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO?
- 21 6. Why didn’t your personal White House counsels from Perkins Coie LLP, namely Robert F.
22 Bauer and Anita B. Dunn, husband and wife respectively, submit ethics pledges and financial
23 disclosures? Did you know that *** FACEBOOK *** was one of their clients?
- 24 7. Where are Todd Y. Park’s financial disclosures and written ethics pledges?
- 25 8. Did you know that a Florida judge was ordered to recuse himself from a case where he was
26 *** FACEBOOK *** Friends with one of the litigating attorneys? What do your 50 million “likes”
27
28

1 say about your appointment of two of the four judges in the Leader v. *** FACEBOOK *** case,
2 not even counting all their financial holdings in *** FACEBOOK ***, or the Patent Office’s
3 “likes”?

4
5 Summary of ethical standards to which the persons above swore solemn public oaths to
6 uphold Judges— Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, Canon 2:

7
8
9 “A judge should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities.”

10 Judges—U.S. Courts.gov, Guide to Judiciary Policy, Ethics and Judicial Conduct, p. 20-2:

11 “Canon 3C(3)(c) provides that a financial interest ‘means ownership of a legal or equitable interest,
12 however small,’ with certain exceptions not applicable to this situation. Ownership of even one
13 share of stock by the judge’s spouse would require disqualification.” Many types of mutual fund
14 holdings are not exempt from this policy (p. 106-1 thru 4).

15
16 “a judge who chooses to invest in such mutual funds should evaluate whether his or her ‘interest’ in
17 the fund might be affected substantially by the outcome of a particular case, which would require
18 recusal under Canon 3C(1)(c)” (p. 106-3). [If the largest tech IPO in American history—***
19 FACEBOOK ***—does not apply, then this policy is meaningless sophistry.]

20 Executive Branch Employees—Standards of ethical conduct for employees of the executive branch
21 5 C.F.R. §2635.501:

22 “avoid an appearance of loss of impartiality in the performance of his official duties”

23
24 Attorneys—Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Preamble [6]:

25 “a lawyer should further the public’s understanding of and confidence in the rule of law and the
26 justice system because legal institutions in a constitutional democracy depend on popular
27 participation and support to maintain their authority.”

28

1 Directors—Business Judgment Rule, Parnes v. Bally Entertainment Corp., at 1246:

2 “The business judgment rule ‘is a presumption that in making a business decision the directors of a
3 corporation acted on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken
4 was in the best interests of the company [and was not based on self-dealing].”

5
6 - Check out this FLORIDA RULING. It says a judge must disqualify himself for *** FACEBOOK
7 ***-friending one of the attorneys in a case before him. This is kid’s play compared to the abuse
8 Leader Technologies has received...

9
10 >>>Patent Office’s *** FACEBOOK *** site to 10,000+ employees,put up before the trial and
11 reexamination.<<<<

12 >>>Barack Obama’s tens of millions of likes, probably all of the *** FACEBOOK ***
13 attorneys.<<<<

14 >>>Barack Obama’s appointment of two of the four judges in LEADER V. *** FACEBOOK
15 ***.<<<<

16
17 >>>HealthCare.gov claiming Leader’s invention is open source.<<<<

18 <http://m.washingtonpost.com/news/volokh-conspiracy/wp/2014/01/30/the-law-of-friending/>

19
20 - The 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused by an out of control industry and at the
21 wheel was chief economic advisor Larry Summers, Summers who played a MAJOR role in the
22 Deregulation of Derivatives, And became PRESIDENT of HARVARD in 2001 And New that ***
23 FACEBOOK *** was stolen, and new of the THEFT OF Leader Technologies’ when the
24 Winklevoss made a complaint to lawrence larry summers PRESIDENT of HARVARD they where
25 tolled to piss of summers wonted THE *** FACEBOOK *** CLUB for him self but summers
26 needed that suck up little shit mark zuckerberg to do it, But the IDEA *** FACEBOOK *** was
27
28

1 not the Winklevoss nor was it Mark Zuckerberg IDEA, WAYNE CHANG KNOWS HOW ***
2 FACEBOOK *** WAS STOLEN
3 Wayne Chang filed a lawsuit against the Winklevoss brothers knowing that *** FACEBOOK ***
4 was stolen, Chang said that the Winklevoss brothers merged their company, called ConnectU, with
5 Chang's web development company to make a new company: The Winklevoss Chang Group
6 (WCG). Chang complained that the Winklevosses "expressly agreed that the litigation between
7 ConnectU and *** FACEBOOK *** was an asset of ConnectU and an asset of WCG," according to
8 BusinessInsider. Chang never got any money when the Winklevosses received \$65 million as part
9 of the settlement but the \$65 million was just a fuck of from mark zuckerberg Larry Summers and
10 James W. Breyer. Even the "like" button was stolen from the family of the late Dutch inventor,
11 Johannes Van Der Meer
12

13
14
15 - Chief economic advisor Larry Summers Henry Paulson of Goldman Sachs and Geithner to pay
16 Goldman Sachs 100 cents on the dollar Paulson and Bernanke ask congress for \$700 billion to bail
17 out the banks. BUT NO BAILOUT FOR LEHMAN BROTHERS GONE AND THE ORDERS
18 CAME FROM GOLDMAN SACHS TO LARRY SUMMERS NOT TO BAIL THEM OUT?? just
19 so Goldman Sachs can be number ONE? IN 1999, at the urging of Summers and Rubin congress
20 passed the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and cleared the way for future mergers, in 1998 someone tried
21 to regulate them it was Brooksley Born but Larry Summers kill this, Summers had 13 bankers in his
22 office and directing her to stop Greenspan Rubin and SEC chairman Arthur Levitt issued a joint
23 statement condemning Born. The securities and exchange commission agency conducted no major
24 investigation in to the bank during the bubble and 146 people were gutted from the securities
25 enforcement division?
26
27
28

1 When David contacted securities and exchange commission about LEHMAN BROTHERS
2 GOLDMAN SACHS AND LARRY SUMMERS AND *** FACEBOOK *** there were only four
3 people WORKING THERE and then down to just ONE?? and his job was to turn the lights out
4 OBAMA picked Mary Schapiro the former CEO of FINRA to run the securities and exchange
5 commission who held stock in both *** FACEBOOK *** and Baidu (China) before the ***
6 FACEBOOK *** IPO via her investment in T. Rowe Price the securities and exchange commission
7 agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of improper “dark pools” activity, (PAY
8 OF)
9

10
11 - Larry Summers + President Barack Obama picked Mary Schapiro the former CEO of FINRA to
12 run the securities and exchange commission who held stock in both *** FACEBOOK *** and
13 Baidu (China) before the *** FACEBOOK *** IPO via her investment in T. Rowe Price the
14 securities and exchange commission agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of
15 improper “dark pools” activity, (PAY OF)
16

17 Larry Summers + President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in
18 Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed *** FACEBOOK *** to get
19 away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single
20 piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million
21 “likes” on *** FACEBOOK ***)
22

23 Larry Summers + President Barack Obama new found friends, *** FACEBOOK *** cartel had it
24 all covered, They had it all already S.E.C. Chair Mary L. Schapiro, Commerce Secretary #1
25 Rebecca M. Blank, Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker, Patent Office Director David J.
26 Kappos, Attorney General Eric H. Holder and Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. were well cared
27 for.
28

1 Larry Summers + President Barack Obama + Baidu(China) All had back door keys to the NSA then
2 add your healthcare (Obamacare), financial (Wall Street), telephone and online data (NSA) to your
3 Dark Profile, and you have the ultimate Big Brother file on every person on the planet and CHINA
4 HAD THE BACK DOOR KEYS TO THE NSA???? with *** FACEBOOK *** and their repeated
5 breaches of security and their now ubiquitous intrusions on people's privacy?

6 Larry Summers + *** FACEBOOK *** The 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused
7 by an out of control industry and at the wheel was chief economic advisor Larry Summers,
8 Summers who played a MAJOR role in the Deregulation of Derivatives, And became PRESIDENT
9 of HARVARD in 2001 And New that *** FACEBOOK *** was stolen, and new of the THEFT OF
10 Leader Technologies' when the Winklevoss made a complaint to Lawrence Larry Summers
11 PRESIDENT of HARVARD they were tolled to piss of Summers wanted THE *** FACEBOOK
12 *** CLUB for him self but Summers needed that suck up little shit Mark Zuckerberg to do it, The
13 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused by LARRY SUMMERS?

14
15
16
17 - Larry Summers + election manipulation on FB Since that data was not equally available to the
18 opposition, use of this data about you amounts to election manipulation. The fact that so many
19 foreigners are associated with *** FACEBOOK *** amounts to foreign influence on U.S. elections,
20 which is illegal. This undue influence hurts every American. Ditto for the sovereign elections in
21 Germany, France or any other country, like fucking Germany ASS-HOLE The *** FACEBOOK
22 *** Club run by Larry Summers used the promise of wild *** FACEBOOK *** IPO returns as the
23 currency for their plans to install Barack Obama as President and press their global data gathering
24 agenda

25
26 Larry Summers + FB + Robin Y. Li Robin Y. Li became CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004.

27 Coincidentally, that is the very same month Mark Zuckerberg claims he started *** FACEBOOK
28 *** (“in one to two weeks”) and launched it on Feb. 4, 2004. The name of his British Virgin Islands

1 hide away for his Baidu holdings probably says it all—Handsome Reward. Sands Capital appears to
2 have been worried about the appearance of impropriety? Had they disclosed Baidu in a timely way,
3 eyebrows would have been raised about possible Chinese involvement in the Obama cabinet, as
4 well as in American healthcare and data infrastructure. Something is clearly amiss, Robin Y. Li
5 NEW THAT FB WAS STOLEN and that it was Larry Summers who was running ***

6 FACEBOOK *** ow shit?

7
8 Larry Summers + friends chief economic advisor Larry Summers Henry Paulson of Goldman Sachs
9 and Geithner to pay Goldman Sachs 100 cents on the dollar Paulson and Bernanke ask congress for
10 \$700 billion to bail out the banks. BUT NO BAILOUT FOR LEHMAN BROTHERS GONE AND
11 THE ORDERS CAME FROM GOLDMAN SACHS TO LARRY SUMMERS NOT TO BAIL
12 THEM OUT?? just so Goldman Sachs can be number ONE? Paulson was a dick who did not know
13 how to work out shit if someone stuck a spade up his ass

14 THIS IS IT VERY ONE GET ON TWITTER

15
16
17 - President Obama claimed last night that there was “not even a smidgen of corruption” in the IRS
18 scandal. Really now Mr. President? How can any self-respecting person make such a claim about a
19 sprawling government agency with 106,000 employees? Such a claim telegraphs the massive
20 corruption that we have proved exists within this administration. An administration where Yes is No
21 and wrong is right. Given that, a No from Obama means a Yes.

22 Here’s an excerpt from the New York Times article:

23
24 Mr. O’Reilly responded that there were “unanswered questions” and asked again if there was
25 corruption in the I.R.S.

26 “There were some boneheaded decisions,” the president said.

27 “But no mass corruption?” Mr. O’Reilly asked.

28 “Not even mass corruption — not even a smidgen of corruption,” Mr. Obama said.

1
2 SOURCE: "Obama Is Tackled by O'Reilly in Pre-Game Interview" by Peter Baker, Feb. 22, 2014,
3 The New York Times [http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/03/us/politics/obama-is-tackled-by-oreilly-](http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/03/us/politics/obama-is-tackled-by-oreilly-before-game.html?_r=0)
4 [before-game.html?_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/03/us/politics/obama-is-tackled-by-oreilly-before-game.html?_r=0)

5
6 - A couple of additional items to inform your congressperson about along with the overwhelming
7 conflicts of interest already presented here:
8

9
10 David Kappos encouraged his employee's, of whom include the judges that work for the
11 USPTO, to use *** FACEBOOK ***. He states on the USPTO website. "I'm confident our ***
12 FACEBOOK *** presence will complement the USPTO Web site as a means of communicating
13 and connecting with the public and our stakeholders in the intellectual property community.
14

15
16 On the other hand, Chief Justice Roberts at the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals Annual
17 Conference in 2011 said that he recommends to the law clerks not to use social media, ***
18 FACEBOOK *** and Twitter, because a person could gain insight by stray comments and that
19 would not be good. Justice Breyer, who has a Twitter and *** FACEBOOK *** account, stated at a
20 House Appropriations Subcommittee, "Judges wear black robes so that they will resist the
21 temptation to publicize themselves," Breyer said. "Because we speak for the law, and that is to be
22 anonymous. So I wouldn't want to have followers on the tweeter or the *** FACEBOOK *** page
23 but for my children, and I can get in touch with them anyway."
24

25 So the question is, If Chief Justice Roberts discourages law clerks from using *** FACEBOOK ***
26 and Justice Breyer is against using it publicly, then why in the world would Kappos open a ***
27 FACEBOOK *** account for the USPTO, and encourage the patent office employees, which
28

1 includes 50-100 patent judges, to use it and then open a Directors reexam at the same time against
2 Leader?

3 His conduct appears suspect and corrupt!

4
5 - Check out this Russian (OK, Ukrainian) risk to HealthCare.org.

6 Belarus link to HealthCare.gov raises concerns over possible cyber attack,

7 <http://fxn.ws/1gJ1auQ>

8
9 The Ukrainian software official, Valery Tsepka told a local radio station in Minsk that U.S.

10 Health & Human Services is “one of our clients” and that “we are helping Obama complete his
11 insurance reform.”

12 HHS was run by Todd Park, the guy with the Chinese connections also. What’s wrong with

13 American programmers for American healthcare. This breach of U.S. sovereignty by this President
14 is just criminal.

15
16 In another related case, the Plaintiff is the District of Columbia (the “District”), by the Office of the

17 Attorney General, who brings an action against Defendant Mark Zuckerberg for violations of the

18 District’s Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code §§ 28-3901, *et seq.* (“CPPA”). In

19 support of its claims, the District states as follows:1

20
21 1 In addition to the following allegations, this District is also in possession of significant evidence
22 that further demonstrates both the propriety of the Court’s exercise of personal jurisdiction over Mr.
23 Zuckerberg as well as his violations of the CPPA. That evidence was obtained through discovery in
24 the District’s litigation against Facebook (now Meta Platforms, Inc.). *District of Columbia v.*
25 *Facebook, Inc.* , 2018 CA 008715 B. In an excess of caution regarding the protective order issued in
26 that action, the District has served discovery requests with this complaint for re-production of that
27 material in this case, among other requests. *See* D.C.
28

1 Super. Ct. R. 26 cmt. (a)(1) (“The Superior Court rules allow parties to begin discovery at the filing
2 of the complaint; this process gives parties greater options for early discovery than those available
3 under the Federal Rules.”). Citations to that additional evidence do not presently appear in this
4 complaint, and the District will amend (either by right or with leave of Court) once it has obtained
5 that information from Defendant or Facebook directly.
6

7
8 **Introduction**

9 1. In under two decades, Facebook, Inc. (now known as Meta Platforms, Inc.) (“Facebook”) has
10 grown from a small online social network to an implacable corporate giant.

11 Facebook offers a variety of products and services, including the well-known Facebook product.

12 Today, Facebook is larger than any single country—with more than 2.9 billion monthly active
13 users, nearly half the global population. To put that in perspective, Facebook has more users than
14 the populations of the United States, China and Brazil combined. And Facebook has become
15 wealthier than over 150 countries worldwide, including Switzerland, Sweden, and the UAE. Not
16 surprisingly, Facebook has seized enormous influence over global affairs. Facebook controls how
17 people communicate with friends and family, conduct business online, what news they read, and
18 even how they communicate with governments and elected officials. Atop it all is Mark
19 Zuckerberg, the unelected leader of a massive digital empire with billions of inhabitants.
20

21 2. But Zuckerberg’s Facebook is far from a disinterested platform for people to communicate, stay
22 in touch with friends, and reconnect with old acquaintances. Instead, Facebook has become a wildly
23 successful and unique business, deriving enormous wealth from acquiring and monetizing the data
24 of those billions of people leading their lives in Facebook’s digital ecosystem. But even that is not
25 enough. Facebook is in a relentless pursuit to expand its reach on humanity and bring an ever-
26 increasing number of people under its influence.
27
28

1 3. To that end, Mark Zuckerberg has been building his version of the Internet where the “default is
2 social.” To him, that means building an Internet where people live their digital lives on Facebook.
3 The goal is to convince people to reveal the most granular details of who they are to Facebook—
4 their religions, their work histories, their likes—so that it can be monetized, and Zuckerberg and his
5 company can continue to grow even wealthier.

6 4. Facebook—at Zuckerberg’s direction—shifted its business model in this way because it
7 recognized that it could be even more profitable if it could harness and sell the ability to dependably
8 influence its users’ behavior to third parties. Facebook therefore encouraged (and, at times, teamed
9 up with) developers and researchers to collect and analyze Facebook user data so that it could better
10 learn how to manipulate its own users’ moods and influence what they purchase and even whether
11 and how they vote.

12 5. Facebook has become among the world’s leading innovators in experimenting on how to keep
13 users engaged—meaning more data and more money for Facebook. But at Facebook’s scale, these
14 experimental decisions reverberate globally.
15

16 6. That is in part because Facebook has realized an ugly truth: its social platform becomes “stickier”
17 (meaning people will stay on it longer and share more data) when it is filled with toxicity. What this
18 means is that the more Zuckerberg’s Facebook stokes divisiveness and polarization, destabilizes
19 democracies, amplifies genocides, and impacts users’ mental health, the more money Facebook and
20 its leaders make.
21

22 7. Given the trillions of dollars at issue, and having no regard for the people it purports to serve,
23 Facebook—at Zuckerberg’s direction—has decided to hide these problems for as long as possible,
24 including intentionally misleading Facebook users as well as the public, the press, and political
25 leaders.
26

27 8. One prime example—and the one that forms the basis for the instant suit—was Facebook’s 2010
28 decision to open up the Facebook Platform to third parties. Again the brainchild of Zuckerberg, this

1 move helped Facebook by persuading outside developers to build eye-catching applications for
2 Facebook—directing even more users, and user data, into the
3 platform. Developers, though, could access the massive trove of user data that Facebook had
4 collected through the “side door” of applications.

5 9. Zuckerberg had always been aware that the success of Facebook hinged on convincing users that
6 their data was private enough, while selling as much access to those users as possible without
7 driving them away. And Zuckerberg was fully aware that users would be concerned by this newly
8 vulnerable position. So Zuckerberg engaged in a decade-long campaign designed to convince users
9 that Facebook cared about and tried to protect users and their data.

10 Behind closed doors however, Zuckerberg insisted that Facebook’s policies be “as simple as we can
11 get away with.”² Given that Facebook’s platform was designed to allow abuse, Zuckerberg’s
12 company largely operated without proper safeguards in place to protect users: policy enforcement
13 was lax, review of app violations was inconsistent or subjective, and the policies themselves were
14 unclear and confusing. But in 2018, the world learned of this sham.

15
16
17 10. In March 2018, whistleblower Christopher Wylie publicly revealed that a company called
18 Cambridge Analytica—a London-based electioneering firm—exfiltrated the personal data of more
19 than 70 million Facebook users in the United States, including more than 340,000 District residents,
20 in order to influence the results of the 2016 United States presidential election. This data trove
21 included Facebook users’ ages, interests, pages they’ve liked, groups they belong to, physical
22 locations, political affiliation, religious affiliation, relationships, and photos, as well as their full
23 names, phone numbers, and email addresses.

24
25 11. In other words, Cambridge Analytica used the Facebook Platform—in a way that Facebook and
26 Zuckerberg encouraged—to influence and manipulate the outcome of a United 2

1 Email from Mark Zuckerberg to Sam Lessin (Nov. 19, 2012, 10:39 a.m.), available at
2 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
3 [sealed-exhibits.pdf](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf).

4
5 States presidential election. The personal data of the more than 70 million U.S. Facebook users that
6 Cambridge Analytica used to manipulate the election accounted for more than half the total votes
7 during the 2016 presidential elections, in an election that was effectively decided by just a few
8 hundred thousand people.

9
10 12. Though the data Cambridge Analytica (and many other companies) used was supposedly private
11 and protected from disclosure by Facebook’s privacy and data policies, Cambridge Analytica knew
12 that it could access this trove of data using Facebook’s existing developer tools, an open secret that
13 was well known to Facebook’s business partners using the platform. Cambridge Analytica also
14 knew that it could leverage Facebook’s lax policy enforcement to continue manipulating the
15 Facebook data it had amassed without fear Facebook would do anything about its operations. All
16 the while, Facebook and Zuckerberg were trying to convince users in their user-facing statements
17 that their data was safe.

18
19 13. The Cambridge Analytica revelations shocked the world, but it was no surprise to Facebook or
20 Zuckerberg. Facebook had both a longstanding relationship with Cambridge Analytica and also
21 actively encouraged companies like Cambridge Analytica to use the Facebook Platform to influence
22 and manipulate consumer behavior.

23
24 14. What is most troubling is that Facebook looked into Cambridge Analytica and determined that it
25 posed a risk to consumer data but chose to bury those concerns rather than stop them, as that could
26 have hurt Facebook’s (and Zuckerberg’s) bottom line. Instead of coming clean, Facebook continued
27 to help Cambridge Analytica win a United States presidential election.

28

1 15. While Facebook and Zuckerberg have, a full three years later, publicly condemned Cambridge
2 Analytica’s data collection, its condemnation, in reality, only demonstrates that what Zuckerberg
3 and Facebook say publicly is part of an intentional plan to mask the devastating consequences of
4 their actions (or inactions).

5 16. Zuckerberg has said time and again that he and Facebook have a responsibility to protect users,
6 and if they can’t, then they “don’t deserve to serve [them].”³

7 17. Accordingly, the District brings this case to ensure that Mark Zuckerberg is held accountable for
8 his role in Facebook violating the District’s consumer protection laws by misrepresenting the
9 protection of user data and their blatant disregard and misuse of sensitive, personal data belonging
10 to District residents.

11 **Jurisdiction**

12 18. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case pursuant to D.C.
13 Code §§ 11-921 and 28-3909.

14 19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to D.C. Code § 13-423(a).

15 **Parties**

16 20. Plaintiff District of Columbia is a municipal corporation empowered to sue and be sued and is
17 the local government for the territory constituting the permanent seat of the federal government.

18 The District brings this case through the Attorney General for the District of Columbia, who is the
19 chief legal officer for the District. The Attorney General is responsible for upholding the public
20 interest and is also specifically authorized to enforce the District’s consumer protection laws,
21 including the CPPA. Mark Zuckerberg, FACEBOOK (Mar. 21, 2018),
22 [https://www.facebook.com/zuck/posts/i-want-to-share-an-update-on-the-cambridge-analytica-
23 situation-including-the-ste/10104712037900071/](https://www.facebook.com/zuck/posts/i-want-to-share-an-update-on-the-cambridge-analytica-situation-including-the-ste/10104712037900071/) (last visited May 20, 2022).

24 21. Defendant Mark Zuckerberg is an individual residing in Palo Alto, California.
25
26
27
28

1 Zuckerberg was, at all times material to this Complaint, Facebook’s co-founder, Chief Executive
2 Officer, and a member of Facebook’s Board of Directors. Zuckerberg maintains his principal place
3 of business at Facebook’s headquarters at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park, California, 94025. Since
4 2012, Zuckerberg has served as Chairman of Facebook’s Board and controls approximately 60% of
5 the voting shares. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others,
6 Zuckerberg was responsible for Facebook’s day-to-day operations, including the product strategy of
7 Facebook, and formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, participated in, or with
8 knowledge, approved of the acts or practices of Facebook, including the acts and practices set forth
9 in this Complaint. Zuckerberg engages in the business of supplying social networking services
10 through the operation of his business, Facebook, which includes the product Facebook and
11 maintains a website, www.facebook.com, and accompanying mobile applications, to consumers in
12 D.C. Zuckerberg has traveled to Washington, D.C. on numerous occasions for matters related to the
13 District’s allegations, including to testify on Facebook’s activities to various agencies and Congress.

14
15 **Facebook’s Collection of Consumer Data**

16
17 22. The Facebook website⁴ allows consumers to build a social network with other Facebook
18 consumers and share information within that network. It is among the world’s most heavily
19 trafficked websites and has over two billion active consumers around the globe.

20 Hundreds of thousands of D.C. residents are among Facebook’s consumers.

21 23. To begin using the Facebook website, a consumer first creates a Facebook account. The
22 consumer can then add other Facebook consumers as “friends” and by accumulating Facebook
23 friends, the consumer builds a social network on the Facebook website.

24 24. As Facebook consumers grow their social networks and interact with friends on the Facebook
25 website, their information and activity are digitally collected, recorded, and maintained by
26 Facebook. Relevant here, this data can be divided into two broad categories: (i) data directly
27
28

1 supplied by consumers, and (ii) data pertaining to consumers' activity on and off the Facebook
2 website.

3 25. First, consumers directly provide Facebook with personal information. To create a Facebook
4 account, a consumer is required to supply Facebook with basic information such as their name,
5 phone number, email address, birthday, and gender. A consumer then has the option to customize
6 their "Facebook Profile" by supplying additional information to Facebook, such as their hometown,
7 educational history, work experience, relationship status, political and religious views, and personal
8 photographs. Facebook's website is designed to encourage consumers to continue supplying
9 information in the form of "Posts," which are shared with that consumer's In this Complaint, the
10 "Facebook website" refers to both (i) www.facebook.com, which is accessed through an Internet
11 browser, and (ii) the Facebook mobile application, which is accessed through a mobile device like a
12 smartphone or tablet. Many of Facebook's features and services available on www.facebook.com
13 are also available through the Facebook mobile application. Posts include, but are not limited to,
14 written statements, photographs and videos, links to websites, and "Check Ins" to geographic
15 locations such as restaurants and bars.
16
17

18 26. Second, Facebook tracks and maintains data pertaining to consumer activity on its website, on
19 other websites on the Internet, and even what they do offline. For example, Facebook records what
20 advertisements are displayed to each consumer, as well as whether the consumer clicked on the
21 advertisement. Facebook also tracks the date and time each consumer logs into their account, as
22 well as the IP address, device, and browser they used to log in. Facebook also operates a companion
23 mobile application called "Facebook Messenger," which allows Facebook consumers to send and
24 receive messages and make phone and video calls. For users of Facebook Messenger, Facebook
25 maintains records of messages sent and received and the date and time of phone and video calls
26 made.
27
28

1 27. Another example of consumer activity data that Facebook collects is a consumer’s “Likes,” one
2 of Facebook’s signature innovations. It allows consumers to click on a
3 “thumbs-up” icon to Like a vast array of online content. Among other things, Facebook consumers
4 can Like “Posts” made by other Facebook consumers, “Pages” maintained by non-individual
5 entities, and content on external websites.

6 28. Facebook’s Like feature incentivizes increased activity on the Facebook website by allowing
7 consumers to reward one another for sharing information—the more Posts a consumer makes, the
8 more Likes they will receive. The Like also serves a broader function because over time, a
9 consumer’s allocation of Likes reveals information about them—the friends they interact with most,
10 the brands that catch their eye, and the issues with which they identify.

11 Facebook records and maintains each and every one of its consumers’ Likes.
12
13
14
15

16 29. Facebook generates much of its revenue by selling advertising space. Facebook relies on its
17 collection of consumer data—and the personal information and preferences derived from each
18 individual’s data—to sell targeted advertising space to marketers. Facebook’s business model
19 primarily relies on using consumer data to provide advertisers the ability to run targeted ads to
20 particular individuals and demographics. In other words, although Facebook supplies its social
21 networking services free of direct monetary charge to consumers, in exchange, consumers provide
22 Facebook with their personal data, which Facebook monetizes through the sale of targeted
23 advertising.
24

25 **The Facebook Platform and Third-Party Facebook Applications**

26 In 2007, Facebook launched the Facebook “Platform,” an extensive software environment where
27 third-party developers can build applications that interact with the Facebook website. The Facebook
28

1 Platform includes various services and tools designed to assist third-party developers to create such
2 applications.

3 31. Millions of third-party applications have been developed using the Facebook Platform and made
4 available to Facebook consumers. Some applications are social, such as those that allow consumers
5 to play games against other consumers within their social networks. Others are functional, allowing
6 consumers to integrate information from their calendar and email accounts with their Facebook
7 account.

8
9 32. The Facebook Platform facilitates integration between the Facebook website and third-party
10 applications. For example, a third-party developer can allow Facebook consumers to access their
11 application with a service available on the Facebook Platform called “Facebook Login.” Facebook
12 Login allows a Facebook consumer to access an application directly by using their Facebook
13 account and login credentials (username and password). The Facebook Platform also harmonizes
14 third-party applications’ look and feel with the Facebook website.

15
16 33. The Facebook Platform also includes an application program interface (“API”).

17 An API specifies how software components interact. In practical terms, Facebook’s website is built
18 upon proprietary source code. The API refers to the code that Facebook makes available to third-
19 party developers, which enables those developers to build applications for the Facebook website.

20 Facebook’s API allows for a third-party application to interact with the Facebook website and
21 governs the extent to which it can access Facebook’s vast collection of consumer data.

22
23 34. In sum, the Facebook Platform was designed to allow for the development of third-party
24 applications that would seamlessly engage with Facebook consumers while at the same time
25 allowing those applications access to Facebook’s vast collection of consumer data.

26 **The Cambridge Analytica Data Harvest**

27 28 **The Harvest of 87 Million Facebook Consumers’ Data**

1 35. In November 2013, Aleksandr Kogan, a researcher affiliated with Cambridge University, and
2 his company, Global Science Research (GSR), launched a third-party application on the Facebook
3 Platform that identified itself as a personality study for research purposes. The application was
4 called “thisisyourdigitallife” (the “App”) and ran on the Facebook Platform for over two years. The
5 App appealed to Facebook consumers as a personality quiz and offered to generate a personality
6 profile for consumers in exchange for downloading the App and granting access to some of the
7 consumer’s Facebook data.
8

9 36. The App was presented to Facebook as a research tool to study psychological traits. At the time
10 of the App’s launch, third-party applications could be launched on the Facebook Platform without
11 affirmative review or approval by Facebook. Accordingly, Facebook did not review the App before
12 it was allowed on the Facebook Platform, nor did it verify its claim that the information it collected
13 was for academic purposes.
14

15 37. At the time of the App’s launch, Facebook permitted applications to request permission to
16 access a Facebook consumer’s personal data. Prior to installation, a Facebook consumer installing
17 the App (an “App User”) was shown a screen that stated that the App would download some of the
18 App User’s own Facebook data, including their name, gender, birthdate, Likes, and a list of
19 Facebook friends.
20

21 38. To complete the installation, an App User clicked a Facebook Login icon on the information
22 screen. The App was then installed through the Facebook Login service, using the App User’s
23 Facebook login credentials.
24

25 39. Upon installation, the App harvested the personal information of the App User from Facebook’s
26 collection of user data, including at least the App User’s name, gender, birthday, Likes, and list of
27 Facebook friends.
28

40. In addition, the App also accessed data of the App User’s Facebook friends that the friend had
shared with the App User. This data included at least the Facebook friend’s name, gender, birthdate,

1 current city, and Likes. The vast majority of these Facebook friends never installed the App, never
2 affirmatively consented to supplying the App with their data, and never knew the App had collected
3 their data.

4 41. In early 2014, Facebook introduced changes to the Facebook Platform that (i) limited the data
5 that applications could access, including data regarding the installing user’s friends, and (ii)
6 instituted a review and approval process (called an “App Review”) for applications that sought to
7 access data beyond what the updated Facebook Platform would allow.
8

9
10 In May 2014, Kogan applied to App Review to request access to consumer data beyond what the
11 updated Facebook Platform would allow. In only a matter of days, Facebook rejected Kogan’s
12 application on the basis that he was seeking information beyond the App’s stated research purposes.
13 Nevertheless, the App was not audited nor further investigated.

14 42. During the time that the App ran on the Facebook Platform, approximately 290,000 Facebook
15 consumers in the United States installed the App, including 852 consumers in D.C. Because the
16 App was improperly allowed to harvest the personal data of App Users as well as App Users’
17 Facebook friends, approximately 87 million Facebook consumers had their information collected by
18 the App, with more than 70 million in the United States—including over 340,000 District residents.
19

20 B. The Sale and Misuse of Consumer Data

21 43. In 2014, at a time when the App was fully operational on the Facebook Platform and harvesting
22 consumer data, Kogan entered into an agreement with Cambridge Analytica for the sale of data
23 collected by the App. Cambridge Analytica was a political consulting firm based in London,
24 England that provided consulting services to candidates running for political office in the United
25 States and abroad.
26
27
28

1 44. Kogan provided Cambridge Analytica with the personal data of the approximately 87 million
2 Facebook consumers whose data was harvested, which included almost half of all D.C. residents. In
3 exchange, Cambridge Analytica paid Kogan over \$800,000.

4 45. Cambridge Analytica used the data it acquired from Kogan to, among other things, target digital
5 political advertising during the 2016 United States Presidential Election (the
6 “2016 Election”). Cambridge Analytica received millions of dollars from the Ted Cruz presidential
7 nomination campaign and later the Donald Trump presidential campaigns to provide digital
8 advertising services during the 2016 Election.
9

10 46. At relevant times, Facebook had employees embedded within multiple presidential candidate
11 campaigns who worked alongside employees from Cambridge Analytica.

12 Facebook knew, or should have known, that these presidential candidate campaigns and Cambridge
13 Analytica were using the Facebook consumer data harvested by Kogan throughout the 2016
14 Election.
15

16 **Facebook’s Lack of Oversight and Enforcement of Its Own Policies**

17 By no later than December 11, 2015, Facebook knew that Kogan had sold Facebook consumer data
18 to Cambridge Analytica. At that time, Facebook also knew that the collection and sale of consumer
19 data violated its Platform Policy.

20 48. Facebook’s Platform Policy, which governed its relationship with third-party application
21 developers throughout the App’s operation on the Facebook Platform, expressly prohibited the
22 transfer and sale of consumer data accessed from Facebook. However, Facebook failed to exert
23 meaningful review or compliance mechanisms to enforce its Platform Policy. Indeed, the App itself
24 contained terms that directly contradicted the Platform Policy, expressly stating that collected data
25 could be used for commercial purposes. Nevertheless, Facebook did not take any action against the
26 App and instead permitted it to harvest and sell Facebook consumers’ data without oversight for
27 several years.
28

1 49. The Platform Policy also permitted Facebook to audit any applications on the Facebook
2 Platform and to take other enforcement measures if it suspected that an application was violating the
3 Platform Policy. In addition, the Platform Policy expressly provided several methods by which
4 Facebook could enforce non-compliance with the Platform Policy. These audit provisions were
5 largely unenforced.

6 50. In late December 2015, Facebook terminated the App's access to the Facebook Platform.
7 Nevertheless, Facebook did not ban, suspend, or limit the privileges of Kogan, Cambridge
8 Analytica, or any of their affiliates, with respect to their access to the Facebook website or the
9 Facebook Platform. Nor did Facebook conduct an audit of Kogan, Cambridge Analytica, or any of
10 their affiliates, or take any other enforcement or remedial action to determine whether the Facebook
11 consumer data that was harvested by the App had been accounted for, deleted, and protected from
12 further use and sharing.

13 51. Instead, Facebook simply requested that Kogan and Cambridge Analytica delete all data that
14 they received through the Facebook Platform and accepted their word that they had done so.
15 Facebook did not take any additional steps to determine whether the harvested data was, in fact,
16 accounted for and destroyed. And in fact, the data was not destroyed. It continued to be held and
17 used by Cambridge Analytica through the 2016 Election and beyond. Facebook knew, or should
18 have known, this fact from, among other sources, its employees embedded in presidential candidate
19 campaigns during the 2016 Election who worked alongside Cambridge Analytica employees.

20 52. Facebook eventually required written certifications promising that the harvested data was
21 accounted for and destroyed, but Facebook did not receive a certification from Kogan until June
22 2016 and did not receive a certification from Cambridge Analytica until April 2017.

23 53. Facebook further kept this data harvesting a secret from its users for years, waiting until April
24 2018 to finally disclose to its consumers that their personal information may have been harvested
25 and sold to Cambridge Analytica.
26
27
28

1 54. Had Facebook and Zuckerberg disclosed in 2015 or 2016 the sale of Facebook consumer data to
2 Cambridge Analytica, they would have provided consumers with timely material information about
3 their use of the Facebook website. A disclosure that Facebook consumers' data had been sold to a
4 political consulting firm and was being used to target political advertising for the 2016 Election
5 could have influenced Facebook consumers, including those in D.C. to, among other things, share
6 less information on the Facebook website or deactivate their Facebook accounts. Rather than make
7 such meaningful disclosures, Facebook and Zuckerberg instead profited from Kogan's and
8 Cambridge Analytica's misuse of this stolen consumer data by selling millions of dollars of
9 advertising space to Cambridge Analytica and presidential candidate campaigns during the 2016
10 Election.
11 Election.

12 55. Facebook knew of other third-party applications that similarly violated its Platform Policy
13 through selling or improperly using consumer data. Facebook also failed to take reasonable
14 measures to enforce its Platform Policy in connection with other third-party applications and failed
15 to disclose to users when their data was sold or otherwise used in a manner inconsistent with
16 Facebook's policies.
17 Facebook's policies.

18 **Facebook's Misleading Statements and Practices Regarding Third-Party Application Access**
19 **to Consumer Data**

20 56. Facebook's failure to disclose third-party applications' access to consumer data on its platform
21 was compounded by the fact that the limited disclosures Facebook did make were ambiguous,
22 misleading, and deceptive. These disclosures primarily are contained in two lengthy documents, a
23 Terms of Service and Data Policy, that consumers must agree to in order to create a Facebook
24 account. These documents together set out the general terms of use for the Facebook website and
25 contain some statements regarding how third-party applications could access a consumer's data.
26 However, as shown by Facebook's actions (and inactions) in connection with third parties,
27
28

1 including the App and Cambridge Analytica, the representations made in these documents were
2 misleading and deceptive. _

3 57. For the duration of the App's launch and operation on the Facebook Platform, Facebook's
4 Terms of Service represented that Facebook required applications to respect a Facebook consumer's
5 privacy. This representation, taken with Facebook's public statements that it would protect
6 consumers' private information and its representations in the Platform Policy that it had the ability
7 to audit applications and take enforcement measures against applications, gave consumers the
8 impression that Facebook had implemented and maintained reasonable oversight and safeguards to
9 protect consumers' privacy.
10

11 58. These representations were misleading and deceptive, as demonstrated by Facebook's lack of
12 oversight and enforcement relating to third parties, such as the App. For example, Facebook failed
13 to conduct meaningful oversight or enforcement of the App at several relevant times when it knew,
14 or should have known, that the App was operating in violation of Facebook's policies: (i) when the
15 App was first launched on the Facebook Platform; (ii) after Facebook became aware, through its
16 receipt and rejection of Kogan's application through App Review, that the App was seeking
17 consumer data to be used beyond the App's stated research purpose; and (iii) after it learned that
18 data collected by the App had been sold to Cambridge Analytica.
19

20 59. In addition, Facebook's Data Policy also contained misrepresentations about third-party
21 applications' access to Facebook consumer data. From at least November 15, 2013 to at least
22 January 30, 2015, the Data Policy provided that if an application asks permission from someone
23 else to access your information, the application will be allowed to use that information only in
24 connection with the person that gave the permission, and no one else. This representation was
25 deceptive and misleading as demonstrated by Kogan's use of the App to harvest consumer data, and
26 then sell it to Cambridge Analytica. Facebook failed to implement and maintain reasonable
27 oversight of applications operating on the Facebook Platform to safeguard consumers' private data,
28

1 and it knew or should have known that it did not have measures in place to control how applications
2 used and/or shared data.

3 60. Facebook also misled its consumers generally about third-party applications'
4 access to their data. Facebook publicly represented that consumers controlled how their data is
5 shared on the Facebook website. But as shown by the App, third-party applications that a Facebook
6 consumer had never downloaded could still access their information through a Facebook friend who
7 downloaded the App. The Facebook Platform thus afforded third parties an end-run to access
8 consumer data, which third-party applications exploited. This was a material fact that Facebook
9 failed to disclose, or failed to adequately disclose, to its consumers. _
10

11 61. Adding to the potential customer confusion is the fact that consumers could not restrict third-
12 party application access to their data through Facebook's Privacy Settings, even though that is
13 where a consumer would expect to have the ability to control how their data is shared. Instead,
14 Facebook allocated privacy settings related to applications to a separate location under a separate
15 Application Settings tab.
16

17 62. Through Privacy Settings, a consumer controls how their Facebook information is shared with
18 other Facebook consumers. For example, a consumer can control what kinds of other Facebook
19 users can view their account information. This can be manipulated to allow for sharing to all
20 Facebook consumers (most expansive), only Facebook friends (the less expansive default), and a
21 customized list of Facebook friends (the least expansive).
22

23 63. By contrast, through Application Settings, a consumer controls how their Facebook information
24 is shared with third-party applications. There is a high potential for consumer confusion here. For
25 example, from at least November 2013 through at least April 18 2014, even if a consumer restricted
26 access to their information to only Facebook friends through their Privacy Settings, the information
27 could still be accessible by any application that the consumer's friends downloaded.
28

1 64. In sum, Facebook’s representations regarding consumer privacy in connection with applications
2 were misleading and deceptive. Moreover, Facebook’s lack of adequate disclosures and multi-tiered
3 privacy options added to consumer confusion regarding how consumer information was shared with
4 applications.

5 65. Facebook’s representations to consumers that it will protect the privacy of consumers’ personal
6 information, when, in fact, it did not implement or maintain reasonable privacy safeguards and
7 failed to take reasonable measures in response to the harvesting and use of data by Cambridge
8 Analytica, are misrepresentations of material facts that tend to mislead consumers.

9
10 66. Facebook’s representations to consumers that it requires applications and third-party developers
11 to respect the privacy of consumers’ personal information, when, in fact, it did not implement or
12 maintain reasonable oversight of third-party applications (such as conduct appropriate audits of
13 applications), are misrepresentations of material facts that tend to mislead consumers.

14 67. Facebook’s representations to consumers that consumers’ agreements with third-party
15 applications will control how those applications use consumer data, when, in fact, applications were
16 able to collect and use consumer data without regard to those agreements, are misrepresentations of
17 material facts that tend to mislead consumers.

18
19 68. Facebook’s failure to inform consumers, or to adequately inform consumers, that their personal
20 information may be shared with third-party applications without their knowledge or affirmative
21 consent, is a material fact, the omission of which tended to mislead consumers.

22 69. Facebook’s failure to tell consumers for over two years that their personal information was
23 improperly harvested and sold by Kogan to Cambridge Analytica in violation of Facebook’s
24 policies is a material fact, the omission of which tended to mislead consumers.

25
26 70. Facebook’s failure to explain to consumers whether and how they could control how
27 information is shared with third-party applications and how to change privacy settings with respect
28

1 to applications, and its representations that consumers can control how their information is shared,
2 constitute ambiguities as to material facts that have the tendency to mislead consumers.

3 **Mark Zuckerberg’s Control Over the Facebook Platform A.**

4 Zuckerberg’s role and responsibility at Facebook

5 71. Zuckerberg is Facebook’s co-founder, CEO, and Chairman, and is inseparable from the
6 company he founded. He continues to manage the company’s day-to-day operations—
7 at an exacting level of detail—including directing, participating in, and guiding the Facebook
8 Platform.
9

10 72. He maintains an unparalleled level of control over the operations of Facebook as it has grown
11 into the largest social media company in the world. In fact, throughout Facebook’s inception and
12 explosive growth, Zuckerberg has retained control of the company. Zuckerberg currently controls
13 almost 60% of Facebook’s voting shares and has the power to appoint a majority of the company’s
14 board members.
15

16 73. Zuckerberg is not just a figurehead at Facebook; he is personally involved in nearly every major
17 decision the company makes, and his level of influence is no secret. Dave Arnold, a Facebook
18 spokesman, recently touted Zuckerberg’s “active” leadership in the *New York Times* stating, “Mark
19 has taken an active role in the leadership of Facebook from its founding through to today . . . We’re
20 fortunate to have such engaged leaders, including Mark, Sheryl and the entire leadership team.”
21

22 74. Zuckerberg not only manages the company, but he also serves as the driving force behind its
23 products. Early versions of the Facebook Platform—which promoted the “concept of openness and
24 transparency as the high level ideal”—arose from Zuckerberg’s vision.⁶ According to Zuckerberg,
25 Facebook “actually shifted a bit more of a focus not just on directly making it so people can use
26 Facebook and share and be open on Facebook, but instead on making it so that the *systems*
27 *themselves have open properties.*”⁷
28

1 75. Zuckerberg has even gone as far as claiming that privacy is no longer a “social norm” because
2 “[p]eople have really gotten comfortable not only sharing more information and different kinds, but
3 more openly and with more people[.]”⁸

4 76. Zuckerberg’s vision of “open properties” directly led to developing the API tool called “Open
5 Graph” that Facebook launched in April 2010 as Graph API version 1.0.

6
7
8 Mike Isaac, Sheera Frenkel, and Cecilia Kang, *Now More Than Ever, Facebook Is a*
9 *‘Mark Zuckerberg Production’*, NEW YORK TIMES (May 16, 2020),

10 <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/16/technology/zuckerberg-facebook-coronavirus.html>.

11 Fred Vogelstein, *The Wired Interview: Facebook’s Mark Zuckerberg*, WIRED (June 29, 2009),

12 <https://www.wired.com/2009/06/mark-zuckerberg-speaks/>.

13 *Id.* (emphasis added).

14
15
16 *Marshall Kirkpatrick, Facebook’s Zuckerberg Says The Age of Privacy Is Over*, NEW
17 YORK TIMES (Jan. 10, 2020),

18 <https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/external/readwriteweb/2010/01/10/10readwriteweb-facebooks-zuckerberg-says-the-age-of-privac-82963.html>.

19
20
21 Open Graph allowed consumers to personalize their user experience and “have instantly
22 socialexperiences wherever they go.”⁹ But to create these experiences, Facebook made information
23 such as a person’s likes, dislikes, and interests instantly viewable to the person’s Facebook
24 friends—and gave developers access to that personal data—including access to the data of a user’s
25 Facebook friends, with little to no oversight.
26
27
28

1 77. On April 21, 2010, Zuckerberg publicly unveiled Graph API version 1.0 at Facebook’s annual
2 F8 App Developer conference, saying: “We are building a web where the default is social.”¹⁰ For
3 Zuckerberg, “[t]he thing [he] really care[d] about is the mission, making the world open.”

4 78. Shortly thereafter however, Facebook faced criticism over the growing concern from Facebook
5 users and lawmakers that Graph API version 1.0 greatly expanded the scope of publicly available
6 data to applications and developers by automatically allowing access to a user’s current city,
7 hometown, education, work, likes, interests, and friends list without user consent.

8
9 79. Zuckerberg responded by posting a public apology in the *Washington Post* acknowledging that
10 “[s]ometimes we move too fast—and after listening to recent concerns, we’re responding.”

11
12 Erick Schonfeld, *Zuckerberg: “We are Building a Web Where the Default is Social”* ,
13 TECHCRUNCH (Apr. 21, 2010), [https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/21/zuckerbergs-buildin-web-](https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/21/zuckerbergs-buildin-web-default-social/)
14 [default-social/](https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/21/zuckerbergs-buildin-web-default-social/). Id.

15
16
17 Ryan Singel, *Mark Zuckerberg: I Donated to Open Source, Facebook Competitor*, WIRED (May
18 20, 2010), <https://www.wired.com/2010/05/zuckerberg-interview/>.

19
20 Jason Kincaid, *Senators Call Out Facebook On ‘Instant Personalization’, Other Privacy Issues*,
21 TECHCRUNCH (Apr. 27, 2010), [https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/27/senators-call-out-facebook-](https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/27/senators-call-out-facebook-on-instant-personalization-other-privacy-issues/?_ga=2.192578537.216944569.1650296804-940477689.1650296804)
22 [on-instant-personalization-other-privacy-](https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/27/senators-call-out-facebook-on-instant-personalization-other-privacy-issues/?_ga=2.192578537.216944569.1650296804-940477689.1650296804)
23 [issues/?_ga=2.192578537.216944569.1650296804-940477689.1650296804](https://techcrunch.com/2010/04/27/senators-call-out-facebook-on-instant-personalization-other-privacy-issues/?_ga=2.192578537.216944569.1650296804-940477689.1650296804).

24
25
26 At that time, Zuckerberg promised users that both clearer and additional privacy controls were
27 quickly coming: The biggest message we have heard recently is that people want easier control over
28 their information. Simply put, many of you thought our controls were too complex. Our intention

1 was to give you lots of granular controls; but that may not be what many of you wanted. We just
2 missed the mark. We have heard the feedback. There needs to be a simpler way to control your
3 information. In the coming weeks, we will add privacy controls that are much simpler to use. We
4 will also give you an easy way to turn off all third-party services.

5
6 80. Within Facebook, Zuckerberg directly oversaw the product development and engineering work
7 that was exposing consumer data to abuse: Zuckerberg is in charge of the Facebook Platform and is
8 able to overrule any decisions made by the company. But Zuckerberg’s involvement includes not
9 only deciding just *how* open the Facebook Platform should be and what categories of user data
10 should be shared with developers—he is also making day-to-day decisions about minute details of
11 the Platform’s operations, including specific policy changes and enforcement decisions.

12
13 81. And contrary to his public statements, Zuckerberg was intent on finding a way of leveraging
14 Platform changes to amass more data—and accordingly more money—for Facebook.

15
16 82. In November 2012, Zuckerberg unveiled his plan for Facebook’s data-sharing business model—
17 which included giving apps and developers who spent money on Facebook or shared data back to
18 Facebook—access to *even more* consumer data. In a November 19, 2012, email to senior-level
19 Facebook executives entitled “Platform Model Thoughts,” Zuckerberg discussed the value of
20 “pulling non-app friends out of friends.get”—in other words making 13

21 Mark Zuckerberg, *From Facebook, answering privacy concerns with new settings*, WASHINGTON
22 POST (May 24, 2010),

23 <https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/05/23/AR2010052303828.html>.

24 friends’ data available only through private APIs, which would further obfuscate a developers’
25 ability to access data from Facebook consumers:

26
27 After thinking about platform business for a long time, I wanted to send out a note explaining where
28 I’m leaning on this. This isn’t final and we’ll have a chance to discuss this in person before we

1 decide this for sure, but since this is complex, I wanted to write out my thoughts. This is long, but
2 hopefully helpful. The quick summary is that I think we should go with full reciprocity and access
3 to app friends for no charge. Full reciprocity means that apps are required to give any user who
4 connects to FB a prominent option to share all of their social content within that service [...] back to
5 Facebook.

6 [...]

7 [W]e're trying to enable people to share everything they want, and to do it on Facebook.

8
9 Sometimes the best way to enable people to share something is to have a developer build a special
10 purpose app or network for that type of content and to make that app social by having Facebook
11 plug into it. However, that may be good for the world but it's not good for us unless people also
12 share back to Facebook and that content increases the value of our network. So ultimately, I think
13 the purpose of platform—even the read side—is to increase sharing back into Facebook.

14 [...]

15
16
17
18 It seems like we need some way to fast app switch to the FB app to show a dialog on our side that
19 lets you select which of your friends you want to invite to an app. We need to make sure this
20 experience actually is possible to build and make as good as we want, especially on iOS where
21 we're more constrained. We also need to figure out how we're going to charge for it. I want to make
22 sure this is explicitly tied to pulling non-app friends out of friends.get.

23 [...]

24
25 What I'm assuming we'll do here is have a few basic thresholds of API usage and once you pass a
26 threshold you either need to pay us some fixed amount to get to the next threshold or you get rate
27 limited at the lower threshold.

28 [...]

1 Overall, I feel good about this direction. The purpose of platform is to tie the universe of all the
2 social apps together so we can enable a lot more sharing and still remain the central social hub. I
3 think this finds the right balance between ubiquity, reciprocity and profit.

4 83. Zuckerberg’s data-sharing business model underscores just how Facebook was able to get
5 developers to use the Platform and why apps were able to amass so much Facebook consumer data:
6 by leveraging access to friend data, Facebook’s “most valuable data.”

7
8 84. To carry out his business vision for Facebook, Zuckerberg oversaw changes to the Platform
9 policies, including at the granular level of providing specific direction and assigning employees to
10 the task. For example, in a November 19, 2012 email, Zuckerberg assigned specific employees,
11 including former Harvard classmate Sam Lessin and longtime Facebook employee Javier Olivan,
12 Head of International Growth, to the task of putting together a “real framework and process” for
13 enforcement actions, giving them guidelines to create a process that is
14 “minimally intrusive/annoying” and “as simple as we can get away with.”

15
16 85. Zuckerberg also provided direct input on Facebook’s internal policies relating to data sharing on
17 the Platform, and was so involved that he personally reviewed certain applications’ use of data. This
18 was especially true for developers who Facebook deemed “competitors” and were therefore
19 restricted from accessing certain categories of data, such as friend data, on the Platform. While
20 making Facebook data generally available to developers was in Facebook’s interests, that decision
21 cut the other way when it came to competitors. Any

22 Email from Mark Zuckerberg to Sam Lessin (Nov. 19, 2012, 10:39 a.m.), available at

23 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
24 [sealed-exhibits.pdf](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf).

25
26 16

27 *Id.*

28 17

1 *Id.*

2 18

3 Email from Douglas Purdy to Constantin Koumouzelis, Marie Hagman, Zhen Fang, Eddie O’Neil,
4 and George Lee (Apr. 10, 2013, 8:25 p.m.), available at
5 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
6 [sealed-exhibits.pdf](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf).

7
8
9 25

10
11 changes on the Platform relating to these competitors would be escalated to Zuckerberg for
12 approval and *only* permitted after his sign-off.

13 86.

14 For example, in an April 2013 email, Doug Purdy, Facebook’s Director of Product Management,
15 discussed an internal plan to implement certain API restrictions stating,
16 “We maintain a small list of strategic competitors that Mark personally reviewed. Apps produced by
17 the companies on this list are subject to a number of restrictions outlined below. Any usage beyond
18 that specified is not permitted without Mark level sign-off.”¹⁹

19
20 87.

21 Zuckerberg also instructed top-level executives to enforce Facebook’s “policies against competitors
22 much more strongly.”²⁰ In January 2013, Zuckerberg confirmed a new Facebook policy blocking
23 competitors WeChat, Kakao, Line, and Google+ products from spending on ads or accessing friend
24 data on the Platform, noting “[t]hose companies are trying to build social networks and replace us.
25 The revenue is immaterial to us compared to any risk.”²¹

26
27 88.

28

1 Similarly, in a January 24, 2013 email, Zuckerberg gave the green light to Justin Osofsky,
2 Facebook’s former Vice President of Global Operations, to “shut down” Vine, a popular video-
3 sharing app’s access to the “friends API”—that app was owned by Facebook’s competitor Twitter,

4 Inc.22

5
6 19

7 *Id.*

8
9 20

10 Email from Mark Zuckerberg to Sam Lessin (Nov. 19, 2012, 10:39 a.m.), available at

11 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
12 [sealed-exhibits.pdf.](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)

13 21

14 Email from Mark Zuckerberg to Javier Olivan, Sheryl Sandberg, Dan Rose, Mike Vernal, Justin
15 Osofsky, Elliot Schrage, Sam Lessin, et. al. (Jan. 10, 2013, 1:28 a.m.), available at

16 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
17 [sealed-exhibits.pdf.](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)

18
19 22

20 Email from Dan Rose to Mike Vernal, Justin Osofsky, Mark Zuckerberg, Kevin Systrom, Douglas
21 Purdy and Dan Rose (Jan. 24, 2013, 12:21 p.m.), available at

22 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
23 [sealed-exhibits.pdf.](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)

24
25
26 26

27
28 B.

1 Zuckerberg was aware of the risks posed by an “Open” Platform but failed to act 89.

2 Zuckerberg was personally aware of the risks that sharing consumer data with apps posed, but
3 actively disregarded those risks because sharing data was otherwise beneficial and lucrative to
4 Facebook’s business model and Platform growth.

5 90.

6 Even as early as 2012, Zuckerberg was aware of potential harms that might result from sharing
7 consumer data. In an October 27, 2012, email concerning apps leaking personal data, Zuckerberg
8 stated,

9
10 I’m getting more on board with locking down some parts of platform, including friends’
11 data and potentially email addresses for mobile apps. I’m generally skeptical that there is as much
12 data leak strategic risk as you think. *I agree there is clear risk on the advertiser side*, but I haven’t
13 figured out how that connects to the rest of the platform. *I think we leak info to developers*, but I
14 just can’t think of any instances where that data has leaked from developer to developer and caused
15 a real issue for us.²³

16
17
18 91.

19 Despite being aware of risks on the Platform, Zuckerberg failed to take the necessary steps to
20 protect consumer data—including the personal data of hundreds of thousands of District consumers.

21 92.

22 Just months before the Cambridge Analytica data harvest became public, Zuckerberg admitted that
23 his “personal challenge for 2018” was to fix abuse on the platform:

24 “we currently make too many errors enforcing our policies and preventing misuse of our tools.”²⁴

25
26 When details about Cambridge Analytica became public in March 2018, Zuckerberg publicly 23

27

28

1 Email from Mark Zuckerberg (Oct. 27, 2012, 6:06 a.m.), available at

2 [https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf)
3 [sealed-exhibits.pdf](https://dataviz.nbcnews.com/projects/20191104-facebook-leaked-documents/assets/facebook-sealed-exhibits.pdf).

4 24

5 Mark Zuckerberg, FACEBOOK (Jan. 4, 2018),

6 <https://www.facebook.com/zuck/posts/10104380170714571> (last visited May 20, 2022).

7
8
9 27

10
11 acknowledged the incident as a “breach of trust between Facebook and the people who share their
12 data with us and expect us to protect it.”²⁵

13 93. Zuckerberg’s shift in tone and acknowledgment of Cambridge Analytica shows Facebook knew
14 it could no longer hide behind its lax enforcement actions in the past. At Zuckerberg’s direction,
15 Facebook shifted to promising future improvements to the security of the Platform, thereby hoping
16 to obscure the company’s past harms and failures to adequately protect users’ privacy.
17

18 94. For example, despite Facebook’s ability to audit apps well before Cambridge Analytica became
19 public in 2018, Zuckerberg vowed only after the breach became public to “conduct a full audit of
20 any app with suspicious activity” and promised to “ban any developer from our platform that does
21 not agree to a thorough audit.”²⁶ Zuckerberg also promised additional restrictions on developers’
22 access to user data and additional tools to help users more easily “revoke those apps’ permissions to
23 [user] data.”

24
25 95. Zuckerberg has publicly taken personal responsibility for Facebook’s failures leading up the
26 Cambridge Analytica incident as well. In April 2018 at a Congressional hearing relating to data
27 privacy, Zuckerberg himself testified, “I started Facebook, I run it, and I’m responsible for what
28 happens here.”²⁸ In another statement in April 2018 concerning data privacy

1 Mark Zuckerberg, FACEBOOK (Mar. 21, 2018),

2 <https://www.facebook.com/zuck/posts/10104712037900071> (last visited May 20, 2022).

3 26

4 *Id.*

5 27

6 *Id.*

7 28

9 *Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg Hearing on Data Privacy and Protection, C-SPAN*

10 (Apr. 10, 2018), [https://www.c-span.org/video/?443543-1/facebook-ceo-mark-zuckerberg-testifies-](https://www.c-span.org/video/?443543-1/facebook-ceo-mark-zuckerberg-testifies-data-protection)
11 [data-protection](https://www.c-span.org/video/?443543-1/facebook-ceo-mark-zuckerberg-testifies-data-protection) (complete opening statement in Senate Hearing).

12
13 28

14
15
16 on the Platform, Zuckerberg stated, “We didn’t take a broad enough view of what our responsibility
17 is, and that was a huge mistake. *It was my mistake.*”²⁹

18 96. In sum, Zuckerberg is broadly responsible for his Platform “vision” which required
19 implementing an open Platform and exposing consumers’ personal data, was aware of the trade-off
20 between the security of users’ data and Facebook’s own profits that these choices posed, and was
21 directly responsible for Facebook’s lax enforcement standards and actions.

22 97. As CEO, Zuckerberg had the authority to control and direct—and had knowledge of—
23 Facebook’s deceptive trade practices and misrepresentations to District consumers.

24 98. As such, Zuckerberg participated in, directed, managed, or otherwise knew of, and had the
25 authority to control, Facebook’s inconsistent actions regarding privacy, including deceptive trade
26 practices, misrepresentations, and ambiguities that violate the CPPA—namely: a. Facebook’s
27 representations to consumers that Facebook would protect the privacy of consumers’ personal
28

1 information, when, in fact, it did not implement or maintain reasonable privacy safeguards and
2 failed to take reasonable measures in response to the harvesting and use of data by Cambridge
3 Analytica; b. Facebook’s representations to consumers that it requires applications and third-party
4 developers to respect the privacy of consumers’ personal information, when, in fact, it did not
5 implement or maintain reasonable oversight of third-party applications (such as conduct appropriate
6 audits of applications); c. Facebook’s representations to consumers that consumers’ agreements
7 with third-party applications will control how those applications use consumer data, when, in fact,
8 applications were able to collect and use consumer data without regard to those agreements; d.
9 Facebook’s failure to inform consumers, or to adequately inform consumers, that their personal
10 information may be shared with third-party
11

12
13 *Hard Questions: Q&A With Mark Zuckerberg on Protecting People’s Information*, FACEBOOK
14 NEWSROOM (Apr. 4, 2018), [https://about.fb.com/news/2018/04/hard-questions-protecting-](https://about.fb.com/news/2018/04/hard-questions-protecting-peoples-information/)
15 [peoples-information/](https://about.fb.com/news/2018/04/hard-questions-protecting-peoples-information/) (emphasis added).
16

17 applications without their knowledge or affirmative consent; e.
18 Facebook’s failure to tell consumers for over two years that their personal information was
19 improperly harvested and sold by Kogan to Cambridge Analytica in violation of Facebook’s
20 policies; and
21

22
23 f. Facebook’s failure to explain to consumers how to control how information is shared with third-
24 party applications and how to change privacy settings with respect to applications, and its
25 representations that consumers can control how their information is shared.

26 **Count I: Violations of the Consumer Protection Procedures Act**
27

28 99. The District incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

1 100. The CPPA is a remedial statute that is to be broadly construed. It establishes an enforceable
2 right to truthful information from merchants about consumer goods and services that are or would
3 be purchased, leased, or received in the District of Columbia.

4 101. The services that Facebook provides consumers are for personal, household, or family
5 purposes and, therefore, are consumer goods and services.

6 102. Facebook, in the ordinary course of business, supplies consumer goods and services and,
7 therefore, is a merchant under the CPPA.

8 103. Facebook users receive consumer goods and services from Facebook in the form of social
9 networking services and, therefore, are consumers under the CPPA.

10 104. The CPPA prohibits unfair and deceptive trade practices in connection with the offer, sale, and
11 supply of consumer goods and services.

12 105. Facebook's representations to consumers, both express and implied, that it will protect the
13 privacy of consumers' personal information, that it requires applications and third-party developers
14 to respect the privacy of consumers' personal information, and that consumers'

15 agreement with third-party applications will control how those applications use consumer data, 30
16

17 are misrepresentations concerning material facts that have a tendency to mislead consumers and are
18
19 unfair and deceptive trade practices that violate the CPPA, D.C. Code § 28-3904(e).

20 106. Facebook's failure to disclose, or failure to adequately disclose, to consumers that their
21 personal information may be shared with third-party applications without their knowledge or
22 affirmative consent, is a material fact, the omission of which tended to mislead consumers and are
23 unfair and deceptive trade practices that violate the CPPA, D.C. Code § 28-3904(f).

24 107. Facebook's failure to disclose, or failure to adequately disclose, to consumers that their
25 personal information was improperly harvested and used by third-party applications and others in
26 violation of Facebook's policies, such as in the Kogan and Cambridge Analytica example, is a
27
28

1 material fact, the omission of which tended to mislead consumers and are unfair and deceptive trade
2 practices that violate the CPPA, D.C. Code § 28-3904(f).

3 108. Facebook’s failure to explain to consumers how to control how information is shared with
4 third-party applications and how to change privacy settings with respect to applications, as well as
5 its representations to consumers, both express and implied, that it will protect the privacy of
6 consumers’ personal information, that it requires applications and third-party developers to respect
7 the privacy of consumers’ personal information, and that consumers’
8 agreement with third-party applications will control how those applications use consumer data,
9 constitute ambiguities as to material facts that have the tendency to mislead consumers and are
10 unfair and deceptive trade practices that violate the CPPA, D.C. Code § 28-3904(f-1).

11 109. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Mark Zuckerberg (i) was aware or should
12 have been aware of Facebook’s data-sharing policy terms; (ii) possessed and/or exercised the
13 authority to control the policies and practices of Facebook, Inc.; (iii) was responsible for creating
14 and implementing the deceptive policies and trade practices of
15 Facebook, Inc. that are described in this Complaint; (iv) participated in the deceptive trade practices
16 that are described in this Complaint; (v); directed, managed, or supervised, those employees at
17 Facebook, Inc. who participated in the deceptive trade practices described in this Complaint; and
18 (vi) knew or should have known of the deceptive trade practices that are described in this Complaint
19 and had the power to stop them, but did not.

20
21
22 **Prayer for Relief**

23 WHEREFORE, the District of Columbia respectfully requests this Court enter a judgment in its
24 favor and grant relief against Defendant Mark Zuckerberg as follows: (a) Permanently enjoin
25 Defendant, pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909(a), from violating the CPPA; (b) Order Defendant to
26 pay restitution or damages pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909; (c)
27
28

1 Award civil penalties in an amount to be proven at trial and as authorized per violation of the CPPA
2 pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909(b); (d) Award the District the costs of this action and reasonable
3 attorneys' fees pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909(b); and (e) grant such further relief as the Court
4 deems just and proper.

5 Many additional documents prove that Facebook and various government agency work together via
6 the agencies turning a blind eye to Facebook's crimes and the agencies assisting Facebook with
7 those crimes and illicit deeds because agency bosses profit from *** FACEBOOK ***.

10 LIST OF HARMS TO PLAINTIFF BY DEFENDANTS

13 ***HARM #1: MANIPULATION AND BLOCKADE OF PLAINTIFFS EARNED*** 14 ***GOVERNMENT FUNDS***

15
16 In 2007 and 2008, Plaintiff applied for SSDI and a HUD home certificate. In 2008, SSA
17 stated that all 19 disabling conditions existed but that Plaintiff must confirm they will last more than
18 a year. Plaintiffs SSDI and HUD certificate were blockaded by public officials in reprisal for
19 Plaintiff helping law enforcement in the "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION"
20 matter.

21 From, at least, 2007, forward, Plaintiff was reporting to law enforcement and regulatory
22 officials about "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION", the previously noted
23 national anti-corruption matter.
24

25 In 2008, contractors from The White House and California Senators, all of whom have vast
26 documented court and news report records of hiring attackers from spy agencies for reprisal attacks
27 on whistle-blowers, used their resources to stop all funding in Plaintiffs SSDI funding as reprisal for
28 whistle-blowing. They got SSA to say that Plaintiff WAS permanently disabled, but that because

1 he could not work, he lost his SSA credits waiting the one year and one day that SSA required to
2 prove that his disabilities would last longer than a year. Dianne Feinstein's own staff and family, who
3 had cohabitated with Plaintiff, confessed to these 'dirty-tricks' actions as reprisal for Plaintiff's
4 testimony accidentally cutting off \$120 million+ of potential profit the family expected in ""Case X
5 POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION"". Her staff have been exposed by CIA staff as
6 *"the masters of dirty tricks reprisals...even as good as the ones we (THE CIA) pull off.."*

7
8 In 2007 Plaintiff applied for his HUD Mortgage coupon. It is now 2022 and he still has not
9 received it after over 100 inquiries as to the status. City and County officials have stated that they
10 have "never seen it take so long". According to all experts, the only way it could have been stone-
11 walled this long is if a public official had ordered it slow-walked as part of the political reprisal
12 attacks on Plaintiff. Three different counties have checked on this and said that Plaintiff's records
13 'went missing' in a Lois Lerner type of error set.

14
15 *The cut-off of Plaintiff's finances and benefits coincides, exactly, with his whistle-blower*
16 *testimony AND to the reprisal threats and promises by THE ONLY PEOPLE IN THE WORLD*
17 *capable of accomplishing such state-sponsored attacks were the government officials that owned*
18 **** FACEBOOK *** , TESLA and GOOGLE . Defendants were the only entity with the means, the*
19 *motivation and the proven history of such actions on a regular historical basis.*

20
21 *Feinstein's current and former staff members (See the Dossier on Daniel Jones) (See the*
22 *fact that Plaintiff lived with, dined with and partied with her family and senior staff) have stated*
23 *that the Feinstein and Pelosi offices commonly order citizens government funding to be cut off as*
24 *political reprisal. The White does it almost every other day. In this case they did it to Plaintiff at*
25 *least three times. Kathryn Feinstein runs the Courts in San Francisco and used to romp in*
26 *Plaintiff's hot tub. Plaintiff used to live at the home of Dianne Feinstein's office manager. Feinstein*
27 *relatives and staff were constantly soliciting Plaintiff for work and offered "insider tips" as*
28 *incentives. Nancy Pelosi's aide dated Plaintiff. Plaintiff ran a San Francisco Mayoral campaign*

1 and had the resources of the Palladino detective agency on call. Plaintiff certainly has had the
2 connections to verify his assertions, as he had, to the FBI, DOJ, FTC GAO, et a.

3 When asked for Plaintiff's medical records SSA sent a huge number of medical records for
4 two strange women in massive violation of federal medical privacy rights. The SSA Inspector
5 General has shown Plaintiff thousands of cases of politicians using SSA for political reprisal
6 punishments. FBI IT experts and 'Black Hat' hackers can hack into every SSA server in minutes
7 and change records, files and decisions. Rahm Emanuel, Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein can do
8 it with a single phone call. It should be noted by the Court that EVERY background check file in
9 AMERICA was hacked and stolen by China within a few hours and that every hacking tool of the
10 NSA and CIA was hacked by Russia and posted on the internet. It is IMPOSSIBLE for anyone to
11 ever assert that "nobody can hack SSA". That would be a remarkable lie. In fact, Plaintiff has
12 agency confirmation that 95% of the Silicon Valley oligarchs entire family tax records, stock
13 accounts, political payments and payola and pretty much everything that would destroy them, has
14 been hacked and is in the hands of ICIJ (Panama Papers investigators), Propublica, FINCEN and
15 pretty much everybody they would not want to have it.

16
17
18 ***Plaintiff demands that the Court order SSA to award Plaintiff his full SSDI backpay from***
19 ***2007 to today, with interest, based on the fact that SSA was used to harm Plaintiff as a reprisal***
20 ***tool. The Inspector General has proven this happens regularly and hundreds of other whistle-***
21 ***blowers are prepared to confirm this fact.***

22 Plaintiff has received multiple threats of death, personal, resource or physical harm.
23
24
25

26 **HARM #2: THREATS OF DEATH AND ACTUAL MURDERS OF PEERS**
27

28 Plaintiff has actually been attacked as enumerated below and has active cases with SFPD,

1 FBI, OSC and other agencies. Twice Plaintiffs car was rammed. The first time the attacker
2 performed a PIT maneuver, flipping Plaintiffs car onto the concrete median wall on the Freeway in
3 Marin County, causing massive damage. The attacker sped away. A car next rammed Plaintiffs
4 parked car in Mill Valley and, at the same location, a man tried to drive his car through the fence
5 into Plaintiff's cottage. Two other times, strangers pulled up along Plaintiff, pointed at him and
6 yelled "you are dead". Plaintiff has received threats by email and voicemail and had dead animals
7 tossed over his fence. Further proving the at-risk claims of Plaintiff. Plaintiff, and his peers, had
8 contact with the following persons in "Case X POLITICAL CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION":
9 ***Rajeev Motwani; Gary D. Conley; Seth Rich; Philip Haney; David Bird; Doug Bourn; Misti***
10 ***Epstein; Joshua Brown; Kenneth Bellando; Moritz Erhardt; Imran Aliev; Kate Matrosova;***
11 ***David Drye; Vincent Foster; Kathy Ferguson; Duane Garrett; Eric S. Fox; Judi Gibbs; Berta***
12 ***Caceres; Suzanne Coleman; L.J. Davis; John Hillyer; Stanley Huggins; Sandy Hume; Shawn***
13 ***Lucas; Gary Johnson; John Jones; John F. Kennedy, Jr.; Stephen Ivens; Mary 'Caity'***
14 ***Mahoney; Eric Butera; Danny Casolaro; John Ashe; Tony Moser; Larry Nichols; Joseph Rago;***
15 ***Ron Brown; Bob Simon; Don Adams; Peter Smith; Victor Thorn; Lori Klausutis; Gareth***
16 ***Williams; Daphne Caruana Galizia; James D Johnston; Dave Goldberg; Loretta Fuddy; Paul***
17 ***Wilcher; Gary Webb; Beranton J. Whisenant Jr; Stanley Meyer; Jon Parnell Walker; Tyler***
18 ***Drumheller; Barnaby Jack; Dominic Di-Natale; Barbara Wise; Ilya Zhitomirskiy; Jeff Joe***
19 ***Black; Robin Copeland; John Wheeler; Ashley Turton; Michael Hastings; Antonin Scalia;***
20 ***David Koschman; David Werner; Alex Okrent; Kam Kuwata; Larry Frankel; And hundreds***
21 ***more connected to this case who suddenly, and strangely, turned up dead in this case and,***
22 ***ironically, their deaths all benefit the suspects in this case....Rajeev Motwani taught Google***
23 ***how to Google. Suddenly, in perfect health, he was found floating face-down, dead, in his Silicon***
24 ***Valley swimming pool. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk. Gary D. Conley was the***
25 ***CleanTech competitor to, and whistle-blower on, the suspects. He was suddenly found with a bullet***
26
27
28

1 in his head behind Beale Air Force base. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk. He
2 TOLD Plaintiff that someone from Google was going to kill him. Google programmer **Forrest**
3 **Hayes**, who worked on Google search engine rigging, was suddenly found dead with the story that
4 “he was overdosed by a Google hooker on his sex yacht”. Google associate and Tesla Investments
5 founder **Ravi Kumar** was also killed by his hooker. Deep Google investor VC liason and husband
6 of *** FACEBOOK *** executive **Sheryl Sandberg** was suddenly found dead with a hole is his
7 head. The “official” story is that he was the first person in history to be killed by his treadmill.

8 **David Bird** was the Wall Street Journal energy reporter who was working on a story that involved
9 Cleantech energy connections of some of the suspects. He was working on a story about who
10 controlled the modern energy industry and cleantech. He went for a walk and was found a long time
11 later, dead,

12 floating in a pond. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk. One **Mr. Breitbart** was
13 a famous blogger, who railed on the web about the political manipulations of the suspects.

14 Suddenly, he had a "heart attack" in his shower and died. It helps certain people that he can no
15 longer talk on the blogs. Mr. **Karl Slym** , with Tata Motors was involved in a car deal with some of
16 the suspects for one of the biggest Indian auto-makers. Suddenly he was a stain on the sidewalk,
17 accomplished by his fall from the top of a skyscraper hotel. It helps certain people that he can no
18 longer talk. **Doug Bourn**, The senior electrical engineer at Tesla (Google's covert partner), **Andrew**
19 **Ingram** of Palo Alto, a top systems electrical engineer at Tesla; and **Brian M. Finn** the senior
20 manager of interactive electronics, at Tesla, had deep knowledge of financial misdeeds and
21 technical cover-ups at Tesla Motors. They were key parts of the Tesla operation. For some reason,
22 they all got into a private airplane, in perfect health, and then the airplane plowed into the ground,
23 killing all three at once. It helps certain people that they can no longer talk. They wrote, and helped
24 describe, in Tesla's own federal patent filings, the fact that Tesla's batteries would kill you, maim
25 you and/or burn your house down. Tesla did not realize this when they paid the federal patent filing

1 fees. When Tesla, later realized this, they were forced to give all of their patents away for free.
2 These three senior engineers had deep inside knowledge of the Tesla Motors operations. Their
3 aircraft suffered an "Engineering failure". This case involves potential crimes by two Presidents of
4 The United States, their staffs, U.S. Senators and the Silicon Valley oligarchs that financed and
5 controlled them, two wars and trillions of dollars of manipulated U.S. Treasury monies and stock
6 marke monies. How could anyone think this was NOT a life-endanging situation for the Plaintiff?
7

8 The other attacks, which only state-sponsored attackers would seem to be capable of, were:
9

10 **HARM #3: THE GOVERNMENT DEFRAUDED PLAINTIFF OVER AND OVER:**
11

12 Government agency bosses solicited Plaintiff with false promises of future loans, carbon
13 credit sales, billions of dollars of stock market valuation profits, contracts and/or grants from
14 their agencies and caused the Plaintiff and his associates to expend millions of dollars and
15 years of their time for projects which those government bosses had covertly promised to
16 their friends. They used Plaintiff's 'nice guy' brand as a "smokescreen" to cover their illegal
17 government slush-funds for Plaintiffs competitors and personal enemies who were OWNED
18 by government officials. By using this tactic, the attackers drained the Plaintiff's funds and
19 forced Plaintiff into an economic disaster, without the government bosses fearing any
20 reprisal for their scam. The crony insiders made hundreds of billions of dollars in profit in
21 the notorious Solyndra-type scandals as seen in the CBS 60 Minutes episode: "**The**
22 **Cleantech Crash**", thousands of TV news segments and the related GAO and Congressional
23 corruption reports.
24

25
26
27 **HARM #4: THE PLACING OF MOLES AND SPYING ON PLAINTIFF:**
28

White House financier Kleiner Perkins placed moles inside of Plaintiff's companies in order

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

to sabotage, delay and misdirect operations. The moles were discovered to be staff of Kleiner Perkins. The main offices of Kleiner Perkins were broken into, per San Mateo County police reports, and records of corruption copied or duplicated by a state-sponsored intelligence agency entity, which provided further proof that Kleiner Perkins and the White House were exchanging Quid Pro Quo. Tom Perkins, one of the founders of Kleiner Perkins told Plaintiff that he was on “A government hit-list” for exposure the corruption of Silicon Valley titans and government executives. John Doerr, another partner, told Scott at a VC conference, that he will make sure the government kills of any effort to support fuel cells (which compete with his and Elon Musk’s lithium batteries)

HARM #5: BLOCKADES OF PLAINTIFF ACCESS TO LEGAL COUNSEL:

Detailed further in the EXHIBITS, below, Defendants used every trick in the book to keep Plaintiff from gaining equitable legal justice or representation because exposure of Defendants crimes would shame them Government officials and the federal agency: Legal Services Corporation (LSC corporation -A federal agency dedicated to providing legal services to citizens) blockaded Plaintiffs rights to legal representation in order to prevent Plaintiffs from personally suing the attackers because such a lawsuit would have embarrassed corrupt public officials. High tech law firms that were discussing a services agreement with Plaintiffs were threatened and ordered to not help Plaintiffs or “*they would be black-listed or be cut-off from tens of millions of dollars of Google, Netflix, *** FACEBOOK *** and government contracts*”. Individual lawyers were threatened with black-listing and getting “*flooded with more filings than you could ever respond to in your life-time...*” LSC officials, who were almost entirely Obama Administration associates, refused to assist with lawyer referrals. That is a violation of their federal contract with The

U.S. Government .

***HARM #6: HIRED CHARACTER ASSASSINATION AND DEFAMATION SERVICES
THAT DEFENDANTS USED TO DESTROY PLAINTIFF'S LIFE***

Defendants purchased over \$30 Million dollars of attacks against Plaintiff with Media Matters, The Gawker/Gizmodo Tabloid empire and Google. This attack is detailed in the attached EXHIBITS. It was equal in scope and cost to an attack on a Presidential candidate by another Presidential candidates opposition research task force. A sophisticated animated attack film was produced by Google/YouTube and Nicholas Denton attacking Plaintiffs. An animated film is an expensive effort involving considerable time and expense. An attacker must be well financed to undertake such an effort. The film was published on YouTube and locked onto the very top search result line on every YouTube search in front of 7.5 billion internet users for over a decade. The damage to Plaintiffs reputation is estimated in the tens of millions of dollars. YouTube steadfastly refused to remove or adjust the search results even though YouTube executives knew Plaintiffs and knew that the video represented a character assassination attempt against Plaintiffs because YouTube owners finance the political campaigns of the public officials who ordered the attacks. While Google/YouTube stated to Congress that all of it's search results are arbitrary, the never-moving search result of this attack video proved that Google's and YouTube's search results are manually manipulated by human maintained black-lists. The corrupt officials hired Nicholas Guido Denton and his character-assassination-for-hire sleazy tabloid publication empire known as Gawker Media AKA Gizmodo Media. They own Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik and a number of fake news sites based in the USA and near-Russian regions. The offshore sites are used for money laundering and tax evasion. The FBI has been asked to interview and financially

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

trace the payments and command-and-control orders back and forth between Nicholas Guido Denton and his attacker/operatives: Ian Fette, Adrian Covert, Nick Cook, Gabrielle Darbyshire, John Hermann, Patrick George, et al and Google. All of whom transferred payments and communications between each other to conspire, operate, produce and publish the articles, videos, blogs and server manipulations for the attacks against the victims around the world. These attacks resulted in billions of dollars of damages to the victims. The orders for these attacks can be traced back to The White House. This ended Plaintiff's life but created an enemy for the DOES and ROES that has lots of of investigator contacts and nothing to lose. The Defendents have caused the deaths of more children than any other entities. Harassment is harassment - whether it happens at school, at the playground, on the job, or on the internet. But online bullying is downplayed. Victims are stigmatized, mocked as oversensitive snowflakes who can't take a joke. Plenty of people are unwilling to accept that victims can be seriously hurt by this behavior. Internet harassment is not only real and damaging, it represents a threat to the most vulnerable among us: children. Cyberbullying statistics show the high cost of online harassment. From increased depression and suicide rates to social anxiety and alienation, the pain and consequences of online harassment are as severe as they are undeniable. Imagine every new friend, potential date, your fiancé, your bank, anybody.. typing your name on the internet and seeing that Google says that you are a scumbag. Nobody will ever talk to you, hire you or help you ever again. Google, Gawker and Gizmodo are now known to partner together on character assassination efforts. One of their bloggers hired to "kill" Plaintiff even advertises himself on *** FACEBOOK ***, globally, as an assassin-for-hire. Plaintiff has acquired the banking records of these Co-conspirators showing that they, and the U.S. government wired millions and millions of dollars between each other for these "spy agency" dirty tricks hit jobs.

Google knows Plaintiff personally. Plaintiff went to school and events with the founders. It

1 was a lie for Google and YouTube to say over-and-over that they never heard of Plaintiff so they
2 “can’t remove the attacks because it is ‘just how their algorithm works’...” The founder’s teacher:
3 Rajeev Motwani, revealed to Plaintiff that Google manually sets all of their key search results BY
4 HAND. Rajeev died ~~was murdered~~ for telling the truth about Google’s insidious plans and internet
5 manipulations. Investigators suspect shell fish toxin or a reverse taser-like electronic heart-attack
6 inducing defib device was used to kill him.

7
8 Plaintiff’s charges proved that Google manually rigs search results based on cronyism and
9 that Google/YouTube lied to Congress about not doing so. This allowed Plaintiff to drive U.S.
10 agencies and State AG’s to sue Google/Alphabet/YouTube for being lying, manipulate, society-
11 abusing, child-killing, monopolistic monsters.

12 Take note of this letter from one of Plaintiff’s past lawyers to those attackers that were hired
13 by THE WHITE HOUSE to produce fake articles, animated videos and fake blogger comments, all
14 tracked back to the same servers and attackers:

15
16 Even though the Google/Gawker/Gizmodo produced and hosted attack articles and animated
17 videos were completely discredited as a “*character assassination hatchet job paid for by*
18 *politicians*”; by every known expert, Defendants still post them on their servers with hidden “P8”
19 codes covertly embedded in the search engines to trick the public, in front of 7 billion internet
20 readers as “payback”, in order to operate their reprisal attacks. No other servers or publishers in the
21 world host and lock this attack media on their search results EXCEPT DEFENDANTS MEDIA
22 COMPANIES. This ALONE would seem to prove that Defendants did the harms that they are
23 charged with doing as Defendants are the only ones running, hosting and operating the attacks on
24 Plaintiff on all of Earth!

25
26 Plaintiff has, over and over, demanded that the FBI, POLICE, FINCEN and other
27 enforcement investigators reveal what they discovered when they traced the compensation routes
28 and command-and-control management of these attackers. ***Plaintiff demands that this Court order***

1 ***the FBI, FINCEN and the NSA to reveal those compensation path records on the attackers from***
2 ***their investigations.***

3 One might wonder why it has been so hard to get this information from the authorities. If the
4 FBI reveals that the path-of-compensation goes from Adrian Covert, Nicholas Guido Denton and
5 John Herrman right to the Oval Office in the White House, then the question is asked and answered.
6 White House staff members Jay Carney, David Axelrod and Robert Gibbs have said “maybe”.
7 White House staff insider Jofi Joseph has said “without a doubt”. Rahm Emanuel’s White House
8 staff say that, while in the White House, Rahm ordered all kinds of hit-jobs on whistle-blowers.

9
10 Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media have engaged in the origination of, production of and
11 global broadcast of compensated character assassination videos and articles as a reprisal-service-
12 for-hire (like Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Black Water and other related services) because we were
13 FBI and GAO witnesses against Gawker and Gizmodo financiers. As 1.) the only publishing group
14 on Earth to have engaged in such attacks and 2.) since the attacks were financed by complainants
15 business competitors and 3.) since adversaries own staff have admitted to the scheme and 4.) since
16 communications, FBI records and previous litigation records prove complainants assertions,
17 complainants are justified in their demands.

18
19 The attacks and broadcast of multiple defamation attack articles and videos by Gawker
20 Media, Google, YouTube and Gizmodo Media has been operating as recently as this today, thus the
21 statutes of limitations are not exceeded. Well known U.S. government political figures and political
22 financiers hired Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media and “Nick” Denton to undertake these ongoing
23 attacks and to manipulate web servers to operate those attacks globally and permanently. The
24 attackers hired Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, “Nick Guido Denton”, Univision/Unimoda,
25 Google and YouTube (essentially all the same people) to engage in reprisals because of the
26 Plaintiff’s testimony against the Cartel in federal investigations and because the plaintiff had
27 superior technologies that the attackers could not compete with. Transaction documents showing
28

1 payments between the “bad guys” in this case, were recently uncovered in other court cases.

2 Defendant adversaries produced a series of videos and defamation articles and used internet
3 server technology tricks to place those attack materials in front of 7.5+ billion people day after day,
4 year after year, refreshing the attack daily. This is, essentially, a “hit-job” service that Univision
5 provides as a side gig through it’s TV networks and it’s offensive tabloid brands of: Gizmodo,
6 Jalopnik, Jezebel, Gawker and other Univision/Unimoda assets along with it’s partnership with
7 Google for the operation of such attacks. “Univision uses this service as a political-payback tool for
8 politicians as well as an anti-trust violating anti- competition tool for its clients”, claim Plaintiffs.
9 The Plaintiffs are informed and believe and, based on that information and belief, allege that the
10 named Defendants herein and each of the parties designated as a “USPTO” and every one of them,
11 are legally responsible jointly and severally for the Federal RICO Statute violating events and
12 happenings referred to in the within Complaint for Intentional Interference with Contractual
13 Relations, Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Cyberstalking, Fraud,
14 Invasion of Privacy, Unfair Competition and Theft of Intellectual Property and RICO statute
15 violations. In particular, Defendants took compensation for, and engaged in, malicious and
16 coordinated tactics to seek to destroy, damage, harm and ruin Plaintiffs via an illicit media “hit-job”
17 service which Defendants regularly offered in covert commerce and engaged in regularly against
18 targets that Defendants were hired to seek to ruin as part of reprisal, vendetta, retribution programs
19 operated for business and political competitors of the targets. Historical facts and other history-
20 making lawsuits by third parties, has proven Defendants to be the single largest core violator of
21 human rights, in this manner, in the world. Defendants offer the service of creating and publishing
22 contrived “hatchet job” movies, fake news articles, faked comments and repercussion backlinks
23 describing the Plaintiffs in horrific descriptors. Defendants media holdings manipulate national
24 elections.

25 Let’s be clear on this: Plaintiff does swear, warrant and certify that Google, ***
26
27
28

1 FACEBOOK ***, YouTube, The Elon Musk Group, Twitter and LinkedIn plan and operate the
2 conscious and manual manipulation of national American elections and international elections.

3 The attack material is reposted, “impression accelerated”, “click-farm fertilized” and
4 Streisand array cloned over-and-over by Defendants massive character assassination technology via
5 servers, algorithms and technical internet manipulation, daily, as recently as yesterday. Defendants
6 also embed the article in job hiring databases on Axciom, Palantir, Taleo and other databases used
7 by all hiring and recruiting services in order to prevent Plaintiffs from ever receiving income for
8 W2 or 1099 work ever again. Defendants own staff then post thousands of fake comments, below
9 each attack item, under fake names, designed to make it appear as if a broad consensus of the public
10 agreed with the defamation messages by Defendants. Almost all of the fake comments were created
11 by a handful of Defendants own staff pretending to be a variety of outside voices. Defendants
12 provide the service of delivering “weaponized text and media to corporate clients”. Defendants
13 replicated various versions of these attack items across all of their different brands and facade front
14 publications and added additional fake comments to each on a regular basis.
15
16
17

18 A. Defendants have formed a business and political and industry manipulation “Cartel”
19 intended to inflict corruption upon the United States Federal Government, The State Government
20 and the California State authorities, as defined by law under RICO Racketeering Statutes for the
21 purpose of manipulating the value of stock market holdings and controlling political policy
22 decisions.
23

24
25 B. In exchange for financing, Defendants Clients gave Defendants Associates business
26 monopolies and government contract monopolies and media distribution exclusives worth trillions
27 of dollars. This was an illegal quid-pro-quo arrangement. Plaintiffs designed, produced, received
28 patent awards on, received federal commendations for, received federal funding for and first

1 marketed the very products which Defendants copied and made billions of dollars on and which
2 Defendants felt might beat them in hundreds of billions of dollars of competitive market positions
3 and stock market trades. Companies operated by Plaintiffs included automobile design and
4 manufacturing companies, global television broadcasting companies and energy companies which
5 are commonly known to have generated hundreds of billions of dollars in profits, revenue and stock
6 market transactions for Defendants competing holdings at Plaintiffs expense. Defendants operated a
7 criminal CARTEL as defined by RICO LAWS and that Cartel ran an an anti-trust market rigging
8 and crony political payola operation. Defendants spent tens of millions of dollars attacking
9 Plaintiffs because Defendants were not clever enough to build better products. Defendants chose to
10 “CHEAT RATHER THAN COMPETE” and to try to kill Plaintiffs lives, careers, brands, revenues,
11 assets, businesses and efforts via malicious and ongoing efforts.
12

13
14 C. The U.S. Attorney General has been informed, in writing, of these charges and Plaintiffs
15 understand that DOJ officials have an ongoing investigation into these matters. Under investigation
16 for these crimes, New York State attorney general Eric Schneiderman was recently forced to quit over
17 corruption and sexual cult charges involving the NXIUM group and related matters.
18

19
20 D. Due to Defendants fears of the loss of up a trillion dollars of crony payola from their
21 illegal abuse of taxpayer funds, Defendants engaged in felonious actions in order seek to intimidate
22 others.
23

24
25 E. Just as, over time, the Watergate crimes are now intimately documented and detailed;
26 over time The “Cleantech Crash Scandal” as featured on CBS News 60 MINUTES TV Show, has
27 been detailed and exposed in numerous federal, news media and public investigations. Significant
28 barriers to justice were illicitly placed in front of Plaintiffs by Defendants.

1 F. Defendants organized and operated a series of malicious attacks and thefts against
2 Plaintiffs as reprisals and competitive vendettas. Defendants report to the FBI, GAO, FTC, SEC,
3 Congressional Ethics Committees, The White House and other entities on a regular basis.
4

5 G. Defendants and their associates Elon Musk, Jon Doerr, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Steve
6 Jureverson, Vinod Khosla and other members of the “Silicon Valley Cartel” are documented in tens
7 of thousands of news reports, federal law enforcement reports and Congressional reports in their
8 attempts to infiltrate and corrupt the U.S. Government in an attempt to route trillions of tax dollars
9 to Defendants private accounts. Defendants perceived Plaintiffs as a threat to their crimes. Federal
10 investigators, news investigators and whistle-blowers have reported to Plaintiffs that Defendants
11 were the financiers and/or beneficiaries and/or command and control operatives for the crimes and
12 corruption disclosed in the CBS NEWS 60 Minutes investigative reports entitled: “The Cleantech
13 Crash”, “The Lobbyists Playbook” and “Congress Trading on Insider Information”; The Feature
14 Film: “The Car and the Senator” Federal lawsuits with case numbers of: USCA Case #16-5279; and
15 over 50 other cases including the ongoing “Solyndra” investigation and federal and Congressional
16 investigations detailed at:
17

18 <http://greencorruption.blogspot.com>

19 and

20 [https://theintercept.com/2016/04/22/googles-remarkably-close-relationship-with-the-obama-white-](https://theintercept.com/2016/04/22/googles-remarkably-close-relationship-with-the-obama-white-house-in-two-charts/)
21 [house-in-two-charts/](https://theintercept.com/2016/04/22/googles-remarkably-close-relationship-with-the-obama-white-house-in-two-charts/)
22

23
24
25 ... and thousands of other documentation sites. Plaintiffs are charged with engaging in these crimes
26 and corruptions against Plaintiffs and financing and ordering attacks on Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs
27 engaged in U.S. commerce and did everything properly and legally. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs
28 did not steal technology. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs did not bribe elected officials in order to get

1 market exclusives. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs did not poach Defendants staff. Unlike Defendants,
2 Plaintiffs were the original inventors of their products. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs did not operate
3 “AngelGate Collusion” schemes and “High Tech No Poaching Secret Agreements” and a Mafia-
4 like Silicon Valley exclusionary Cartel. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs did not place their employees
5 in the U.S. Government, The California Government, The U.S. Patent Office and The USPTO in
6 order to control government contracts to Defendants exclusive advantage. Unlike Defendants,
7 Plaintiffs did not place moles inside of competitors companies. Unlike Defendants, Plaintiffs did not
8 hire Gawker Media and Think Progress to seek to kill Plaintiffs careers, lives and brands. Unlike
9 Defendants, Plaintiffs did not rig the stock market with “pump-and-dump”, “Flash Boy” and
10 “Google-stock/PR-pump” schemes. Plaintiffs engaged in hard work
11 every day of their lives for the time-frame in question under the belief that the good old American
12 work ethic and just rewards for your creations was still in effect in the U.S.A., and that the thieves
13 and criminals that attempted to interdict Plaintiffs would face Justice. In a number of circumstances
14 Defendants took advantages of Plaintiffs hard work via come-ons; Defendants then made billions of
15 dollars from Plaintiffs work at Plaintiffs expense and attacked Plaintiffs in order to reduce Plaintiffs
16 competitive and legal recovery options.
17
18

19
20 H. Defendants exchanged payments for services via cash, stock warrants, illicit personal
21 services, media control and a technology known as a “Streisand Effect Massive Server Array”
22 which can control public impressions for, or against a person, party, ideology or issue. Defendants
23 StreisandEffect internet system was used to destroy Plaintiffs in reprisal, retribution, and vendetta
24 for Plaintiffs help with law enforcement efforts in the case and because Plaintiffs companies competed
25 with Defendants companies with superior technologies.
26
27

28 I. Defendants have used their Streisand Effect technology to build a character assassination

1 ring of bloggers and hired shill “reporters” who engage in a process called a “Shiva”. This process
2 is named after a Plaintiff in a similar case named: Shiva Ayyadurai, the husband of Actress Fran
3 Drescher. Shiva Ayyadurai holds intellectual property rights to part of Defendants email
4 technology. In fact, the people most threatened by the Shiva Ayyadurai patent right claims,
5 ironically turn out to be Defendants and, in particular, Defendants associates Elon Musk, Jon Doerr,
6 Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Steve Jurvetson, Vinod Khosla and other members of the “Silicon Mafia”
7 who own most of the main companies exploiting email technology. Were Shiva Ayyadurai to
8 prevail in his claims, Defendants would owe him billions of dollars. “Running A Shiva” involves
9 the production of a series of Defamation articles by bloggers who act as if they are independent from
10 Defendants but are in fact, not. Defendants used “the Shiva” to attack and seek to destroy Donald
11 Trump, Shiva Ayyadurai, Plaintiffs, and numerous political figures. Univision, Unimoda, Jalo pnik,
12 Gawker Media, Gizmodo and over a hundred stealth-ed, and overt, assets of Defendants have been
13 using “The Shiva” network to attack Donald Trump, Shiva Ayyadurai, Plaintiffs, and numerous
14 political figures as recently as this morning, thus, the time bar restarts every day. Plaintiffs have
15 pleaded with Defendants to cease their attacks but Defendants have refused to comply. Even with
16 Fran Drescher’s ongoing royalty payments from her popular television series, friends have reported
17 that the attacks on the Ayyadurai family have been devastating and have caused massive damages
18 and personal and emotional devastation.
19
20
21

22 J. Defendants produced animated movies, attack articles, fake blog comments, DNS routes,
23 “Shiva” Campaigns, and other attack media against Plaintiffs and expended over \$30 million dollars
24 in value, as quantified by Defendants partner: Google, in placing the attack material in front of 7.5
25 billion people on the planet for the rest of Plaintiffs lifetime. No person could survive such an attack
26 and in the case of Plaintiffs, lives were destroyed and multiple companies invested into by
27 Plaintiffs, which Defendants made over \$50B off of the copies of, were destroyed because they
28

1 competed with Defendants.
2

3 8. The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based on that information and belief allege
4 that at all times mentioned in the within Complaint, all Defendants were the agents, owners and
5 employees of their affiliated insiders and, in doing the things alleged in this Complaint, were acting
6 within the course and scope of such agency and employment.
7

8
9 9. As to any corporate employer specifically named, or named as a “USPTO” herein, the
10 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and therefore allege that any act, conduct, course of conduct or
11 omission, alleged herein to have been undertaken with sufficient, malice, fraud and oppression to
12 justify an award of punitive damages, was, in fact, completed with the advance knowledge and
13 conscious disregard, authorization, or ratification of and by an officer, director, or managing agent
14 of such corporation. The Statute of Limitations and time bar on this case has not expired. Plaintiffs
15 only became aware of **all** of the facts recently due to the FBI, Congressional and hacker-exposed
16 investigation data on Defendants operating and receiving cash, rewards and assets from an illegal
17 and illicit set of political slush-funds established to compensate them for financing political
18 campaigns. The Sony, Clinton, DNC, HSBC, Panama Papers and other hacks and publication of all
19 of the relevant files and the Congressional investigation of illicit activities and the continuing
20 issuance of federal documents to Plaintiffs confirming Plaintiffs intellectual property are all vastly
21 WITHIN the statutes of limitations to allow this case to proceed to Jury Trial. Plaintiffs has had a
22 long, ongoing and high-level interaction with Defendant in both the work effort and the
23 monetization and collection effort. Plaintiffs has been continually interactive with Defendant in
24 order to try to collect his money. Attacks and interference with Plaintiffs has occurred as recently as
25 this week by Defendants. The Plaintiffs, whose multiple businesses ventures had already suffered
26 significant damage as the result of the online attacks of the Defendants, contacted renowned
27
28

1 experts, and especially Search Engine Optimization and forensic internet technology (IT) experts, to
2 clear and clean the internet of the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information
3 belittling the Plaintiffs, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, product
4 developer and project director from their websites.

5 None of the technology experts hired by the Plaintiffs, at substantial expense, were
6 successful in their attempts to clear, manage or even modify the false, defamatory, misleading and
7 manufactured information belittling the Plaintiffs, attacking him and discrediting their reputation as
8 an inventor, product developer and project director which only Defendants, the controlling entity of
9 the internet, refused to remove. In fact, those experts were able to even more deeply confirm, via
10 technical forensic internet analysis and criminology technology examination techniques that
11 Defendants was rigging internet search results for its own purposes and anti-trust goals.

12 All efforts, including efforts to suppress or de-rank the results of a name search for
13 “Plaintiffs” failed, and even though tests on other brands and names, for other unrelated parties did
14 achieve balance, the SEO and IT tests clearly proved that Defendants was consciously, manually,
15 maliciously and intentionally rigging its search engine and adjacent results in order to “mood
16 manipulate” an attack on Plaintiffs.

17 In fact, the experts and all of them, instead, informed the Plaintiffs, that, not only had
18 Defendants locked the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the
19 Plaintiffs, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and
20 project director into its search engine so that the information could never be cleared, managed or
21 even modified, Defendants had assigned the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured
22 information belittling the Plaintiffs, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor,
23 project developer and project director “PR8” algorithmic internet search engine coding embedded in
24 the internet information-set programmed into Defendantsinternet architecture. [See, Information
25 received from one of over 30 IT, forensic network investigators and forensic SEO test analysts, a
26
27
28

1 true and correct copy of which is attached hereto in the Exhibits.]

2
3 Per the many world-wide search engine results forensic analysis experts Plaintiff hired –
4 They had never seen search engines results that were locked so maliciously, manually and
5 unmovably into Google’s and YouTube’s search results. “It was as if the CIA, or Larry Page
6 himself, was doing this”, said one. Plaintiff placed associates inside of Google and, among other
7 things, acquired bank records proving Google and the attackers were exchanging millions of dollar
8 with each other.
9

10 Plaintiff even went to the effort of placing nearly a thousand virtual forensic test servers
11 around the globe, using empty space on third-party existing servers owned by hundreds of other
12 people, in order to monitor and metricize the manipulations of search results of examples of the
13 Plaintiffs name in comparison to the manipulations for PR hype for Defendants financial partners,
14 for example: the occurrence of the phrase ”Elon Musk”, Defendants business partner and
15 beneficiary, over a five year period. The EU, China, Russia, and numerous research groups (ie:
16 [http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2015/08/how-google-could-rig-the-2016-election-](http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2015/08/how-google-could-rig-the-2016-election-121548)
17 [121548](http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2015/08/how-google-could-rig-the-2016-election-121548) By Robert Epstein) have validated these forensic studies of Defendants architect-ed
18 character assassination and partner hype system .
19

20 The “PR8” codes are hidden codes within the Defendants software and internet architecture
21 which profess to state that a link is a “fact” or is an authoritative factual document per Defendants
22 research. By placing “PR8” codes in the defamatory links that Defendants was manipulating about
23 Plaintiffs, Defendants was seeking to tell the world that the links pointed to “Facts” and not
24 “Opinions”. Defendants embedded many covert codes in their architecture which marketing the
25 material in the attack links and video as “facts” according to Defendants.
26

27 The “PR8” codes are a set of codes assigned and programmed into the internet, by the
28 Defendants to matters it designates as dependable and true, thereby attributing primary status as the

1 most Defendants is known to have provided tens of millions of dollars to this tabloid chain per
2 Defendants financial staff, SEC filings and disclosures in other legal cases.

3 Plaintiff also used CIA technology call “Steganography” to embed text-based messages and
4 codes into photos and videos in order track Google and YouTube’s global impression manipulations
5 and create a significant and important link to be viewed by online researchers regarding the subject
6 of their search.

7
8 Defendants were fully aware that all of the information in the attack articles against
9 Plaintiffs was false, Defendants promo ted these attacks as vindictive vendetta-like retribution
10 against Plaintiff.

11 At all times Defendants maintained it had no subjective control or input into the rankings of
12 links obtained by online researchers as the result of a search on its search engines and that its search
13 engine algorithms and the functions of its media assets were entirely “arbitrary” according to the
14 owners and founders of Defendants.

15
16 In or about April 15, 2015, The European Union Commission took direct aim at Defendants
17 charging the their Internet-search giant with skewing and rigging search engine results in order to
18 damage those who competed with Defendants business and ideological interests.

19 In those proceedings, although Defendants continued to maintain that it has no subjective
20 control or input into the rankings of links obtained by online researchers as the result of a search
21 on its search engines and that its staff had no ability to reset, target, mood manipulate, arrange
22 adjacent text or links, up-rank, down-rank or otherwise engage in human input which would change
23 algorithm, search results, perceptions or subliminal perspectives of consumers, voters, or any other
24 class of users of the worldwide web, also known as The Internet, the court, in accord with evidence
25 submitted, determined that Defendants, does in fact have and does in fact exercise, subjective
26 control over the results of information revealed by searches on its search engine.
27

28 Defendants has a variety of such hidden codes and has various internal names for such codes

1 besides, and in addition to, “PR8”. Defendants has been proven to use these fact vs. fiction
2 rankings to affect elections, competitors rankings, ie: removing the company: NEXTAG from
3 competing with Defendants on-line; or removing political candidates from superior internet
4 exposure and it is believed by investigators and journalists, that Defendants are being protected
5 from criminal prosecution by public officials who Defendants have compensated with un-reported
6 campaign funding. As a result of receiving this information, the Plaintiffs became convinced of the
7 strength and veracity of their original opinion that the Defendants, had, in fact posted the false,
8 defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Plaintiffs, attacking them and
9 discrediting Plaintiffs reputation as inventor, project developer and project designer had been
10 intentionally designed, published, orchestrated and posted by them in retaliation to the true
11 testimony provided by the Plaintiffs, to the Government Office of Accountability of the United
12 States in May of 2005, and to the Securities and Exchange Commission, The Federal Bureau of
13 Investigation, The United States Senate Ethics Committee and other investigating parties, and had
14 been disseminated maliciously and intentionally by them in an effort to do damage to their
15 reputation and to their business prospects and to cause him severe and irremediable emotional
16 distress.
17
18

19
20 In fact, the Plaintiffs, has suffered significant and irremediable damage to their reputation
21 and to their financial and business interests. As a natural result of this damage, as intended by the
22 Defendants, Plaintiffshas also suffered severe and irremediable emotional distress.
23

24
25 To this day, despite the age of the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured
26 information belittling the Plaintiffs, attacking him and discrediting their reputation as an inventor,
27 project developer and project director, in the event any online researcher searches for information
28 regarding the Plaintiffs, the same information appears at the top of any list of resulting links.

1
2 In addition, due to their control of all major internet database interfaces, Defendants have
3 helped to load negative information about Plaintiffs on every major HR and employment database
4 that Plaintiffs might be searched on, thus denying Plaintiffs all reasonable rights to income around
5 the globe by linking every internal job, hiring, recruiter, employment, consulting, contracting or
6 other revenue engagement opportunity for Plaintiffs back to false “red flag” or negative false
7 background data which is designed to prevent Plaintiff from future income in retribution for
8 Plaintiffs assistance to federal investigators.
9

10
11 ***HARM #7: DEFENDANTS USED FACTORY-PROCESSED SOCIAL MEDIA ATTACKS***
12 ***AGAINST PLAINTIFF:***
13

14 In the attached book: “***ATTACKED***”, the Court will see the CIA, FSB and MI6 tactics and
15 techniques that are trained and practiced, illicitly, by political officials. Social networking
16 sites including MeetUp, Match, *** FACEBOOK ***, etc. and all other IAC-owned, or
17 similar, sites (IAC is managed by Hillary Clinton's daughter, whose Mother knew Plaintiffs)
18 have had their profiles, texts, and inter-member communications, since those companies
19 were started, hacked or purchased. The financiers of almost everyone of these sites are also
20 the financiers of the suspects. The attack service providers use Palantir, Acronym, In-Q-Tel
21 financed data analysis software to analyze every activity in those services in order to find
22 honey-trap, blackmail and social conflict exploitation opportunities. Your social life will,
23 essentially, end. Every photo on every social site is cross checked with every other photo on
24 the internet in order to cull your *** FACEBOOK ***, Linkedin, Snapchat and other social
25 media together to create a total manipulation profile data file on you. New contacts on these
26 sites were contacted by the attackers and told to “avoid” the Plaintiffs in order to damage
27
28

1 Plaintiffs. These attacks were all documented and operated against Plaintiff as detailed in the
2 **EXHIBITS** and evidence repositories. Defendants bought these services from Eastern Bloc
3 nations, China and South America. “The Agency”, in Russia does much of this work. They
4 are hard at work, even as recently as today according to the CIA, pushing a “positive spin”
5 on Russia’s invasion of the Ukraine based on Hunter Biden’s computer leaks.
6

7
8 ***HARM #8: GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS CAUSED SSA AND HUD BENEFITS***

9 ***BLOCKADES AND MANIPULATIONS AS REPRISAL AGAINST PLAINTIFF:***

10 Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits were stone-walled.

11 Applications for benefits for the Plaintiffs were intentionally “lost” like a “Lois Lerner hard
12 drive”. Files in the application process “disappeared”. A U.S. Senator ordered Plaintiffs
13 benefits to “never be approved” even though Plaintiffs worked 60 hour+ weeks for decades
14 in service to their nation and their community. A SSA official in the local SSA office, who
15 had a devout expressed hatred against one United States President ordered a benefits
16 blockade against Plaintiffs because he found out that Plaintiffs ex-lawyer now worked in the
17 White House. Forensic evidence and backgrounders on every person who worked on, or had
18 access to, Applicants files, records, benefits decisions and related data sets shows that a
19 number of those employees and contractors were members, financiers, web promoters or
20 supporters of ANTIFA anarchy groups or KKK or Proud Boys related political activist-type
21 groups. In San Francisco and Marin Counties SSA offices, in employees workspaces and on
22 their *** FACEBOOK *** and MySpace sites, many employees proudly display pictures of
23 themselves wearing their pink "pussy hats", black riot gear and sporting political tattoos.
24 FBI records and IG investigations show that SSA has the highest percentage of political
25 activist employees of any federal agency. Such persons are inclined to become drunk with
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

power when allowed access to the trillions of dollars of government technology on the SSA file and decisions systems. A number of these persons have worked for, or with, U.S. Senators and other politicians who targeted Applicant in political reprisal. At least 3 persons in the San Francisco SSA office, at least 2 persons in the San Mateo SSA office and at least 2 persons in Marin SSA office are known to have engaged in such actions. Applicants funding and benefits were manipulated, so as to harm Applicant, as political reprisal as vendetta for his provision of testimony to federal investigators in a trillion dollar political corruption matter involving famous political figures featured in global news coverage. A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector General's offices and federal courts. It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from certain corrupt politicians. These actions are felony violations of the law. Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, GOVT, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens. In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the USPTO Patent programs, it is clearly proven that the USPTO was used as a slush-fund by some GOVT executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and sabotaging their competitors. The GOVT Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with The U.S. Government and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime. Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by GOVT officials, in retribution for reporting a crime. VA officials attacked hundreds of

1 citizens who reported corruption, ie:

2 <https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers->

3 [facing-retribution](https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution). As shown in this report: [https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-](https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/)

4 [report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-](https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/)

5 [affairs/](https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/), Agencies attack often and harshly. CIA and NSA executives have been widely

6 shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they don't like, ie:

7 [https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html)

8 [tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html) , and hundreds of other news links that can be

9 provided. Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have

10 been proven to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed

11 attacks on business competitors. In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT

12 AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS

13 AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA! The IRS, and hordes of other

14 government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people

15 for presumed political differences.

16 Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too

17 to harm citizens who speak out? The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In

18 an unprecedented victorious conclusion to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the

19 bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the

20 Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and issued an apology to those

21 people for doing so. In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it

22 from ever engaging in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future. In a

23 proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of

24 U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-

25 exempt status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

process. Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy. As set forth in the proposed Order: “The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiffs during the tax-exempt determinations process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiffs’ information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong.

For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.” Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message that a government agency’s targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast. The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS’s express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future. Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more than

1 five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10,
2 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly
3 implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

4
5 This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful
6 warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution
7 in order to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers. In addition to the IRS's admissions of
8 and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order would specifically award Plaintiffs
9 the following: - A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax
10 code to any tax-exempt applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful
11 positions it espouses on any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a
12 particular political movement, position or viewpoint; - A declaration by the Court that any
13 action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied evenhandedly and not based solely on a
14 tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint, or associations or perceived
15 associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and - A declaration
16 by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering the
17 United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights.

18
19 Disparate treatment of taxpayers based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions
20 the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations
21 with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful. In the Order, the
22 IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against the
23 Plaintiffs– i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained
24 by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of
25 volunteers, political affiliations of an organization's officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In
26 addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

the targeting scheme. Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their viewpoints (i.e., “policy positions”) and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just “inappropriate” – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional. To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency’s operations going forward, the IRS is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order’s terms. This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this regard, “[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS’s] conduct,” as it is “without question” that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment “based solely on their viewpoint or ideology.” Sessions further confirmed his Department’s commitment to ensuring that the “abuse of power” in which the IRS engaged here “will not be tolerated.” It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory.

This marks a years-long fight for justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public. This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who speak out about political corruption crimes. In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog’s investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from “certain people” inside the Social Security Administration’s Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin Watchdog’s opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim. Following Wednesday’s story of a whistle-blower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said. Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will “cooperate,” the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative. “This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we’d like them to be,” the source said. “Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can’t duck.” A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on “multiple whistle-blower retaliation claims.” The committee continues to request information from the SSA. The whistle-blower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was called to testify in a misconduct case.

The incident involved “inappropriate behavior” by an administrative law judge, she said. “They are so corrupt. It’s absolutely horrible,” said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough. “I’m at point where they don’t care about me, I don’t see why I’m protecting them. This is my last resort,” she said. “I want to do my work

without fear of retaliation.” She said she has contacted the Senate committee. “I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. See these reports:

- [WSJ: 131 Federal Judges Broke Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had Financial Interest...](#)

[Dirty profits from bench...](#)

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/hidden-interest-judges-financial-conflicts-graphic-11632834079>

- <https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421>

- They said people are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story,” the whistle-blower said. Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits. Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that Milwaukee ODAR has a “high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to address the issue.” Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better than they are. The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation. There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff.

1
2 **HARM #9: DEFENDANTS USED BLACKLISTING AGAINST PLAINTIFF:**

3 ie: [The U.S. Stops Silicon Valley's 'No Poaching' Deals. . . a ...](#)
4 <https://www.aol.com › 2010 › 09 › 26 › doj-stops-silicon-valleys-no-poaching-deals-a-few->
5 [years-t](#)
6

7 DOJ Stops **Silicon Valley's 'No Poaching'** Deals. ... But anyone on the ground in **Silicon**
8 **Valley** knows that a full-blown war for talent is under way, with checkbooks blazin.

- 9
- 10 • Government officials and tech oligarchs contacted members of the National Venture Capital
11 association (NVCA) and created national “black-lists” to blockade Plaintiffs from receiving
12 investor funding. This was also confirmed in a widely published disclosure by Tesla Motors
13 Daryl Siry and in published testimony. If Silicon Valley political campaign finance oligarchs
14 black-list you (see the "AngelGate" Scandal and the "High Tech No Poaching Class Action
15 Lawsuit" cases) you will never get investor funding again.
16

17
18
19 **HARM #10: DEFENDANTS ORDERED FOIA OBFUSCATION OF PLAINTIFF'S FOIA**
20 **AND STILL HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO MANY FOR YEARS BECAUSE THEY**
21 **SHAME DEFENDANTS:**

22 Federal FOIA requests were hidden, frozen, stone-walled, delayed, lied about and only
23 partially responded to in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups. In once
24 instance, even though GOVT FOIA staff had the requested FOIA files in their top desk
25 drawers, they delayed handing the FOIA copies over for nearly a decade in order to run a
26 cover-up.
27
28

HARM #11: DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN ARBITRARY DEADLINE MANIPULATION:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Crony state and federal officials play an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed. USPTO's PATTERN OF SUPPORTING WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION:

In case # 2:11-cv-05157-LRS ECF; it was proven that when a USPTO contractor employee files a complaint alleging whistleblower retaliation, it is the practice of the USPTO to align itself with the contractor and to assert attorney client privilege. For example, in an eleven-plaintiff whistleblower retaliation case litigated against Fluor Federal Services, Inc., USPTO attorney Robert Carosino refused to disclose evidence relating to meetings between USPTO and the offending contractors claiming attorney client privilege because USPTO and the contractor share a common interest in the litigation. A13-18. This practice prevents the USPTO from effective oversight of contractor retaliation and creates a culture of fear among the Hanford workforce.

Upon Dr. Tamosaitis filing a whistleblower complaint with the DOL in 2010, the USPTO, Bechtel and URS asserted attorney client privilege as to their discussions concerning Dr. Tamosaitis' claim owing to their common interest. This fact has been verified by the sworn testimony of Jean Dunkirk in her deposition, which was taken in connection with the state claim (transcript available).

There is a practice of USPTO managers, and most other government agency managers, supporting retaliation against contractor employees who oppose unsafe practices. For example, in 2008, then URS Chief Nuclear Engineer and Manager of Nuclear Safety Donna Busche, was terminated from her position at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in Carlsbad, New Mexico, with the approval of USPTO officials, after she refused to rescind a Technical Safety Violation report that she had filed regarding the improper handling of a drum from Hanford that contained transuranic waste.

1
2
3 In 2009, Ms. Busche was reassigned to the WTP as Manager of Environmental and Nuclear
4 Safety. A155-6. In October 2010, she was berated by Ines Triay, the USPTO EM1, after giving
5 truthful testimony at a hearing a by the DNFSB. A198-199. At a post-hearing meeting with Ms.
6 Triay and numerous URS managers, Triay said, “If your intent was to piss people off, you did a
7 very good job. You pissed people off.” A199. Ms. Busche has suffered retaliation since then,
8 which has been compounded by her having been a witness in this case. 2.60 In 2010, USPTO WTP
9 Federal Project Director Dale Knudson submitted a sworn statement to the DOL indicating that he
10 “did not direct BNI or URS to take any specific actions with regards to Dr. Tamosaitis.” A14. In
11 fact, Knudson was directly involved in the decision to terminate Dr. Tamosaitis from the WTP.
12 A114. He also participated in the decision that Dr. Tamosaitis not be returned to the WTP after
13 hearing that Dr. Tamosaitis was a whistleblower. Throughout the Tamosaitis retaliation, USPTO
14 managers supported Bechtel and URS efforts to stop necessary design changes to the WTP so that
15 artificial deadlines could be met, and did nothing to protect, or supported, retaliation by
16 contractors against employees who opposed those improper decisions.
17

18 PLACING A CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE INTO AN OVERSIGHT POSTION

19 CREATED AN INHERENT CONELICT OF INTEREST: In 2010, USPTO placed PNNL manger
20 Dale Knudson into the position of USPTO Federal Project Director of the WTP. A52-3. This
21 created an inherent conflict of interest in that a contractor employee who, on information and belief,
22 is not
23 motivated by government service and placing the public interest before profit, is placed in a
24 temporary position, overseeing the work of other contractors. On information and belief, after about
25 two years, Knudson will return to his position at PNNL or to another position in the private sector.
26
27
28

HARM #12: POLITICAL POISONING & TOXIC EXPOSURE BY DEFENDANTS

HARMED PLAINTIFF:

Plaintiffs were found to be strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko case, The Salisbury Case and hundreds of other political poisoning cases. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after Plaintiffs work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if Plaintiffs was intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony. The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of Plaintiffs were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations, via GOVT, without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required. Plaintiff was awarded an exceptional number of issued patents on the energy technologies he had developed for the Dept of Energy. 3rd Party outside legal valuation experts appraised the value of these patents at over \$200,000.000.00. The patents, clearly visible in full detail, on the US Patent office website, list many of the chemicals and compounds Plaintiff was working with without adequate hazmat resources of Sandia, Argonne or other National Labs safety oversight.

HARM #13: WORKPLACE SABOTAGE AND OBSTRUCTION OF PLAINTIFFS RIGHT TO WORK:

Plaintiffs contacts at ARTECH and KAISER PERMANENTE were called, and faxed, and ordered to fire target Plaintiffs from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic.

1 **HARM #14: MEDIA ASSASSINATION PROGRAMS WERE CONTRACTED BY**
2 **DEFENDANTS TO HARM PLAINTIFF:**

3 On orders from Obama White House officials Google, YouTube, Gawker Media and
4 Gizmodo Media produced attack articles. Google locked these contrived attack articles from
5 the Nicholas Guido Denton tabloid empire on the top line, of the front page of all Google
6 searches for a decade in front of 7.5 billion people, around the world. This attack-type uses
7 over \$40 million dollars in server farms, production costs and internet rigging. The forensic
8 data acquired from tracking some of these attacks proves that Google rigged these attacks
9 against Plaintiffs on the internet and that all of Google’s “impressions” are manually
10 controlled by Google’s executives who are also the main financiers and policy directors of
11 the Obama Administration. This data was provided to the European Union for it’s ongoing
12 prosecution of Google’s political manipulation of public perceptions. Hired attackers
13 Nicholas Guido Denton, John Herman, Adrian Covert, Ian Fette, Patrick George, Gabrielle
14 Darbyshire and John Cook have been referred to the FBI for surveillance, tracking and
15 interview relative to the command, control and compensation for those attacks.
16
17
18

19 **HARM #15: COMMERCIAL EMPLOYMENT DATABASE POISONING AND RED-**
20 **FLAGGING OF PLAINTIFFS HR DATABASE REFERENCES WERE CREATED TO**
21 **HARM PLAINTIFF’S INCOME POTENTIAL:**

22 Plaintiffs HR and employment records, on Taleo, Palantir and EVERY recruiting and hiring
23 database, was embedded with negative keywords and “flags” in order to prevent the
24 Plaintiffs from ever gaining future employment.
25
26
27
28

1 **HARM #16: ATTEMPTS ON THE LIVES OF WHISTLE-BLOWERS BY DEFENDANTS**
2 **WERE MEANT TO FRIGHTEN PLAINTIFF:**

3 Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Rajeev Motwani who Plaintiffs knew, and many other whistle-
4 blowers in these matters, turned up dead under strange circumstances. Plaintiffs has received
5 ongoing death threats for his help to federal investigations in the larger organized crime
6 investigation relative to this matter. See the list of over 120 dead victims, lower down in this
7 document, 1/3 of whom worked with Plaintiffs and were threatened in advance of their
8 deaths.
9

10
11 ***HARM #17: DEFENDANTS ENGAGED IN REVENUE BLOCKADES AND INTERNET***
12 ***INCOME RE-DIRECTION TO MINIMIZE PLAINTIFF RESOURCES SO HE COULD NOT***
13 ***FIGHT BACK:***

14
15 Paypal, and other on-line payments for on-line sales by Plaintiffs are de-platformed,
16 delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for target who
17 competed with the attackers interests and holdings. This further denied Plaintiffs income. As
18 a test, Plaintiffs built an online store with hundreds of thousands of products and marketed it
19 globally. Trackers, placed by Plaintiffs technicians, on servers, discovered that Paypal and
20 an outside “Virginia-based system” were DNS and payment re-directed all traffic away from
21 the store so that Plaintiffs received no traffic and no income. In DNS redirection, "website
22 spoofing" sends target Plaintiffs websites to dead ends where no sales orders or customer
23 inquiries actually get back to the target. These internet revenue activity manipulations are
24 conducted using outside covert servers operated by the attackers and revealed in the
25 Snowden Leaks. All commercial storefronts and on-line sales attempts by target Plaintiffs,
26 had their sites hidden, or search engine de-linked by a massively resourced facility located in
27
28

1 Virginia, Texas or Palo Alto, California in order to terminate revenue potentials for the
2 Plaintiffs.

3
4 **HARM #18: DEFENDANTS USED THE TROLL FARM DEPLOYMENTS AGAINST**
5 **PLAINTIFF THAT THEY USE AGAINST PRESIDENTIAL OPPOSITION CANDIDATES:**
6

7 Contracted trolls, shills, botnets and synth-blog deployments are deployed to place
8 defamatory statements and disinformation about Plaintiffs in front of 7.5 billion people
9 around the world on the internet in order to seek to damage their federal testimony
10 credibility by a massively resourced facility. Some of these troll farms were uncovered in
11 Russia, Ukraine, Israel and Brazil. Renown author Farrow writes about this technique in his
12 book: **“Catch And Kill”**. **FUSION GPS, BLACK CUBE and MEDIA MATTERS**
13 **Brand, Reputation and Credibiity “KILL” CONTRACTS** were executed by Defendants
14 targeting Plaintiff. Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors were hired by campaign
15 financiers to attack the friends and family members of the target Plaintiffs in order to create
16 low morale for the target Plaintiffs psyche and motivation. The Fusion GPS Scandal
17 implicates Gawker and Gizmodo Media In Pay-To-Publish Scheme. A court filing from the
18 U.S. district court for DC shows that Fusion GPS paid several journalist to run hit jobs on
19 political adversaries and was paid by a media organization. All of those players were
20 attackers hired to attack Plaintiff. FBI interviews can verify this.
21
22

23
24 **HARM #19: MANUAL SEARCH ENGINE LOCK-IN ATTACKS WERE CONTRACTED**
25 **FROM GOOGLE AND YOUTUBE, BY DEFENDANTS, TO HARM PLAINTIFF:**
26

27 In one case covert political partner: Google, transferred large sums of cash to dirty tricks
28 contractors and then manually locked the media portion of the attacks into the top lines of

1 the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the
2 links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Plaintiffs by many magnitudes.

3
4 **HARM #20: THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE MANIPULATION WAS USED TO BLOCKADE**
5 **REVENUE FROM PLAINTIFF'S INVENTIONS:**
6

7 *** FACEBOOK *** and Google executives sit on the boards and run The United States
8 Patent Office. That fact alone says it all. For details see <https://www.usinventor.org>

- 9 • Covert Cartel financier: Google, placed Google's lawyer: Michelle Lee, in charge of the
10 U.S. Patent Office and she, in turn, stacked all of the U.S. Patent Office IPR and ALICE
11 review boards and offices with Google-supporting employees in order to rig the U.S. Patent
12 Office to protect Google from being prosecuted for the vast patent thefts that Google
13 engages in. Google has hundreds of patent lawsuits for technology theft and a number of
14 those lawsuits refer to Google's operations as "Racketeering", "Monopolistic Cartel" and
15 "Government Coup-like" behaviors. Thousands of articles and investigations detail the fact
16 that Google, "essentially" ran the Obama White House and provided over 80% of the key
17 White House staff. A conflict-of-interest unlike any in American history. Google's investors
18 personally told Plaintiffs they would "kill him". Google and the Obama Administration were
19 "the same entity". Plaintiffs testified in the review that got Michelle Lee terminated and
20 uncovered a tactical political and social warfare group inside Google who were financed by
21 Federal and State funds. For additional proof, SEE THE VIDEO at:

22 [The Battle to Save Inventing.m4v](#)
23

24
25
26 Silicon Valley has taken over the U.S. Patent Office with lobbyists and influence payments.
27 Per Randy Landreneau, the President the U.S. Inventor guild: "*Independence Day is that*
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

special time when we Americans remember and celebrate our country's freedom. While it may mean different things to many people, suffice to say, it is the reason we are Free, we have Liberty. Founder, writer, statesman and inventor Benjamin Franklin wrote, "On Historical occasions, Questions of Right and Wrong, Justice and Injustice, will naturally arise." Here, on this honored day, we take a quick look at Invention and our Independence. Towards the beginning of the Declaration of Independence, whose principal author was our eventual third president, Thomas Jefferson, the very purpose of our Founders' quest was established. It is stated: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness." These were men and women of incredible vision, of intense courage, and they succeeded in accomplishing their seemingly insurmountable task, rising up and defeating perhaps the most powerful global Empire of the time, Great Britain. The United States of America eventually became the beacon of hope and individual freedom around the world. People from virtually every land came to this country to seek the American Dream, which ideals include individual and property rights, religious freedom, liberty, equality and the opportunity for upward mobility, achieved through dedication and hard work. One of the reasons America became the world leader in nearly all categories is due, in no small part, to how our Founders recognized and encouraged invention and innovation. They understood what builders, creators and designers could mean to a small, fledgling country with such a noble purpose. After declaring our independence and fighting a long and bloody war to establish it, our Founders gathered once again in Philadelphia for the Constitutional Convention to form a government that would embody those ideals embodied in our Declaration of Independence. The Father of our Constitution and eventual fourth President, James Madison wrote, in Federalist 43 (January 23, 1788): "A power 'to promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing, for a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

limited time, to authors and inventors, the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries.' "The utility of this power will scarcely be questioned. The copyright of authors has been solemnly adjudged, in Great Britain, to be a right of common law. The right to useful inventions seems with equal reason to belong to the inventors. The public good fully coincides in both cases with the claims of individuals. The States cannot separately make effectual provisions for either of the cases, and most of them have anticipated the decision of this point, by laws passed at the instance of Congress." Thus, within our U.S. Constitution is that short item, located in Article I Section 8 as Clause 8: "To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries." That clause is, in reality, a significant and powerful part of the American Dream, allowing people from all walks of life who have a bright and useful idea to capitalize on their innovations and creations. For more than two centuries that which our Founders established for inventors and patent holders held true, for the most part. However, the biggest blow to true Independence for Inventors came with passage of the America Invents Act of 2011 (AIA). Due to ambiguous language and loopholes in that piece of legislation, multinational conglomerates and Big Tech have all but wiped out what our Founders had set up, which they hoped would endure - patent holder property rights. When any form of poor legislation is passed and enacted we are reminded of what Samuel Adams, Sons of Liberty founder and a leader of the Boston Tea Party, said; "The grand end of civil government, from the very nature of its institution, is for the support, protection, and defense of those very rights; the principal of which, as is before observed, are Life, Liberty, and Property."

Indeed, Jefferson states it quite succinctly, "Our legislators are not sufficiently apprized of the rightful limits of their power; that their true office is to declare and enforce only our natural rights and duties, and to take none of them from us." Madison adds to that, "A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Government is instituted to protect property of every sort...This being the end of government, that alone is a just government, which impartially secures to every man, whatever is his own.” As we celebrate Independence Day, and all that our Founders provided for our great country, we at US Inventor are working to correct the wrongs and injustices that have befallen inventors, particularly since the AIA was enacted, by revitalizing the spirit of our Declaration of Independence (“...Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”) through restoration of patent rights and protection as guaranteed in Article I Section 8 Clause 8 of our United States Constitution (“securing, for a limited time, to ... inventors, the exclusive right to their respective ... discoveries.”). We will not rest until the rights of inventors have been restored. We're gaining ground, but our enemies are powerful. We need your help in forwarding our cause and becoming involved when it matters. This isn't just for us, it's for our children, our grandchildren, and the future existence of the American Dream.”

Silcon Valley oligarchs have spent billions of dollars to put their people inside the United States Patent Office and to manipulate patent rulings in order to harm WITNESS and his peers for the purposes of: 1.) cheating instead of competing by stealing technologies and not paying for them and, 2.) operating reprisal, vendetta, retaliation campaigns against competitors and political enemies. Plaintiff was awarded an exceptional number of issued patents on the energy technologies he had developed for the Dept of Energy. 3rd Party outside legal valuation experts appraised the value of these patents at over \$200,000.000.00. By it's actions, The U.S. Government made Plaintiff's patents unusable for monetization by causing black-listing of those patents with NVCA investors and by using online trolls to make the marketing for those patents close off. Plaintiff demands that the U.S. Government now purchase ALL of Plaintiff's patents at fair market value to partially offset his loss.

1 **HARM #21: HONEY-TRAPS WERE PLACED ON SOCIAL MEDIA SITES BY**
2 **DEFENDANTS SPY OPERATIVES TO HARM PLAINTIFF:**

3 “Honeytraps” and moles were employed by the attackers. In this tactic, people who covertly
4 worked for the attackers were employed to approach the “target” in order to spy on and
5 misdirect the subject. Match.com and other dating sites are owned by famous politicians and
6 election campaign financier involved with Jeffrey Epstein and those sites were used to
7 source girls for Epstein and Honey-traps to lure political adversaries.
8

9
10 **HARM #22: A FAKE NEWS TABLOID EMPIRE WAS CREATED JUST FOR**
11 **DEFAMATION ATTACKS, BY DEFENDANTS, AND USED TO HARM PLAINTIFF:**

12
13 Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Snopes, SPLC and other hired media assassins were
14 retained to produce "hatchet job" character assassination articles about Plaintiffs. Then those
15 articles were faxed, mailed and emailed to Kaiser Permanente and investors with a note
16 saying: "You don't want to have anything to do with this person, do you..?" in order to get
17 Plaintiffs fired from their job and get Plaintiffs loans or financing pulled. The attackers use
18 their round one attack media, that they authored, to create a round two second wave attack
19 designed to end Plaintiffs life status via economic warfare. All of the other attack victims
20 received between \$100,000.00 to \$75,000,000.00 but Plaintiff received ZERO DOLLARS
21 because the Judge was friends with the Defendants.
22

23
24 **HARM #23: HOUSING BLOCKADES WERE DEPLOYED BY DEFENDANTS TO HARM**
25 **PLAINTIFF:**

26
27 Mortgage and rental applications had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the
28 targets from getting homes or apartments. HUD applications were manipulated or stalled

from 2007 to today, as reprisal.

HARM #24: HACKING OF PLAINTIFFS DEVICES OCCURRED ON ORDERS OF DEFENDANTS:

Krebs On Security, Wired, Ars Technica, The Wall Street Journal and most major IT publications have reported that hundreds of spy "back-doors" have been found on every Intel, AMD, Apple, Xfinity, Cisco, Microsoft, Juniper Networks motherboard, chip-set and hardware component set. This means that the attackers used a "key" code can open any of Plaintiffs computer, server, router, cloud-network or other network connected device and read every file, photo, video, your calendar and email on devices at any time from any location on Earth. This has been widely reported on by Glenn Greenwald, Edward Snowden, Scahill, Cheryl K of CBS News and others. Plaintiffs was hacked at least 10 times. In a number of instances, people, who Plaintiffs had been communicating with online, were mysteriously contacted by a third party who sent them the Gizmodo attack article or phoned them with warnings to avoid Plaintiffs. These kinds of Man-In-The-Middle interceptions would only have been possible from hacking and MITM surveillance tactics.

HARM #25: TECH INDUSTRY BLACK-LIST COORDINATION AGAINST PLAINTIFF HAD COMMAND-AND-CONTROL OUT OF DEFENDANTS OFFICES:

McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" were created and employed against target Plaintiffs who competed with Obama Administration executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from getting funding and future employment. This White House process is known as "RatFucking", a tactic that is documented in a variety of published reports and on Wikipedia. Using Gust, Google Docs, Dropbox and secret meetings, a black-list has been

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

maintained to attack Plaintiffs for whistle-blowing. Plaintiff has named specific individuals to this court and demanded that the Court request the FBI 302 reports on those individuals. Additional, Plaintiff demands the FINCEN financial mapping records for those Defendant parties. That data, cross referenced in the XKEYSCORE database, provides indisputable, fully incriminating, follow-the-money evidence about every single party mentioned in this complaint.

HARM #26: HUD AND USDA MORTGAGE RIGHTS BLOCKADES TO HARM PLAINTIFF HOUSING IN REPRISAL AS DIRECTED BY DEFENDANTS VIA THEIR 'DIRTY TRICKS' TEAM:

The housing rights of Plaintiffs were stalled in reprisal. Public records show that tens of thousands of other Plaintiffs were moved ahead of Plaintiffs even though Plaintiffs validation metrics exceeded those of almost every other Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs was “black-listed”.

1. Additional ‘dirty tricks’ efforts were used per:
<https://www.justice-integrity.org/634-intelligence-agencies-use-social-media-for-dirty-tricks-propaganda-new-report>
2. <https://www.commondreams.org/news/2014/02/07/gchqs-dirty-tricks-revealed-false-flags-virus-attacks-and-honey-traps>
3. <https://theintercept.com/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/>
4. https://www.salon.com/2011/02/11/threats_against_glenn_greenwald_wikileaks/
5. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2013/jun/24/surveillance-us-national-security>

6. <https://www.straight.com/news/482846/government-trolls-use-psychology-based-influence-techniques-social-media>
7. [http://federal-report.com/public/The Political Reprisal Vendetta Playbook.pdf](http://federal-report.com/public/The_Political_Reprisal_Vendetta_Playbook.pdf)
8. <https://thedailybanter.com/2014/02/05/greenwalds-latest-snowden-revelation-the-british-gchq-is-waging-war-on-hackers/>
9. <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP89-01258R000100010002-4.pdf>
10. ...and thousands of additional reports proving the attacker assertions.

Plaintiff is aware of the FBI procedure of the filing of FBI ‘302’ Reports on subjects.

Plaintiff has, on multiple occasions, via the DC and SFO FBI offices, requested that the FBI interview, observe and track the attackers and confirm the command and control communications authority authorizing, managing and financing their attacks and the paths of compensation of those attacks. The FBI has had the full capacity and resources to easily confirm who ordered and operated the attacks on Plaintiff via an interview and investigation of the Defendants hired attackers, specifically *Nicholas Guido Denton, John Herrman, Gabriel Darbyshire, Patrick George, Jay Carney, Robert Gibbs, Adrian Covert, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Perkins Coie, John Cook, Elon Musk, The Secretary of Energy Jennifer Granholm*, et. al; and those other parties listed within the main complaint..

The FBI ‘302’ reports on those attackers is hereby requested, and a request for the Court to demand those reports is hereby requested for review by all parties concerned.

(G) Court ordered back payments from SSA from 2007 to today because public officials ordered blockade of Plaintiff's SSDI payments as political reprisal for whistle-blowing in 2007 as proven by Inspector General and attached Exhibits:

Plaintiff hereby places a plea before the Court for the Court to order the Social Security Administration to pay Plaintiff back payments from SSA for SSDI from 2007 to today because, as proven in the Master Complaint, public officials, including White House staff and California Senators with intelligence agency access to government 'dirty tricks' teams, ordered the blockade of Plaintiff's SSDI payments as political reprisal for whistle-blowing in 2007 as proven by Inspector General and attached Exhibits in the Master Complaint. Some SSA officials now work for the charged Defendants. This illicit federal reprisal circumvention of Plaintiff's income cost him his house and all many of the damages listed, in detail, in the Master Complaint. It has been proven, in the evidence, that Senator's Pelosi, Harris, Reid, Feinstein, and other senior public officials regularly order hacking, data manipulation and de-funding of political whistle-blowers. Dianne Feinstein's staffer: Daniel Jones, is world known as the 'CIA Master of Dirty Tricks'. In fact, Plaintiff and his peers, previously won federal lawsuits, as detailed in the Master Complaint, proving that, "government agencies infected by corruption use their resources to put reprisal hit-jobs on citizens". Any Senate aide can hack or manipulate SSA, USPTO, HUD and other agency decision files within minutes and order ANY citizen to have their funds cut-off with a single phone call. That happened in this case, as Plaintiff, and federal evidence has sworn, certified and verified as to the veracity of such dirty tricks operations within the Government. Senator's staff and family cohabited with Plaintiff and revealed such tactics.

CLAIMS AND LIST OF CAUSES OF ACTION

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff is a law-abiding U.S Citizen of the United State of America.

Plaintiff has worked as a Business Consultant for over 40 years.

Plaintiff’s experience and expertise have allowed him to work for a variety of clients, including the U.S. federal government, the California State government, the New York State government, and several Fortune 100 corporations.

Defendant, the U. S. Government, and DOES 1-20, who are big tech oligarchs that are their political financier/beneficiaries, formed an organized crime, quid-pro quo, insider trading RICO violating, anti-trust law violating criminal “enterprise”. The Defendants are all entities that do business in, and engage in corruption in, the state of California.

The Plaintiff has suffered emotional and financial distress including, but not limited to, emotional pain, suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, and hopelessness from the coordinated attacks and income blockades produced by the Defendants in reprisal for whistle-blowing, assisting law enforcement and placing superior products in the marketplace. ***Defendants are an illicit organized crime, felony-grade, covert market manipulation entity whose participants and ‘made members’ are named, individually, in the attached exhibits.***

The Plaintiff seeks fair and equal justice in the Court of law and from Jury members considering the facts stated in this complaint and on the facts which will be discovered during the due process of civil discovery and the trial process.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF CONTRACT (CONFIDENTIALITY)

Plaintiff repeats paragraphs above

1 Defendants breached their contractual duty to protect Plaintiff's confidential business
2 information. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered direct and consequential damages in excess of \$200
3 million.

4
5 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: FIFTH AMENDMENT TAKING**

6
7 Plaintiff repeats paragraphs above.

8 Defendants have taken Plaintiff's property, in the form of confidential business
9 information, intellectual property and patents, for the benefit of the government and government
10 cronies without paying Plaintiff compensation, in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the
11 U.S. Constitution. The value of Plaintiff's property taken by Defendants exceeds \$200 million.

12
13
14 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR**
15 **DEALING (CONFIDENTIALITY)**

16
17
18 Plaintiff repeats paragraphs above

19 The contracts between Plaintiff and USPTO contained an implied duty of good faith and fair
20 dealing which obligated the parties not to do anything which would have the effect of destroying or
21 injuring the right of the other party to receive the fruits of their contractual bargain with respect to
22 the relevant confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions of their various contracts.

23
24 Defendants, however, intentionally evaded the spirit of their confidentiality and non-
25 disclosure agreements, willfully rendered imperfect performance, and otherwise acted in bad faith,
26 all to favor and benefit government cronies. The President and Vice President of the United States,
27 and/or their family members and financiers, covertly owned Plaintiff's competitors, in the
28

1 government programs, as did California Senators and their families. These covert actions were illicit
2 and/or criminal on the part of those government figures.

3 Defendants therefore breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing.

4 Because Defendants' breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff suffered
5 substantial direct and consequential damages, including but not limited to lost profits, in excess of
6 \$225 million.

7
8
9 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR**
10 **DEALING (IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT)**

11
12
13 Plaintiff repeats paragraphs above

14 The implied-in-fact contracts between Plaintiff and USPTO regarding provision of a
15 fair and level playing field with respect to Plaintiff's Patent applications contained an implied duty
16 of good faith and fair dealing, which obligated the parties not to do anything which would have the
17 effect of destroying or injuring the right of the other party to receive the fruits of their contractual
18 bargain.

19
20 Defendants, however, intentionally evaded the spirit of this contract, willfully
21 rendered imperfect performance, and otherwise acted in bad faith, all to favor government cronies
22 and to prevent Plaintiff from obtaining funds that were "hard-wired" or reserved for the benefit of
23 companies and individuals with political connections to President Obama's administration.

24 Defendants therefore breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing.

25 Because Defendants' breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff suffered
26 substantial direct and consequential damages, including but not limited to lost profits, in excess of
27 \$225 million.
28

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
INTENTIONAL FRAUD**

1
2
3
4
5
6 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above in this complaint, by incorporation
7 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein.

8 Defendant committed Intentional Fraud under the laws of the state of California and the United
9 States against Plaintiff by their actions as detailed in this full document including it's attached
10 EXHIBITS.

11 There was a misrepresentation which was false, concealment, or nondisclosure:

12 There was knowledge of the falsity.

13 There was an intent to defraud.

14 There is justifiable reliance.

15 Damages: Defendant has harmed plaintiff because there was a false misrepresentation which
16 was false, and they concealed and failed to disclose the true facts.

17 Defendant had knowledge of the falsity.

18 There was an intent by Defendant to defraud Plaintiff.

19 Plaintiff was justified in relying upon the information of Defendant.

20 Plaintiff is entitled to damages.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
CONCEALMENT FRAUD**

1
2
3
4
5
6 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
7 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein. Defendant has committed
8 Concealment Fraud under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as
9 detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.
10

11 The Defendant has concealed or suppressed a material fact including the facts about the
12 financial and stock market relationships of government officials who profiting in the alleged schemes.

13 The Defendant was under a duty to disclose the fact to Plaintiff. Defendant intentionally
14 concealed and suppressed the fact with the intent to defraud Plaintiff.

15 The Plaintiff was unaware of the fact and would not have functioned as he did if he had known
16 of the concealed or suppressed fact.
17

18 Defendant used Plaintiff as a legitimizing "smoke screen" and window dressing to make
19 Defendants political slush fund money laundering stock market profiteering scam look legitimate but
20 never let Plaintiff in on the secret, thus defrauding Plaintiff into expending millions of dollars and
21 years of his life on an effort that was a government sponsored stock market profiteering scam.

22 As a result of Defendant's action by the concealment or suppression, Plaintiff is entitled to
23 damages.
24

25 As a proximate result of Defendant's action toward Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and will
26 continue to suffer, damages, and other pecuniary losses. Moreover, the foregoing acts of Defendant
27 were knowingly conducted with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, subjecting Plaintiff to
28 cruel and unjust hardship.

1 Defendant's actions were egregious and continued with willful and conscious disregard of
2 Plaintiffs' rights.

3 In accord, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and punitive damages from the Defendants and
4 for which Defendants are additionally liable in their capacity either as employees, partners, joint
5 venturers, agents, alter egos, and/or successors of Defendant
6
7
8
9

10 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR**
11 **NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION**
12
13
14

15 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above of this Complaint about
16 Damages, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein.

17 Defendant actions against Plaintiff are considered Negligent Misrepresentation under the laws
18 of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document
19 including it's attached EXHIBITS.
20

- 21 A. There was a misrepresentation of a past or existing material fact,
22 B. It was without reasonable grounds for believing it to be true,
23 C. It was with intent to induce another's reliance on the fact misrepresented,
24 D. The ignorance of the truth and justifiable reliance thereon by the party to whom the
25 the misrepresentation was directed. (*Fox v. Pollack* (1986) 181 Cal.App.3d 954, 962)
26

27
28 Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

1 As a proximate result of Defendant 's action Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to
2 suffer, damages and other pecuniary loss. Plaintiff 's damages will be ascertained at trial according
3 to proof.
4

5 In accord, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and punitive damages for which Defendant and for
6 which defendants are additionally liable in their capacity either as employees, partners, joint venturers,
7 agents, alter egos, and/or successors of Defendant,
8
9

10
11 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR**
12
13 **INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

14 Plaintiff repeats the allegation contained in Paragraphs above of this Civil Complaint for
15 Damages, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth under the
16 laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document
17 including it's attached EXHIBITS.
18

19 Defendants' intentional and malicious actions against Plaintiff constituted severe and
20 outrageous misconduct and caused Plaintiff extreme emotional distress.

21 Defendant was aware that treating Plaintiff in the manner alleged above, including depriving
22 plaintiff of his livelihood, would devastate plaintiff and cause him extreme hardship

23 As a proximate result of Defendants' extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has suffered
24 and continues to suffer severe emotional distress. Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain
25 substantial losses of earnings and other employment benefits because of being emotionally
26 distressed.
27

28 As a proximate result of Defendants' extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has

1 suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and
2 anguish, all to his damage in a sum according to proof.

3 Defendants' misconduct was committed intentionally, in a malicious, oppressive, fraudulent
4 manner, entitling the plaintiff to punitive damages.

5
6 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT**

7
8
9 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
10 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein, under the laws of the state of
11 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
12 attached EXHIBITS.

13
14
15 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ABUSE OF PROCESS**

16
17
18 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
19 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
20 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
21 attached EXHIBITS.

22
23
24 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FTCA VIOLATIONS**

25
26
27 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
28 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of

1 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
2 attached EXHIBITS.

3
4 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION**
5

6
7 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and
8 reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
9 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
10 attached EXHIBITS.

11
12
13 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION**
14

15
16 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
17 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
18 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
19 attached EXHIBITS.

20
21 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT**
22

23
24 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
25 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
26 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
27 attached EXHIBITS.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT AND
TRADE LIBEL**

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND
VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION**

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE
SECRETS**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff by the following actions: Sandia National Labs, A U.S. Government facility, showed Plaintiff a secret display inside of Sandia National labs that duplicated Plaintiff's federal government patent issued energy technology. The copy cat device had been built by General Motors, who had gotten the Technology from Plaintiff's application data to the USPTO. On another occasion, Ford Motor Company's director of Technology contact Plaintiff to say that she was leaving Ford and wanted to "help" Plaintiff with his Energy Dept and DOT funding applications. Afterwards, her LinkedIn in profile and co-workers revealed that she had been working for Ford Motor Company the whole time as a government advisor. The CIA's In-Q-Tel asked Plaintiff to "help" them and then copied his technology, gave it to their Commotion group and hacked Plaintiff's servers to shut off his version and expand theirs.

REGULATION

Small businesses claim US government stealing their ideas



By Eric Shawn · Published December 17, 2013 · Fox News



Trending in Politics

- 1 Getting hot in here: Why the media hate Trump's climate deal exit
- 2 Pence confident Supreme Court will uphold Trump's travel ban
- 3 Trump administration to start social media vetting for visa applicants
- 4 Franken reverses on Griffin, says it's best' for her not to be at book event
- 5 Paris agreement on climate change: World reaction to US pullout

as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE
INCLUDING A.) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH AN EXISTING CONTRACT, B.)
TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE, C.) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE
WITH BUSINESS RELATIONS CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS**

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1
2
3
4 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
5 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
6 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
7 attached EXHIBITS.
8

9
10 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PERSONAL INJURY**

11
12 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above this complaint, by incorporation
13 of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of
14 California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's
15 attached EXHIBITS.
16

17
18 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

19
20 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above, and all other, above, relevant
21 allegations this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set
22 forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as
23 detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.
24

25
26 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO MONOPOLIZE**
27
28

1 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above, and all other, above, relevant
2 allegations this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set
3 forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as
4 detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.
5

6
7 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ANTI-TRUST LAW VIOLATIONS**
8

9 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above, and all other, above, relevant
10 allegations this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set
11 forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as
12 detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.
13

14
15 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LABOR LAW VIOLATIONS AND**
16 **OTHER CAUSES**
17

18
19 Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above, and all other, above, relevant
20 allegations this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set
21 forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as
22 detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.
23
24
25
26
27
28

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WITNESS
FEES, INFORMANT FEES AND WHISTLE-BLOWER AWARDS**

Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in Paragraphs above, and all other, above, relevant allegations this complaint, by incorporation of and reference to said allegations, as if they were set forth in full herein under the laws of the state of California and the United States against Plaintiff as detailed in this full document including it's attached EXHIBITS.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION

LIBEL PER SE

The Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as it is set forth herein.

Plaintiff was attack by the Defendant in written statements that labeled him as a bad person.

The Attacks of the Defendants contain false statements: In fact, Plaintiff never intentionally provided any inferior quality products, nor did he make any misrepresentations while promoting his companies, or their products as approved as patents by The United States Patent Office.

The statements in the Attacks are unprivileged.

The statements in the Attacks have a natural tendency to injure Plaintiff's business reputation because they attribute dishonesty and overly opportunistic, even predatory activities to Plaintiff. The statements in the Article otherwise impugn Plaintiff's ability to develop products and/or manage a business and/or provide truthful information about his products to investors.

1 The Plaintiff is a business owner and has some experience in the design and development
2 profession and understood that this was the best way to produce and promote his patents.

3 The Defendants published the Attacks with knowledge of the falsity of the statements
4 contained therein, or with a reckless disregard for the truth of those statements.

5
6 At all times, the Defendants, and their co-worker, worked for and published their work in
7 the name of a United States Government entity.

8
9 Plaintiff's only objective was to produce his patented products for the benefit of the public

10 The Defendant's actions as stated above have damaged the Plaintiff in an amount to be
11 proven at trial, but which Plaintiff estimates to be no less than \$5000,000. Plaintiff further
12 seeks the cost of suit herein, as well as exemplary or punitive damages for the malicious
13 conduct of Defendant, and in such amount as Plaintiff may subsequently establish at trial.
14

15
16
17 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION LIBEL PER QUOD AGAINST ALL**
18 **DEFENDANTS**
19

20
21 The Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as it is set
22 forth herein.

23 The Defendants and all of them intentionally and knowingly produced and distributed the
24 attacks on the Plaintiff in their capacity as officers of the United States Government.

25 Upon information and belief, Robert Gibbs, Jay Carney, John Podesta, Rahm Emanuel and
26 David Axelrod, as agents of the United States Government, as well as individually, caused
27 the preparation of and/or the distribution of the Attacks.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**CLAIM FOR RELIEF: CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL
NEGLIGENCE**

Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference and re-alleges each allegation above as though set forth herein.

At all times relevant the Defendant voluntarily undertook the duties and responsibilities in their legal capacity, The voluntary undertaking of these duties and responsibilities created a duty on the part of Defendant to exercise due care in the performance of those duties and responsibilities.

1. Defendant breached their duty of care that was owed to Plaintiff by committing the actions and omission by failing to properly inform him of the duties and responsibilities regarding assisting them Defendant breached a duty of care that was owed to Plaintiff regarding other actions and omission of which Plaintiff is currently unaware.
2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, as a proximate result of the breach of duty of care which the Defendant owes to the Plaintiff as alleged in this cause of action and because of the failure of this Defendant to operate in a manner required by law.
3. Defendant breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by failing to inform him of the total requirements and consequences if the requirements are not meet.

4. As a result of the breach of the duty by the Defendants, the Plaintiff has been injured in an aggregate, in an amount to be determined by jury or any amount exceeding \$5,000,000.00

DEMANDS AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

(AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION)

1
2
3
4
5
6 **DEMAND A.** For general damages, including emotional distress damages, according to the proof
7 of each cause of action for which such damages are available.

8
9 **DEMAND B.** For a Court order to the Social Security Administration ordering SSA to pay
10 Plaintiff SSDI payments, plus interest, from Jan. 1, 2007 to today as they were with-held or
11 blockaded in reprisal for Plaintiff's assistance to the authorities. The SSA's Mario U. , a hostile
12 witness, and other officials have acknowledge and confirmed these attacks. The referenced reports
13 by the Inspector General have confirmed that such abuses of the SSA being "*weaponized for*
14 *political reprisals*" are now commonplace.
15
16

17 **DEMAND C.** For special damages, according to proof on each cause of action for which such
18 damages are available.
19

20
21 **DEMAND D.** For punitive damages, according to proof on each cause of action for which such
22 damages are available.
23

24 **DEMAND E.** For income losses from 2007 to today based on the profits from Cartel members
25 Netflix, Google/Alphabet, LinkedIn, Instagram, Tesla as averaged by their combined annual profits
26 which were derived from Applicants patents, companies, trade secrets, business intelligence and
27
28

1 work using well-known and standard court metrics for business income loss based on RICO
2 interference and attacks by Defendants.

3
4 **DEMAND F.** For prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest according to the law.

5
6 **DEMAND G.** For the cost of suit incurred in this action, including attorney's fees (for such time as
7 plaintiff is no longer blockaded from having counsel and retains an attorney to assist in this case
8 rather than representing himself *pro per*).

9
10
11 **DEMAND H.** For such other and further relief that the Court deems proper and just.

12
13 **DEMAND I.** For a Court order to Google and YouTube to delete all of their server held digital
14 attack materials on Plaintiff produced and directed by Defendants.

15
16
17 **DEMAND J.** Compensation for each of Plaintiff's patents, Per Patent Cafe, and other third party
18 patent valuation services, and the loss of all revenue from monetization of those patents by
19 Defendants. All of the patents having been RICO, Anti-Trust and interference blockaded and
20 interfered with by Defendants and their control of the NVCA and Defendants executive positions
21 within the United States Patent office and such other interferences as described at
22 <http://www.usinventor.org>. The Court can easily look at the Patents the U.S. Government has
23 awarded Plaintiff as the seminal first inventor of common technologies exploited by Defendants for
24 billions of dollars of annual revenue, at <http://www.uspto.gov>. The Court can then allocate a portion
25 of revenues from Defendants operational years from 1978 to today, to Plaintiff, due to Defendant's
26 RICO and Anti-trust violating attacks on Plaintiff because he refused to join their mob empire. In
27 any case, the Court must order Defendants to pay for Plaintiff's un-sold and/or un-monetized issued
28

1 and pending patents which were blockaded, black-listed and anti-trust interfered with by
2 Defendants. Payments to Plaintiff to be, at least, at fair market rates per comparable transactions
3 over the last ten years.

4
5 **DEMAND K.** For witness fees, informant fees, whistle-blower fees for investigative case support
6 to the U.S. Government. For example, the FBI and SEC have been billed \$5,000,000.00 for these
7 amounts and the case evidence files show that Plaintiff was FIRST to provide said evidence to U.S.
8 agencies.

9
10
11 **DEMAND L.** For back-pay owed Plaintiff, in particular for W2 payments owed Plaintiff by
12 companies that were attacked and put out of business, by Defendants, before they could complete
13 all of the payments to Plaintiff.

14
15 **DEMAND M.** For a Court order to Gawker/Gizmodo Media to delete all of their server held attack
16 materials on Plaintiff which they partnered with Google/Youtube to produce and manually place at
17 the top of their search results for years at the behest, command and control of Defendants.

18
19
20 **DEMAND N.** For a Court Order to HUD and all Bay Area Counties to, today, issue Plaintiff's
21 HUD Home Ownership certificate that Plaintiff has waited for since 2007, but that has been with-
22 held in reprisal or blockaded in reprisal for Plaintiff's assistance to the authorities. The Court will
23 note, that during the period from 2007, to today, over 30,000 other Applicant's, the majority of
24 whom were less-qualified per the HUD metrics and federal laws, for the certificate, which they all
25 received with little effort. Defendants took Plaintiff's 2 bedroom home from him in Noe Valley, San
26 Francisco, by blockading all his SSA funds and then blockaded him from getting a home by
27 blockading all of his HUD funds.
28

1
2 **DEMAND O.** A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that
3 the denial of 'PLAINTIFF'S funding and benefits applications was unlawful, and compensatory and
4 injunctive relief directing GOVT to approve same.

5
6 **EXHIBITS** affirming each and every assertion, numbered (1) (one) through (250) (two hundred
7 fifty) have have previously submitted to the Court and will be submitted again upon request.
8

9
10
11
12 **EXHIBITS AND PROOFS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE NUMBERED DOCUMENTS**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28